MINUTES

Upper Saucon Township Board of Supervisors
Regular Meeting
Monday, October 8, 2018 – 6:30 P.M.
Township Municipal Building

Members Present: Dennis E. Benner, Chairman

Brian J. Farrell, Vice Chairman

Philip W. Spaeth Kimberly Stehlik Stephen Wagner

Staff Attending: Thomas F. Beil, Township Manager

Joseph Geib, Assistant Township Manager Jeffrey Dimmich, Township Solicitor Charles Unangst, P.E., Township Engineer

Patricia Lang, Director of Community Development

CALL TO ORDER

Mr. Benner called the meeting to order at 6:32 p.m., in the Public Meeting Room of the Upper Saucon Township Municipal Building, 5500 Camp Meeting Road, Upper Saucon Township, Lehigh County, PA.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

Mr. Benner asked all in attendance to stand and recite the "Pledge of Allegiance."

NOTIFICATION

Mr. Benner announced that all public sessions of the Upper Saucon Township Board of Supervisors are electronically recorded. The recordings are maintained as part of the record of the meeting until the minutes are transcribed and approved by the Board.

PUBLIC COMMENT

None

SUBDIVISIONS & LAND DEVELOPMENTS

None

MINUTES

None

PUBLIC HEARING ON PROPOSED ORDINANCE NO. 163 – VACATING PORTION OF SHORT ROAD LOCATED SOUTH OF ITS INTERSECTION WITH PASSER ROAD

Chairman Benner opened the hearing and asked Solicitor Dimmich to handle the proceedings.

Solicitor Dimmich briefly summarized proposed Ordinance No. 163 and said the purpose of the hearing was to accept public input on the proposed Ordinance. He said the proposed Ordinance was prepared in response to a request by Provco Pineville Acquisitions, LLC for the Township to vacate a portion of Short Road between Passer Road and Route 309. The vacation of Short Road is requested in connection with a development proposal by Provco Pineville Acquisitions to construct a gas station at the southwest corner of Route 309 and Passer Road.

Solicitor Dimmich asked the interested parties in this matter to identify themselves.

Attorney Erich Schock of Fitzpatrick Lentz and Bubba said he was present on behalf of Provco Pineville Acquisitions, LLC.

Attorney James Preston said he was present on behalf of APS Associates, LLC.

Solicitor Dimmich reviewed the legal requirements for vacating a public road.

Solicitor Dimmich introduced the following exhibits into the record:

- Exhibit T-1 Petition of Provco Pineville Acquisition LLC to vacate a portion of Short Road
- Exhibit T-2 Complete copy of proposed Ordinance 163
- Exhibit T-3 Complete copy of Ordinance No. 133 adopted by the Board of Supervisors on May 24, 2005 conditionally vacating a portion of Short Road between Passer Road and Route 309
- Exhibit T-4 Road vacation plan for Short Road dated July 25, 2018 prepared by Bohler Engineering
- Exhibit T-5 Metes and bounds description for area of Short Road to be vacated dated February 21, 2018 last revised July 27, 2018 prepared by Control Point Associates, Inc.

- Exhibit T-6 Copy of public notice for proposed Ordinance 163 which was submitted to The Morning Call newspaper for publication on September 27, 2018
- Exhibit T-7 Copy of actual public notice printed in The Morning Call on September 27, 2018
- Exhibit T-8 Copy of Public Notice for proposed Ordinance 163 which was mailed to all owners of land adjacent to the portion of Short Road proposed to be vacated and other interested parties
- Exhibit T-9- Legal memorandum prepared by Attorney Erich Schock in support of petition submitted by Provco Pineville Acquisition LLC to vacate a portion of Short Road

Exhibits T-1 through T-9 were admitted without objection.

Attorney Schock presented his case in support of Provco Pineville's request for the Township to vacate a portion of Short Road. Michael Cooley of Provco Pineville Acquisitions, Eric Britz of Bohler Engineering, Casey Moore of McMahon Associates and Matthew Hammond of Traffic Planning and Design gave testimony in support of the requested vacation of Short Road. Messrs. Cooley, Britz, Moore and Hammond shared the opinion that the vacation of Short Road would not damage or inconvenience any area property owners.

Attorney Schock introduced the following Exhibits into the record:

- Exhibit A-1 Agreements of Sale entered into by Provco Pineville Acquisitions LLC for the purchase of various properties at the southwest corner of Route 309 and Passer Road
- Exhibit A-2 Lot consolidation / subdivision plan, sheet #4 of 34, last revised May 15, 2018, for Provco Pineville Acquisitions LLC
- Exhibit A-3 Lot &A exhibit, sheet#1 of 1, dated August 9, 2018
- Exhibit A-4 Site plan, Sheet #2 of 34, last revised May 11, 2018
- Exhibit A-5 Matthew Hammond, P.E., Executive Vice President of Traffic Planning and Design Summary of professional qualifications
- Exhibit A-6 Casey Moore, P.E., Executive Vice President and Regional Manager of McMahon Associates, Inc. – Summary of professional qualifications
- Exhibit A-7 Memorandum dated August 24, 2018 prepared by Casey Moore, P.E., regarding Short Drive evaluation

Exhibits A-1 through A-7 were admitted without objection.

Deborah Hopson of 3906 Passer Road had questions concerning the properties depicted on Exhibit A-2. Mr. Britz responded to Ms. Hopson's questions.

Messrs. Cooley, Britz, Hammond and Moore responded to questions from Attorney Preston.

Messrs. Hammond, Cooley and Britz responded to questions posed by Board members and Solicitor Dimmich.

Jerry Schwenk, Jr. recited the history of Short Road, noting the Township has maintained the road for many years. He said the current configuration of Short Road presents some safety concerns especially at the intersection with Passer Road. He said he operates an antique mall located along Short Road and he wants to continue to operate this business if the vacation of Short Road does not get approved. If the vacation of Short Road is approved, he intends to sell his property where the antique mall is located to Provco Pineville Acquisitions.

Kirpal Saini, owner of APS Associates LLC, testified that the vacation of Short Road would create an unsafe condition for the public and make it extremely inconvenient for motorists to gain access to commercial properties that APS Associates owns at the southern end of Short Road. He was opposed to the vacation of Short Road.

Mr. Saini responded to questions posed by Attorney Schock.

Attorney Preston introduced Exhibit O-1 into the record. Exhibit O-1 includes an aerial image of the intersection of Route 309 and Passer Road and a photograph of the Short Road connection to Route 309.

Exhibit O-1 was admitted without objection.

Mr. Saini responded to questions from Mr. Spaeth.

Solicitor Dimmich asked if anyone from the public wished to comment. There was no response.

Mr. Benner asked if there was a motion to adopt proposed Ordinance No. 163.

Motion made by Mr. Farrell and seconded by Mr. Wagner to adopt proposed Ordinance No. 163 vacating a portion of Short Road located between Passer Road and Route 309.

The motion was approved by a vote of 5 to 0.

The public hearing was concluded at approximately 9:06 p.m.

A copy of the transcript from the hearing on proposed Ordinance No. 163 is attached to these meeting minutes and identified as Attachment 1.

RESOLUTIONS

None

MOTIONS

Request For Payment – Nimaris Construction LP – Certification No. 1

Motion made by Mr. Wagner and seconded by Ms. Stehlik to authorize payment in the amount of \$60,840.00 to Nimaris Construction LP for work completed on the Oakhurst Stormwater Outfall Stream Conveyance System.

The motion was approved by a vote of 5 to 0.

Release of Funds – Weyhill Estates, Phase 1 – Certification No. 7

Motion made by Mr. Farrell and seconded by Mr. Wagner to authorize the release of construction security in the amount of \$31,005.00 for Phase 1 of the Weyhill Estates subdivision in accordance with the Community Development Director's memo dated October 1, 2018.

The motion was approved by a vote of 5 to 0.

Release of Funds - Lanark Commons - Certification No. 2

Motion made by Ms. Stehlik and seconded by Mr. Farrell to authorize the release of construction security in the amount of \$616,651.54 for the Lanark Commons land development in accordance with the Township Engineer's recommendation made by letter dated October 3, 2018.

The motion was approved by a vote of 5 to θ .

Request For Payment – Oakhurst Storm Sewer Improvements – Certification No. 4 Motion made by Mr. Wagner and seconded by Ms. Stehlik to authorize payment in the amount of \$174,124.97 to H&K Group, Inc., for work completed on the Oakhurst Storm Sewer Improvement Project.

The motion was approved by a vote of 5 to 0.

CORRESPONDENCE & INFORMATION ITEMS

None

DIRECTION/DISCUSSION ITEMS

Cancellation of Board of Supervisors meeting scheduled for October 22, 2018 due to lack of agenda items

It was the consensus of the Board to cancel the meeting originally scheduled for October 22, 2018.

BILLS, PAYROLL, AND COMMISSIONS

Motion made by Mr. Farrell and seconded by Ms. Stehlik to authorize payment of the Prepaid Invoice List and Warrant List dated 10/5/2018.

The motion was approved by a vote of 5 to 0.

ADDITIONAL BUSINESS

Ms. Stehlik updated the Board on a recent meeting she attended with representatives from the Lehigh Valley Planning Commission. She noted the Lehigh Valley Planning Commission is encouraging municipalities to propose transportation projects for possible inclusion in the Lehigh Valley's Regional Plan.

COURTESY OF THE FLOOR

None.

EXECUTIVE SESSION

At approximately 9:28 p.m., the Board met in executive session to discuss a personnel matter.

The Board returned from executive session at approximately 9:39 p.m.

ADJOURNMENT

Motion made by Mr. Wagner and seconded by Mr. Farrell to adjourn the meeting.

The motion was approved by a vote of to 5 to 0.

The meeting was adjourned at approximately 9:40 p.m.

Constant	
Secretary	

BEFORE THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF UPPER SAUCON TOWNSHIP

In Re: : Proposed Ordinance No.

2018-163

VACATION OF A PORTION

OF SHORT ROAD :

A public meeting held in the above matter at the Township Municipal Building, 550 Camp Meeting Road, Center Valley, Pennsylvania, on Wednesday, October 8, 2018, commencing at 6:30 p.m., stenographically reported by James P. Gallagher III, Registered Diplomate Reporter.

BEFORE: THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

DENNIS BENNER, Chairman BRIAN J. FARRELL, Supervisor STEPHEN C. WAGNER, Supervisor PHILIP SPAETH, Supervisor KIMBERLY STEHLIK, Supervisor

JEFFREY R. DIMMICH, ESQ., Solicitor THOMAS BEIL, Township Manager JOSEPH GELB, Assistant Manager CHARLES UNANGST, Township Engineer MICHELLE VOLK, Township Clerk

* * *

GALLAGHER REPORTING & VIDEO, LLC
Mill Run Office Center
1275 Glenlivet Drive, Suite 100
Allentown, PA 18106
(800) 366-2980 / (610) 439-0504
Gallagherreporting@verizon.net

APPEARANCES: FITZPATRICK, LENTZ & BUBBA By: ERICH J. SCHOCK, ESQ. 4001 School House Lane Center Valley, PA 18034 Eschock@fbllaw.com -- for Provco Pineville Acquisition, LLC BROUGHAL & DEVITO By: JAMES F. PRESTON, ESQ. 38 W. Market Street Bethlehem, PA 18018 Jamespreston@broughal-devito.com -- For APS Associates, LLC

1	INDEX TO WITNESSES	
	Witness	Page
2	I .	-
3	R. Cooley	4.0
-	By Mr. Schock By Mr. Preston	13 17
4		91
	E. Britz	7
5		31
6	By Mr. Preston	39
O	By Mr. Dimmich M. Hammond	106
7		4 1
	By Mr. Preston	57
8	By Mr. Schock	67
9	By Mr. Preston	69
9	By Mr. Dimmich By Mr. Wagner	72
10	By Ms. Stehlik	7 6 7 8
	By Mr. Wagner	80
11	By Mr. Spaeth	81
12	By Mr. Farrell C. Moore	82
12	By Mr. Schock	96
13	By Mr. Preston	102
	J. Schwenk	108
14	K. Saini	112
15	By Mr. Schock	121
	INDEX TO EXHIBITS	
16	Exhibit Description	Page
17	m 1	_
Ι/	T-1 Petition T-2 Proposed Ordinance	11
18	T-3 Ordinance No. 133	11 12
	T-4 Road Vacation Plan	12
19	T-5 Metes and Bounds Description	12
20	T-6 Notice of Hearing T-7 Printed Notice of Hearing	12
20	T-7 Printed Notice of Hearing T-8 Public Notice	13
21	T-9 Legal Memorandum	13 13
	(Following exhibits in custody of Township)	10
22	A-1 Exerpts of Agreements of Sale	14
23	A-2 Subdivision Plan A-3 Aerial Plan	31
23	A-3 Aerial Plan A-4 Development Plan	34
24	A-5 CV of Mr. Hammond	36 41
	A-6 CV of Mr. Moore	96
25	A-7 Report of Mr. Moore	98
- 1		
L		

1 MR. BENNER: The Upper Saucon Township Board of Supervisors regular meeting, Monday, 2 October 8, 2018 is now in session. 3 4 Let's stand and pledge the flag. 5 (Pledge of Allegiance) 6 MR. BENNER: The next item on our 7 agenda, I guess we are going to adjourn this one. 8 MR. DIMMICH: We'll stay in session, 9 Mr. Chairman, and just go into the hearing. MR. BENNER: All right. 10 Then we are 11 going to go into a public hearing on a proposed 12 ordinance number 163 concerning the vacating of a 13 portion of Short Road. And at this time I think I'm 14 going to turn the meeting over to our solicitor. 15 MR. DIMMICH: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 16 Let's first get all the parties of interest in here, 17 and then we will do a brief explanation. Petitioner as I have it is Provco Pineville 18 19 Acquisitions, LLC, is that correct? 20 MR. SCHOCK: Correct. 21 MR. DIMMICH: And who is here -- T 22 know your name, but I'd like to have it on record, 23 who are you representing? 24 MR. SCHOCK: Eric Schock from 25 Fitzpatrick, Lentz and Bubba.

1 MR. DIMMICH: Okay. And are there 2 any -- I know Mr. Preston is here. So is there any 3 party that wishes to be recognized as an objector? 4 MR. PRESTON: The party's name is APS 5 Associates, LLC, and I represent APS Associates. 6 MR. DIMMICH: And it's James Preston? 7 MR. PRESTON: James Preston, that's 8 correct. 9 MR. DIMMICH: Now, even though there 10 is -- you don't have to be a party to speak at this 11 type of a hearing. This is sort of a hybrid. 12 others may be allowed to speak without being 13 recognized as a party. The party gives you special status, rights to appeal, other issues that might 14 15 come hand in hand with that. So right now is -- I 16 don't want anybody to feel that simply because 17 you're not a party, at an appropriate point you may 18 be able to speak and have input. 19 Okay. What has happened here, and I'm 20 going to just give you a brief background on this 21 matter. There was a second petition filed by 22 Provco. I'm just going to use an abbreviated --23 MR. SCHOCK: It's Provco, actually. 24 MR. DIMMICH: Provco. Would you 25 rather have me use -- what's the next word on there?

MR. SCHOCK: Pineville.

MR. DIMMICH: Pineville. What's

better for you?

MR. HAMMOND: Provco.

MR. SCHOCK: Provco.

MR. DIMMICH: Provco filed a petition to vacate a portion of Short Road located in Upper Saucon Township. They filled the petition on 9 -- it was their second petition. The first one was withdrawn. They filed it on September 20, 2018. They are requesting that a section of Short Road basically from Preston Lane down to --

MR. SCHOCK: Passer.

MR. DIMMICH: Passer Road, I'm sorry, down south almost to 309, not completely to 309, be vacated. And it is part of the Wawa project. All right.

Vacations of roads are governed by the township code. It's sort of an unusual practice. At one time road vacation and road openings were done under the general road law. But I guess the courts got tired of hearing these things, and the legislature gave you the honor of making these decisions.

There are two pertinent sections, 53

PS 67 304, which is the power of the township to lay out, open, widen, vacate their roads. They must do it by ordinance, and it must be done after a petition is presented. You must act within 60 days, that's why I put the date in. And if you turn them down they have a right to appeal to Common Pleas, which appoints viewers from the Board of View to actually do a de novo hearing on this matter.

If you approve it, obviously they can -- the other party can also appeal.

As I said, there were two sections that you must be aware of. And if there are questions you need to ask me in executive session, please notify the chairman. They can only be legal questions that deal with legal issues. All the other discussions will be in public.

The second portion is 53 PS 67 305, and it says before the passage of any ordinance -- and I'm just going to shortcut it here -- to vacate any road or highway, the Board of Supervisors shall give 10 days written notice to the owners of property adjacent to the road of the time, place, and date set for the hearing. It does say if you approve it you can file it immediately. And any person who is affected by the ordinance within 30

days can file -- we'll call it an appeal, but it's really exceptions, and they have to set forth sufficient surety, and they file a petition for review.

And interestingly, the last section on this provision says, after the expiration of the term allowed for filing exceptions, or upon the Order of Court -- or upon the Order of the Court, upon disposition of any exceptions, the Court of Common Pleas on application by petition by the Board of Supervisors or any person interested shall appoint three viewers from the County Board of Viewers to assess the damages and benefits occasioned by the proceeding, unless they are otherwise agreed to.

So in this particular case with a threat of damages -- potential threat, I'm not saying that any party might be allowed them, I'm just saying there's a potential threat, the ordinance as drafted is a conditional ordinance to vacate the road, and it's conditioned upon, if it is granted, and if you find sufficient evidence, and I'll go through that in a moment, the condition would be that they -- the party who asks for the vacation indemnify, defend, hold as harmless and pay

attorney fees for anything that arises out of the vacation of the road. They did that simply to try to protect them. I found one other case where a party did that, but it did not develop into the necessity. The Court mentioned it, but never -- it was not the center of the Court's decision.

So what you're going to hear tonight is an actual hearing. It starts with a petitioner. The objector will have opportunity to cross-examine. You may ask questions. And then listen to the objector. And they'll have a chance to cross-examine just like we would in any court hearing.

The standard, what are you going to be looking for. The standard is, does the petitioner prove by substantial evidence, which is more likely than not, that's substantial, that the road should be vacated as being useless, inconvenient, or burdensome. Those words, by the way, come right from the old road law. Some of the cases also state that you will also have to make a finding that the vacation of the road is necessary for public convenience, or that it won't affect public convenience. I've seen it written in the negative and in the positive. So the bottom line is, this is

a very seldomly used section. Most people are unfamiliar with it. Although I have done vacation of roads before, I've not ever vacated a road under the Second Class Township Code, so I have to do a lot of learning myself to make sure I at least believe I am correct and give you the correct guidance.

But remember, you must make a finding that it's useless, inconvenient or burdensome, and it's necessary for public convenience, or in the opposite way, that it will not negatively affect public convenience.

I also wanted you to know that I do not believe the eminent domain law will apply, only because I feel that this section of the Second Class Township Code as it speaks specifically to the item of vacation becomes preeminent. It is a very narrowly construed thing. It even deals with the damages. So I believe we'll be under that. There are cases on vacation of public roads for condemnation. I am not sure they apply. This has its own -- its own being.

So with that, does anyone on the board have any questions about what they're going to be listening for? Your going to be listening for

1 evidence that it's useless, inconvenient, or 2 burdensome, and that the vacation is necessary for 3 public convenience, or it will not harm public 4 convenience as the alternative. That's what you'll 5 be listening for. Obviously you'll hear other 6 material matters concerning the circumstances. 7 Any questions? 8 (No response.) 9 MR. DIMMICH: Okay. Mr. Schock, do 10 you have any questions? I know I've made rather 11 extensive comments. 12 MR. SCHOCK: No. 13 MR. DIMMICH: And Mr. Preston, do you 14 have any questions? 15 MR. PRESTON: No questions. 16 All right. With that MR. DIMMICH: 17 then we'll start by turning IT over to Provco and 18 their attorney, Erich Schock, and ask you to present 19 your case. 20 Hold on, I'm sorry, before I do that I 21 want to read in the exhibits. My fault. 22 T-1 for this hearing will be the 23 petition of Provco Acquisition, LLC to vacate a 24 portion of Short Road.

T-2 is a complete copy of proposed

Ordinance 163.

number 133 adopted by the Board of Supervisors on May 24, 2005, conditionally vacating a portion of Short Road between Passer Road and Route 309. The same section, but it was done in 2005. Different type of condition though, at that time. The condition was the entity would acquire certain properties, and they didn't. So they were never able to record that.

When a road is vacated it has to be sent to the Clerk of Courts Criminal where it is recorded, and they keep track of all the records that are open or vacated.

T-4 is a road vacation plan for Short Road dated July 25, 2018 prepared by Bohler Engineering, and it's B O H L E R.

T-5 are the metes and bounds description for the area of Short Road to be vacated dated February 21, 2018, last revised July 27, 2018 prepared by Control Point Associates, Inc.

T-6 is a copy of the public notice for Proposed Ordinance 163 which was submitted to the Morning Call newspaper for publication on September 27, 2018.

1 T-7 is a copy of the actual notice 2 printed in the Morning Call on September 27, 2018. 3 T-8 is a copy of the public notice for 4 Proposed Ordinance 163 which was mailed to all 5 owners of land adjacent to a portion of Short Road 6 proposed to be vacated and other interested parties. 7 T-9 is a legal memorandum prepare by 8 Attorney Erich Schock in support of the petition 9 submitted by Provco Pineville Acquisitions, LLC, to 10 vacate a portion of Short Road. So those exhibits will be admitted 11 12 without objection. And the main purpose is to show 13 that we are procedurally covered, and all the bases 14 have been met to go ahead with the hearing. 15 Now, with that, I will turn it over to 16 the petitioner. 17 MR. SCHOCK: Thanks. All right. My first witness is Mike Cooley. 18 19 20 MICHAEL COOLEY, having been duly 21 sworn, was examined and testified as follows: 22 DIRECT EXAMINATION 23 BY MR. SCHOCK: 24 Q. Do you want to spell your last name? 25 First name is Michael, last name is Cooley, Α.

1 COOLEY. Okay. And what's your connection to what 2 3 we're calling Provco? 4 Α. I am a principal in the entity, and I 5 manage and oversee all of our investments and land 6 development projects, including this one here on 7 Passer Road. 8 Ο. Okay. And with regard to this project, did 9 Provco enter into agreements potentially to acquire 10 multiple parcels near the intersection of Passer 11 Road and 309? 12 Α. Yes, we did. I entered into approximately, 13 I believe six separate agreements with adjacent 14 properties necessary to acquire enough ground to 15 accommodate this project. 16 And a couple of those are along Short Road 17 which we're here on tonight, correct? 18 That is correct. Α. 19 Q. And I'm not sure that you need to have the 20 typical offer of standing, but we have got exerpts 21 for the two agreements of sale for the tracts that 22 happen to be along Short Road. Is that correct? 23 Α. Yes, that's correct. 24 MR. SCHOCK: So I'm just marking that 25 as A-1, and then offer that to the solicitor.

1	didn't make multiple copies to waste paper.
2	MR. DIMMICH: You want to mark this as
3	Applicant 1?
4	MR. SCHOCK: Applicant 1.
5	MR. DIMMICH: Mr. Morris, do you wish
6	to look at this?
7	MR. SCHOCK: These are exerpts.
8	MR. DIMMICH: Do you wish to look at
9	this before I
10	MR. PRESTON: No.
11	MR. DIMMICH: Mr. Schock, you've
12	offered it?
13	MR. SHOCK: Yes.
14	MR. DIMMICH: Any objection?
15	MR. PRESTON: No objection.
16	MR. DIMMICH: It's admitted.
17	Q. Generally, where are the properties
18	located?
19	A. The properties are located I would say the
20	northeast corner, southeast corner of the
21	intersection of 309 and Passer Road.
22	Q. And you're proposing to consolidate those
23	into a single tract for development, is that
24	correct?
25	A. That is correct.

1 Q. And what were you proposing to put there? 2 We are proposing to put a prototypical Wawa 3 convenience store with a fueling station, and a separate fast food restaurant tract. 4 5 Q. And your engineers who are here this 6 evening will give more detail, but you retained an 7 engineering firm to assist you in preparation of 8 those plans, correct? 9 Α. Yes, that is correct. 10 Q. And these plans were submitted to the 11 township, correct? 12 Α. The plans have been submitted to the 13 township for preliminary land development, and we 14 did go through a zoning process as well to receive 15 necessary zoning relief to build the project. 16 0. Okay. And in connection with that project 17 the engineers determined that in order to proceed 18 you would need to have a portion of Short Road vacated? 19 20 Absolutely, yes, that is correct. 21 0. And is that the reason why you filed this 22 petition? 23 Α. Yes, it is. 24 MR. SCHOCK: Okay. That's all the 25 questions I have for this witness.

1 MR. DIMMICH: Cross. 2 3 CROSS EXAMINATION 4 BY MR. PRESTON: 5 Q. Mr. Cooley, good evening. 6 Α. Good evening. 7 MR. PRESTON: My name is Jim Preston. 8 And again, for the record I represent APS 9 Associates, LLC, which owns the property to the 10 south of yours. 11 Can I make a brief statement before I 12 begin? 13 MR. DIMMICH: In this case, certainly. 14 MR. PRESTON: I just want the Board to 15 understand why I'm here. We're aware of the 16 project. We don't oppose the project. We're not 17 trying to defeat the project or make it go away. fact, we welcome it because we think it's a good 18 19 idea. Our problem is that we own the property 20 immediately to the south that we also use Short Road 21 as access to our property, and by cutting off the 22 northern section we're going to be more or less 23 That's our position. I don't want the isolated. 24 board to think that we're here just to mess with

these guys, because we're not.

1 Q. Okay. Mr. Cooley, you're familiar with all the properties I guess that front on or have -- or 2 3 use Short Road for access? I believe so. 4 Α. 5 Well, doing your due diligence, you've been 0. on Short Road, have you not? 6 7 Α. Yes. 8 And its entire length? Q. 9 Yes. Well, the entire length on the -- on 10 the south side of Passer Road. 11 Q. That's a good point, from south of Passer 12 Road south? 13 Α. Right. 14 And it goes out to where, 309, is that Q. 15 correct? 16 Α. Correct. 17 Do you know how long that road is 18 approximately? 19 I don't. Α. 20 Q. Do you know how many properties front on 21 Short Road that are not part of this vacation? 22 How many front on Short Road that are not Α. 23 part -- I don't know if I understand the question. 24 0. How many properties that front on Passer 25 Road that will still front on Passer Road after the

1 vacation on the remaining Passer Road? 2 Okay. I believe there's -- I believe at 3 least three. If I look at a parcel map I could tell 4 you. 5 No, that's fine. You're aware then that 6 anyone traveling on Passer Road is not allowed to go 7 out onto 309 from Passer Road, are you aware of 8 that? 9 Α. Anyone traveling on Passer Road --10 I'm sorry, I mean Short Road, traveling on 0. Short Road. If you're on Short Road you cannot get 11 12 onto Route 309 from Short Road, is that correct? 13 If you're on Short Road you cannot get onto Α. 14 309? 15 Yes. Q. 16 Α. Currently? 17 Q. Right. That's my question, are you aware 18 of that? 19 I'm not sure, if you want to look at a 20 plan, if Short Road terminates at your client's 21 property, or if it has a driveway out to 309. 22 0. Okay. Let me show you, if I may. Let me 23 show you this. 24 Mr. Cooley, do you recognize that 25 photograph?

1	A. Yeah, I believe that's the called the
2	curb cut, which you access that portion of Short
3	Road to and from 309.
4	Q. Do you recognize the other photograph
5	that's attached?
6	A. I do.
7	Q. What is that photograph?
8	A. That's an aerial view of the quadrant, or
9	the portion of the intersection showing Short Road.
10	MR. PRESTON: With the Board's
11	permission I'd like to mark this as 0-1, and I can
12	hand this up if there's no objection.
13	MR. DIMMICH: You want it as Objector
14	1?
15	MR. PRESTON: Yes.
16	MR. DIMMICH: Any objection?
17	MR. SCHOCK: No.
18	MR. DIMMICH: It consists of two maps
19	or two photos?
20	MR. PRESTON: This is two photographs.
21	One is an aerial photograph that you'll see in a
22	minute of the subject area, the entirety of Short
23	Road from Passer down to 309.
24	MR. DIMMICH: Okay. As you know,
25	normally we would only when you put in your case

1	is when you put in your evidence, or motion, but we
2	don't need to follow the rules as strictly.
3	MR. SCHOCK: Can I just have our
4	engineer look at it?
5	MR. DIMMICH: Yes.
6	MR. PRESTON: Take your time.
7	And the second one is a picture of the
8	entrance from 309 onto Short Road.
9	MR. DIMMICH: Just so I'm clear,
10	Mr. Morris, the gist of your question was that if
11	you're going south on the currently non-vacated
12	portion of Short Road you cannot exit onto 309, you
13	can't enter onto 309 to go southbound?
14	MR. PRESTON: That's correct. You
15	can't leave Short Road at its southern tip and get
16	onto 309. It's one way. That's what this is going
17	to show us. If I can
18	MR. DIMMICH: Thank you. So we're
19	admitting O-2.
20	THE WITNESS: So, Mr. Preston, can I
21	answer your question?
22	MR. PRESTON: Please do, yeah.
23	THE WITNESS: It's my understanding
24	that Short Road does have access to 309 via this
25	curb cut that's in this photograph.

1 Q. Access to -- could you be a little clearer? 2 Α. Well, you asked me if vehicles could access 3 309. 0. Can you leave Short Road, going south on 5 Short Road, approaching 309, and then enter onto 309? 6 7 Α. In my opinion I believe that you can, 8 you can drive down Short Road and access the 9 driveway that shows a stop sign, and a stop bar for 10 cars to stop before they make a turn. 11 Q. Okay. 12 The answer is yes, I believe that legally 13 you can drive down Short Road and access 309. 14 Q. Isn't there a one way sign there? 15 There is a one way sign on the stop sign. 16 And I believe it indicates that -- in my opinion, it 17 indicates that 309 is one way heading southbound. 18 Q. And is 309 a divided highway? 19 Α. Yes, it is. In this portion of 309 the 20 part of this property is a divided highway. 21 So if the only way to access a particular 22 property were through this cut here, would you be 23 able -- you wouldn't be able to make full access 24 turning movements out of that driveway? 25 Α. This does not appear to be a full access

driveway because it is a divided highway.

MR. DIMMICH: Could you clarify that, sir, again? When you say it's not a full access highway --

A. What he means by full access is you can make all turning movements, meaning you could make a left out or a right out, a left into it, and a left out of -- left in, left out, right in, right out. So this driveway only permits vehicles to take a right-hand turn into it, and a right-hand turn out of it, because of the nature of the divided highway.

MR. DIMMICH: I understand all of that. The supervisors all live here. They're familiar with this. I used to go to the Peppercorn Pub. I know exactly. When you're coming down south on Short Road it's your testimony though that you can exit at the bottom of Short Road, but only go southbound on 309? Is that what your testimony is?

A. That is correct, yes.

MR. DIMMICH: Okay. I didn't mean to interrupt. I just wanted to understand his testimony. It doesn't mean that I'm contradicting anything you've asked him.

MR. PRESTON: Very good. Thank you.

The petition that's currently before

1 the township, which is marked as Exhibit T-1, do you 2 have a copy of that for me? 3 MR. SCHOCK: It should be attached 4 here. Yes. 5 Q. Let me invite your attention to paragraph 6 11, please. Do you see where it says, vacation of 7 the subject portion of Short Road would not damage 8 or inconvenience any area property owners? 9 Α. I do. 10 Q. Do you see that statement there? Currently 11 all properties that front on that section of Short 12 Road, and again, by that section I mean the section 13 below Passer Road, I'm not talking about anything above Passer Road. For that section of Passer Road, 14 15 they all have the ability to go north and get up to 16 Passer Road, is that correct? 17 When you say they all have, there is --18 there's your client's property, and the old 19 furniture store, and the old Peppercorn Pub. 20 that would be correct. 21 Okay. And they could go north on Short 22 Road to get to Passer Road? 23 Α. They can. 24 And, in fact, properties owned by, at least 25 one property owned by my client has the right to do

the same thing, isn't that correct? 1 2 I would believe so. 3 And what happens when you get to Passer 0. Road and you want to get onto 309, is there a 5 controlled intersection there? Α. 6 There is. 7 Controlled intersection being one 8 signalized with a red light? 9 Α. That's correct. 10 Now, in paragraph 11 -- let me ask this a 11 different way. After the vacation, will the -- will 12 my property, my owner be able to use his property to 13 go north on Short Road after the vacation? 14 No, because Short Road would be vacated 15 and removed. So he wouldn't have access to Passer Road 16 0. 17 to access the signalized intersection, is that 18 correct? 19 Α. Not via Short Road. 20 Any other way that you're aware of? 21 MR. SCHOCK: You know what, at this 22 point I'm going to object. I think he's getting a little far afield from his direct testimony, and I 23 24 mentioned, we do have traffic engineers here. 25 MR. DIMMICH: He is a party, and a

1 party I think is subject to broader examination, 2 just so you understand. I think it's fair. 3 proceed. 4 MR. PRESTON: Thank you. 5 Q. Any other property? 6 Α. I'm sorry, can you repeat the question? 7 Let me try something different here. Q. 8 paragraph 11 it says the vacation -- are you with me 9 again -- of the subject portion of Short Road would not damage or inconvenience any area property owners 10 11 because, and then you list a series of items there. 12 Is that right? 13 Α. Yes. 14 So it's your opinion then, it's your Q. 15 testimony that the vacation of Short Road will not 16 inconvenience my client? 17 Α. That's my opinion. 18 Even though he will not have access to a 19 signalized intersection? 20 Yes, that is correct. 21 Then how will he access -- how will he get 22 onto his property and get off of his property after 23 the road is vacated? 24 How will he access 309? Α. 25 Q. How does he get to his property via the

1 public road network? 2 Well, he would take a -- a right-hand turn 3 to the property off of 309. 4 And how would he exit? Q. 5 Α. He would take a right-hand turn out of his 6 driveway onto 309. Q. And if he were coming north on 309, how 8 would he get to his property? 9 He would have to -- I believe the engineers 10 can speak to that. 11 0. I'm asking you though, if you know. If you 12 don't know you can say I don't know. 13 Α. I don't know exactly. 14 You don't know how he would do that? 15 Α. I don't know the route exactly off the top 16 of my head. 17 Q. Okay. And the land development plan for 18 the parcels that you've assembled, when you look at 19 those, when you assembled those parcels, and you put 20 them under agreement, obviously you were aware that 21 Short Road existed, is that correct? 22 Α. Yes. 23 0. And you knew that it led ultimately to one 24 of the parcels that was owned by my client, is that

25

correct?

1	A. When I put them under agreement or sometime
2	during my due diligence?
3	Q. I would go with due diligence.
4	A. That is correct.
5	Q. Prior to this evening, is that correct?
6	A. That is correct.
7	Q. And the land development done, the plan
8	that you have, or that you you or your client had
9	put together and submitted and has had the township
10	review assumed all long that Short Road would be
11	vacated, is that correct?
12	A. That is correct.
13	Q. Have you discussed with anyone at all any
14	alternatives for access to my client's property that
15	would allow them access to Passer Road?
16	A. I did. I actually spoke to your client
17	Q. Okay.
18	A On at least two occasions, trying to
19	figure a solution together. And at the time I
20	offered and showed him a potential easement through
21	my property that would be able to connect him to
22	Passer Road.
23	MR. PRESTON: Can I just have a
24	minute? I've just been given these exhibits for the
25	first time.

1	And again, you may not know the answer
2	to this. I understand your engineer is going to
3	testify?
4	MR. SCHOCK: Yes.
5	MR. PRESTON: Very good.
6	Q. You may or may not know the answer to this,
7	is there an HOP, a PennDot HOP for that little
8	driveway cut where Short Road goes out to 309?
9	A. You're asking me if a permit exists for
10	that driveway?
11	Q. Yes.
12	A. I'm not aware.
13	Q. You're not aware?
14	A. I'm not aware either way if there is one or
15	is not.
16	MR. PRESTON: That's all the questions
17	I have. Thank you.
18	MR. DIMMICH: Any redirect?
19	MR. SCHOCK: No.
20	MR. DIMMICH: Any questions from the
21	Board?
22	MR. WAGNER: I have a question. I'm
23	uncertain where the property boundaries are on this.
24	Maybe in some fashion if that could be drawn in or
25	something?

1 We have a plan we can put MR. SCHOCK: 2 up on the board and I can then have the engineer 3 just go through that next. 4 MR. WAGNER: And we would be able to 5 kind of line up it up to this photograph? 6 MR. SCHOCK: Yeah, let's do that. 7 MR. PRESTON: I believe, sir, once the 8 engineer testifies I'll be happy to identify it. 9 MR. SCHOCK: And actually just so 10 everyone is aware, this is the civil engineer. 11 traffic engineer will testify too to kind of address 12 the questions you had, Jim. Maybe the best thing to 13 do now is to have the civil engineer just explain 14 the lot lines. 15 MR. PRESTON: Okay. 16 MR. DIMMICH: You're going to be 17 putting him on tonight? 18 Yeah, I'll call him for MR. SCHOCK: 19 that purpose. I was going to call the traffic 20 engineer. 21 MR. DIMMICH: I mean, it's important 22 that the supervisors understand all the facts. 23 MR. SCHOCK: Sure. 24 MR. DIMMICH: Even though we're not 25 doing it in the normal perfectly clear courtroom

1 way. 2 MR. SCHOCK: No problem. We'll do 3 this next because I think that will make things make 4 more sense. 5 6 ERIC BRITZ, having been duly sworn, 7 was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION 8 BY MR. SCHOCK: 9 10 Could you state your name and spell your 0. 11 last name? 12 It's Eric Britz, B R I T Z. Α. 13 0. And who do you work for? 14 Bohler Engineering. Α. 15 And the question came up about the 16 properties that need to be acquired. Do you have a 17 plan that would explain what properties are being 18 required and that shows where those various property 19 lines are? 20 I brought a copy with the I do. 21 subdivision plan with me. 22 MR. SCHOCK: I'll mark this as A-2. 23 MS. HOPSON: Excuse me. We're on the 24 opposite end. Could I please look at the map too? 25 MR. DIMMICH: Many times we have these

1 digitalized so you could see it on the TV, but 2 unfortunately we don't. 3 So there's essentially eight properties 4 altogether, including Short Road being one of them 5 that are involved in the development. You have the 6 Bassler property, which has access to Main Street to 7 the east -- I'm sorry, to the west of the property, 8 which is lot 1. Lot 3 is located along Passer. 9 4 along Passer. Lot 5 along Passer. And lot 8 10 along Passer. And lot 6, which has -- it's along Passer and Short Road. And lot 5, block 1, is on 11 12 the east side of Short Road with frontage on Passer. 13 MR. DIMMICH: Just to clarify for me, 14 what did you say, 1, and what's the other, 2, the 15 the ones that are on the east side? 16 Α. On the east side? 17 MR. DIMMICH: Yes. 18 Α. Block 5, lot 1. 19 MR. DIMMICH: Block 5, lot 1. 20 where the Peppercorn Pub is, is it not? I know it's 21 not operating as the Peppercorn Pub. 22 Α. I think it's apartments now. 23 MR. DIMMICH: Yes, it is apartments. 24 But that's what we customarily called the Peppercorn 25 Pub?

1 Yes. Α. 2 MS. HOPSON: And how many properties 3 are east of 309, not counting the Peppercorn Pub? 4 MR. DIMMICH: Hold on. We'll give you 5 a chance to ask. But we normally -- we're trying to keep some order to it. Okay. Please don't let me 6 7 forget to allow you to ask. 8 MR. SCHOCK: So then why don't we do 9 that next, Eric. 10 Why don't we also clearly show Α. Mr. Preston's client's property, the boundaries, so 11 12 we know what we're talking about. 13 So, Eric, on the plan you've got up for the 14 time being, just where is the southernmost boundary of the land that Mr. Cooley 's company would be 15 16 acquiring? 17 So that's located just north of the creek, and it's all really part of -- this whole southern 18 19 portion of the property is part of the lot known as block 4, lot 8. 20 21 Okay. So on this plan then just to the 22 south of that line is the APS property that we call 23 8A, that's Mr. Preston's clients, correct? 24 That's correct. Α. 25 Q. Okay. So why don't you put up -- do you

1	have an aerial?
2	A. I do.
3	MR. DIMMICH: Is that A-3?
4	MR. SCHOCK: Yes. We'll mark that as
5	A-3.
6	THE WITNESS: This is the same as T-4
7	as well.
8	MR. SCHOCK: Is it? Okay.
9	Q. Now, Eric, can you then reorient the Board
10	again based on that small one that you had to where
11	the property is?
12	A. Sure. So again, this is that southern
13	property line that we were looking at that is the
14	subject of the Wawa development, which is just south
15	of the property. And this is the property in
16	question, lot 8A, which is the only other lot that
17	fronts Short Road.
18	Q. And this is Short Road coming down to 309,
19	is that correct?
20	A. Correct, correct. It's the right of way of
21	Short Road.
22	MR. DIMMICH: Where is the curb cut,
23	is it all the way at the southern end?
24	Q. You want to point out the curb cut?
25	A. Yeah, it's at the southern end, off of the

:	actual right of way of Short Road and then 309.
4	Q. Okay. And since I didn't do this at the
3	beginning so we could jump into that question, who
4	do you work for?
5	A. Bohler Engineering.
6	Q. And what's your professional
7	qualifications?
8	A. I am a civil engineer. I've been working
9	on site design, land developments, subdivisions and
10	such for the last 19 years.
11	Q. Okay. And you've done many land
12	developments, subdivisions and things in that time
13	period?
14	A. I have.
15	Q. Have you testifi8ed before boards and such?
16	A. I have.
17	MR. SCHOCK: I ask that he be
18	qualified as an expert in civil engineering.
19	MR. PRESTON: No objection.
20	MR. DIMMICH: No objection. You're
21	good. You may proceed.
22	MR. SCHOCK: Okay.
23	MR. DIMMICH: You're accepted as an
24	expert.
25	Q. Then one last item, can you put up the plan

that shows the proposed development so the Board can have a sense vis-a-vis the proposal where these all fit in then? And I'm going to mark this as A-4 and just explain to the Board what this plan is, and then just walk them through, so they can get that sense too.

- A. So this is a plan of the development proposed that was described earlier, with the Wawa convenience store, and the gas services, and the fast food restaurant. This plan proposes two access points, one full access point further west on Passer Road, and another access point off right in, right out on Route 309. It proposes 75 parking stalls for the Wawa facility, and 42 for the fast food, total of 116 stalls, which is what's required by the code. Landscaping surrounding the property in multiple areas, storm water management, lighting. It's a brand new development.
- Q. And with respect to the configuration of the access points, traffic improvements, things of that sort, that's handled by the traffic plan and design, and a gentleman is here to testify about that, is that correct?
- A. That is correct.

Q. Okay. One last question. You indicated

1 the APS property. Does this aerial accurately 2 depict what's there? It looks like it's just 3 macadam, but no other use, is that correct? That is correct. 4 Α. 5 MR. SCHOCK: Okay. 6 MR. DIMMICH: Even though this is out 7 of order, are you done at this point? 8 MR. SCHOCK: Yes. 9 MR. DIMMICH: Ma'am, can you identify 10 yourself for the record? 11 MS. HOPSON: I'm Deborah Hopson. 12 live on Passer Road. I'm under the assumption that 13 we're the last property purchased. There should be 14 one more on our left that is not in this project, if 15 I'm correct. Is that true, because I couldn't quite 16 see it on this map here? 17 THE WITNESS: I think this is her 18 property line there. 19 MR. SCHOCK: Okay. 20 Q. You can now refer to A-2. 21 So in A-2, Ms. Hopson, this is your 22 property, and there is one more property that fronts 23 both Passer and Main Street. It's block 4, lot 2, 24 owned by Mr. and Mrs. Horner. 25 MS. HOPSON: Right. That is not into

1	the plan, is that correct?
2	THE WITNESS: That's correct.
3	MS. HOPSON: Okay. I just needed
4	clarification on that. Thank you.
5	MR. DIMMICH: Could you Spell your
6	name, please?
7	MS. HOPSON: DEBORAH, HOPSO
8	N.
9	MR. DIMMICH: Thank you. I'm sorry to
10	interrupt, but she had asked a question.
11	MR. SCHOCK: No problem.
12	MR. DIMMICH: I would have forgotten
13	it.
14	MR. SCHOCK: That's all the questions
15	I have for the civil engineer.
16	MR. DIMMICH: Any questions from the
17	Board?
18	(No response.)
19	MR. DIMMICH: Mr. Preston, any
20	cross-examination?
21	MR. SCHOCK: Did you have questions?
22	MR. PRESTON: I have a question.
23	MR. SCHOCK: Okay.
24	MR. DIMMICH: Go ahead, whenever
25	you're ready.

1 MR. PRESTON: Okay. Thank you. 2 3 CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. PRESTON: 4 5 Q. Mr. Britz, good evening. 6 Α. Good evening. 7 Q. I just want to talk a little bit about 8 existing conditions prior to this proposed land development. As it sits now my client owns a 9 10 particular property, I think you identified it to 11 the south of the proposed development on Short Road, 12 is that correct? 13 Yes. 14 And currently my client is able to access 15 that property there and travel the full length of 16 Short Road, is that correct? 17 I'm sorry, say that again. 18 0. My client is able to access his property by 19 traveling the full length of Short Road, is that 20 correct? 21 Α. Yes. 22 Q. And if the Board grants the petition to 2.3 vacate he will no longer be able to do that, is that 24 correct? 25 As far as the full length of Short Road

	The state of the s
1	that exists today, that is correct.
2	Q. How will my client access that particular
3	property if the board grants the vacation?
4	A. He would access it via the existing curb
5	cut on 309.
6	Q. Does it reach 309?
7	A. The portion of Short Road to remain does
8	reach 309 well, it reaches the next property that
9	he owns, which has access to 309.
10	Q. So he has to go across a second property to
11	get to the
12	A. Yes, his property.
13	Q. Okay.
14	A. As it exists today.
15	Q. As it exists today. So he has a second
16	property that abuts Short Road, isn't that correct?
17	A. No.
18	MR. PRESTON: I have no further
19	questions.
20	MR. DIMMICH: Okay. Just one quick
21	comment. I may have accidentally called you by the
22	wrong name tonight.
23	MR. PRESTON: That's happened before.
24	MR. DIMMICH: No problem.
25	MR. PRESTON: I can't tell you some of

1	the things I've been called. Okay.
2	MR. DIMMICH: I apologize.
3	MR. PRESTON: Okay.
4	MR. DIMMICH: All right. Next
5	witness.
6	. * * * *
7	MATTHEW HAMMOND, having been duly
8	sworn, was examined and testified as follows:
9	DIRECT EXAMINATION
10	BY MR. SCHOCK:
11	Q. State your full name spell it.
12	A. Sure. Matthew Hammond, H A M M O N D.
13	MR. SCHOCK: Okay. I'm going to hand
14	you what I've marked as A-5.
15	MR. DIMMICH: The CV?
16	MR. SCHOCK: Yes. I'll have Matt
17	summarize it.
18	Q. Is A-5 a summary of your qualifications?
19	A. Yes, it is.
20	Q. Okay. Can you just briefly run through for
21	the Board your professional qualifications and
22	background relative to traffic engineering?
23	A. Sure. I have 23 years experience. I
24	currently serve as executive vice president of
25	traffic planning and design. I'm a registered
- 1	

1	professional engineer in seven states including
2	Pennsylvania. And I specialize in traffic and
3	transportation, and mostly worked on the development
4	side of the business for the past 23 years.
5	Q. And have you testified fairly often before
6	municipal bodies with respect to traffic engineering
7	as an expert in traffic engineering?
8	A. Yes, unfortunately too many times.
9	MR. SCHOCK: Okay. I'd like to offer
10	him as an expert in traffic engineering.
11	MR. DIMMICH: Any questions on his
12	qualifications?
13	MR. PRESTON: No objections here.
14	MR. DIMMICH: All right. He's
15	accepted.
16	MR. SCHOCK: Thank you.
17	Q. So are you the traffic engineer on this
18	project?
19	A. I am.
20	Q. Okay. And describe the current road
21	configuration in the vicinity of the project.
22	A. Sure. And I think Mr. Britz had touched on
23	this briefly, but I'll just again I'll speak
24	loudly again just to orient you. On Exhibit A-4, to
25	the right side of the plan is 309 running in a north

south direction. Here towards the top of the plan which I'm pointing is Passer Road which runs east And then within this area here that I'm pointing with my pen is the current location of Short Road. You'll see that the approach on Passer Road consists of approximately one lane in each direction at the signalized intersection. you currently have two lanes traveling in a northbound southbound directions, with dedicated left turn lanes approaching the intersection. 0. Okay. And in working on this project did

Q. Okay. And in working on this project did you make a determination as to whether Short Road had any significant use?

A. We did. As part of the application that we made not only to PennDot, being that Passer Road and Route 309 are both PennDot owned and controlled roadways, we did conduct what's called a traffic impact study. That study analyzes obviously existing roadway network surrounding the development, and looks at how the network will operate in the future. It usually requires usually some sort of improvement to mediate the impact of that development. So as a part of that traffic study we actually conducted counts during the peak hours of traffic at the subject intersections, one

of them being Short Road and Passer Road. The counts are conducted in the AM time period, which falls between 7 and 9 AM, the PM period which falls between 4 and 6 PM on a typical weekday, and then we also did a Saturday midday time period, which is typically between 11 AM and 1 PM on a Saturday.

Based on those counts, and those counts are typical standard industry-wide when you're looking at these type of uses, we found that the amount of traffic entering and exiting Passer Road during those peak hours was approximately seven vehicles in the AM peak and seven vehicles in the PM peak. And I believe it was five entered in the morning, and two exited. And then in the afternoon it was four entered, and three exited out to Passer Road. So it was relatively minor usage on that roadway. And that's for obvious reasons based on the uses that currently exist along Passer Road -- or I'm sorry, Short Road.

- Q. And are there physical limitations associated with Short Road that you analyzed in connection with the project?
- A. I think the biggest limitation to that road, and I think it's obvious to everyone, is its proximity to the intersection of 309 on Passer Road.

We were involved a number of years ago on the previous project for this property, which was I'll refer to it as the Newman development, which essentially had the same type of uses proposed, a little different configuration, a little bit different properties utilized. But one of the issues in that project was the same issue we're facing here is that the Short Road connection to Passer Road and its distance to the intersection of 309 and Passer Road.

- Q. And do you know that distance approximately?
- A. So, depends on how you measure it. But if you take it from the stop bar that exists back to Short Road on Passer Road it's about 65 feet.
- Q. Now, where are the proposed access points for the Wawa development?
- A. So obviously we will be looking to close
 Short Road as it exists, and the driveway that's
 being proposed along Passer Road would be located -again, I'm referring to Exhibit A-4 -- will be
 located approximately 300 feet west of 309. So
 while today Short Road comes in about approximately
 65 feet from 309, we'll be moving it another 235
 feet away. So our driveway serving this development

will be located 300 feet away. On 309 I believe we're another about 310 feet away traveling south of Passer Road and 309. Again, that driveway is a right in, right out, due to the fact there's a median that currently exists along 309.

The driveway, I don't recall if it was mentioned, but the driveway on Passer Road is actually a full access driveway, right in, right out, left in, left out being proposed.

Now, one of the things that goes along with the access point, and I mentioned the traffic study was completed to analyze the impact of the development and what needed to be done, we kind of had a basis for what PennDot's expectations were. Again, I mentioned we have previously worked on the Newman development. What we were proposing is some significant improvements to this intersection to help alleviate some of the concerns, some of the issues that exist today, as well as some of the issues that may present themselves in the future. These improvement relate mostly to Passer Road and the provision of turn lanes on the westbound and eastbound approaches. We would have a separate left, a separate through, and a separate right on the westbound approach coming to 309. I'm sorry, on

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

the westbound approach and on the eastbound approach we would have the same type of configuration. So whereas today you have one lane approaching the intersection, you now have three lanes which will significantly help Passer Road.

As I'm sure everyone is aware, there's times where the traffic backs up on Passer Road back towards Main Street. These improvements will significantly reduce that queue and help alleviate that congestion aspect. And that's a cost that's being borne by the developer. And somewhere in the range of 850 to \$950,000 of roadway improvements. In addition to some other improvements, traffic signal timing adjustments, deceleration lane along the frontage of 309. So some other ones. But those are the ones that probably provide the most benefit to the intersection.

But those improvements will not bear the fruit that they will if Short Road were to continue to be in its location along Passer Road.

- Q. And would Short Road need to be vacated, removed, something, with any development proposed in that area?
- A. In my opinion, yes. Obviously as I've mentioned the biggest issue is the fact that you

have a roadway or an intersection on a roadway that's 65 feet from a road that carries approximately 34,000 vehicles a day. This was an issue that was brought up by PennDot at various stages, and as I mentioned before, almost a decade ago as part of the Newman development.

So my opinion would be that if there is any development along Passer Road with any of the parcels -- I'm sorry, Short Road, along any of the parcels it would require that applicant to prepare a traffic impact study, and would require it to be reviewed by the township, and likely PennDot, being that Passer Road and 309 are both PennDot owned roadways, which would bring up the issue at that point as well.

- Q. So in your opinion is it not possible to develop along Short Road without somehow moving the intersection off of 309, further away from 309?
- A. If a property or two or three or four were developed for single family homes, the possibility of leaving Short Road where it is today probably wouldn't be as much of an issue. But if any of those properties along Short Road were developed, more significant development, a commercial development, multiple apartment units, things of

that nature, than absolutely Short Road would likely need to be vacated, or at least relocated from its current location.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. You've heard at the beginning the solicitor mentioned the standard about -- and I'll read it so that I don't goof it up, and that is, useless, inconvenient or burdensome. Based upon your analysis, do you believe Short Road is burdensome to the public in its current condition?
- Α. Absolutely, absolutely. I believe due to the fact that it again has a short separation distance with 309, the queues that's exist, the traffic that currently backs up from 309, to put it in perspective, with 65 feet of distance between 309, the stop bar at 309 and Passer Road and Short Road allows you to stack three cars before you're blocking Short Road. And every single time that I've been out here -- my office is right up on Saucon Valley Parkway, and the times that I've been down here regarding this project, I don't know that there's been a time that I haven't seen at least four or five or six cars backed up waiting to turn, waiting for the light to turn green on Passer Road and at 309. So that intersection of Short Road is blocked a significant amount of time throughout the

course of a day as it currently exists.

- Q. Now, you heard Mr. Preston indicate that his client's issue is that the lot at the bottom of Short Road closest to its current intersection with 309 would not now be able to go north. Is that a correct statement?
- A. Sure, yes.
- Q. Can you explain then how access is achieved to that property, and I think the other witnesses touched on it, but if you can go into a little more detail.
- Again, in referring to lot 8A as Α. Sure. well as lot 9, both of those two southernmost lots, access today is provided not only off Short Road to Passer Road, but also Short Road to 309. And by vacating this, the subject portion of Short Road, it would still allow for the southern portion to provide access to 309. You would be able to come from the north, make a right in, from north on 309. It could also be an exit and travel south on 309. However, you lose the ability to make that left in or left out. But I do not believe, in my opinion, that that is a detriment to the property. Currently as it exists it's a vacant lot on lot 8A, and it's a single family home on lot 9. So as it exists today

2425

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

there is very minimal traffic accessing that portion of Short Road to access those two lots.

In the future the ability for someone to come from the south, if they were traveling north and wanted to access the site they would have the ability to approach the intersection of Passer Road and make a U turn, and come down 309 and enter via right turn. There's no prohibition on U turns at that intersection. They would also have the ability to turn left -- let me just give you -- on Fairmont Street, travel around the back up Main Street to Passer, and back down.

That is not uncommon for this stretch of 309. There are many properties that are developed and in operation, whether residential or commercial along 309 on the divided section of highway that only have access via right in, right out on 309. That's just the nature of the beast, and it's not unusual outside of Route 309 where you have divided highways. So they would have the ability to access that parcel, parcel 8A and 9, again, via Main Street, or via U turn at the intersection.

Q. And T-9 consists of a memorandum along with an exhibit that your firm prepared, is that correct?

- A. That is correct.
- Q. Okay. If the Board could reference T-9 I would ask that Mr. Hammond just go through and explain the analysis. I'll call it the access analysis that you did as part of that.
- A. Sure. And it's -- as I just mentioned, we did have the opportunity to look at other parcels along 309 because again, having a divided highway, having parcels that only had the ability to access the roadway via right in, right out, is again something that occurs in the immediate vicinity of the site. And what we did is we put together a few exhibits.

Figure 1 of those exhibits is kind of the key to the other exhibits obtained. We looked at the Short Road property and I think I just described how access would be provided to that property if the northern portion of Short Road were to be vacated.

We also looked at the Royal Truck and Equipment property which is immediately south of the subject parcels. That is a truck sales equipment service operation that only has right in, right out access to Route 309.

Also further up Route 309 there's a

number of properties where 309 splits and provides for the northbound lanes and southbound lanes with properties in between that coupling.

And then also looked at the Putt U facility, which again we know has become very popular. They only have right in, right out access to 309.

So what we wanted to do is just show a comparison of, if the northern portion of Short Road were to be vacated, and lot 8A and lot 9 were only provided access via that existing right in, right out to 309, if someone was traveling northbound, or wanted to go back north, how do they get there, we wanted to compare distances.

So you'll see from Exhibit 2 if someone were to want to get to parcel 8A or 9 if they were traveling northbound on 309, they would have the ability to make a U turn to get to the property, which is essentially almost the same, we called it out here as .2 miles, but it's almost exactly the same as the distance today if you were making a left at Passer Road and making another left onto Short Road. You would have to get down to parcel 8A or 9. So this U turn is almost exactly the same distance, however, we are trying to be

somewhat conservative.

Then I talked about the vehicles exiting out, they have the ability to exit out either coming southbound, and coming around through the shopping center and making a left to go back north on 309, or they have the ability to make a right and come out Fairmont Street and back up Fairmont Street to Passer to utilize the signal.

type of exhibit but for the Royal Truck property, you will see they only have right in, right out access. You'll see in the bottom left of the exhibit you'll see a comparison with the option, the inbound and outbound options, a comparison of the distances traveled. So you'll see that for someone that wants to get to and from the Royal Truck facility from the northbound direction of 309, they actually have to travel further than what we are proposing as part of this vacation.

Figure 4 looks at the various properties along northbound 309 where the roadway splits, and most of those are residential. There's a couple commercial, a car repair, a couple commercial facilities, home businesses along that section. But you'll see from the tax maps there's a

 1 good 2 to a

good number of properties that only have the ability to access their property via right in or right out on 309.

And then, finally, figure 5 shows, as I mentioned, the Putt U miniature golf facility on 309. Again by virtue of the fact there's a median on 309, anyone desiring to get to that facility has to travel, you know, past the site, you know, another .4 miles on 309 to get south on 309, and then another .9 miles, if they want to go north and access the site.

So you'll see the distances are very comparable if not more to what we are proposing if the northern portion of Short Road is vacated. And it's quite commonplace along 309 for the various properties that exist today.

- Q. And so based on that analysis, were you comfortable with the fact that right in, right out would only remain at the bottom of Short Road, considering the benefit to removing the intersection of Short and Passer?
- A. Yes. There's a significant benefit, a significant safety benefit to the removal of the intersection of Passer and Short Road as it exists today. I think I've outlined a number of reasons

1 why it's a problem. I think everyone that's familiar with it knows it's a problem. something that's been identified by PennDot for going on a decade at this point. They realize the spacing is too close and any additional traffic added to Short Road would require its modification. It just cannot continue to exist as it does and expected to be handled -- expected to handle additional traffic.

> Furthermore, due to the fact that there are a number of successful businesses and other residences along 309 and other roadways throughout the region that are divided that only provide for right in, right out access, it was my opinion this would not be a detriment to an existing vacant lot, and the existing single family home that currently reside on lot 8A and lot 9.

- Q. And it is not uncommon in your experience that a road divider is sometimes put on a road that doesn't have one?
- Yes, it is. Yes, that's absolutely true. There are a number of projects that I can think of where either a developer, or really PennDot has come through and made modifications to a roadway and added a median within the roadway that prohibits

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

-	left in and left out access.
2	Q. And is that essentially what results here?
3	A. It's essentially the same thing, but for
4	the fact that the right in, right out exists today,
5	and operates today.
6	MR. SCHOCK: That's all the questions
7	I have for this witness.
8	MR. DIMMICH: Cross-examination,
9	Mr. Preston.
10	MR. PRESTON: Can I see the exhibit
11	that's being referred to.
12	MR. SCHOCK: Sure. T-9.
13	* * *
14	CROSS EXAMINATION
15	BY MR. PRESTON:
16	Q. Good evening, Mr. Hammond.
17	A. Good evening.
18	Q. My client's property, you've been here
19	through the course of these hearings, is that
20	correct?
21	A. That is correct.
22	Q. When I use that term you know what I'm
23	talking about?
24	l I
24	A. Yes, sir.
25	A. Yes, sir. Q. My client's property, currently they

have -- my client has access to Passer Road, a right turn takes him to a signalized intersection, is that correct?

A. That is correct.

- Q. And if this petition to vacate is granted he will no longer have that ability, is that correct?
- A. That's correct.
- Q. So he will lose his access to Passer Road to a signalized intersection?
- A. That's correct.
 - Q. As a traffic expert, a traffic engineer, would you consider that to be a substantial change in a property's accessibility?
- A. I don't know that I would classify it as a substantial change. Obviously it's a change to the property. It's a change in access. What I look at is a balance between safety and reasonable access to be provided to a property. In my experience with PennDot and working with a number of projects that I have, and I couldn't even tell you exactly where this is within PennDot's code, but PennDot does state that access, reasonable access shall be provided to a property. And everything that I have heard from PennDot and all the discussions that

1 we've had and meetings we have had, PennDot has told 2 us that the existing location of Short Road onto 3 Passer Road is not something that they feel is 4 appropriate. 5 That's not responsive to my question. Ο. 6 question was, and looking at your CV I see here Wawa 7 food markets, various locations throughout PA, New 8 Jersey and Delaware. That's true, isn't it? 9 Α. Yes. 10 Q. So you're familiar with Wawa, you're 11 familiar with the operations? 12 Α. Absolutely. 13 0. You're familiar with the traffic patterns? 14 Α. Yes, sir. 15 Q. My question to you was, isn't it a 16 substantial change to an access to a property if you 17 were limited to right in, right out as opposed to access to a full signalized intersection? 18 19 It really depends on what your definition 20 of substantial is. 21 Q. Let's do it this way. Let's do it this 22 way. 23 A. Sure. 24 Q. What's the exhibit that's on the board 25 there, what's the number of that?

1 Α. Exhibit A-4. 2 Okay. That has along 309, I think there is 3 right in, right out, is that correct? 4 Α. That is correct. 5 Q. Okay. Let's assume that Passer Road wasn't there and there were no intersection there and there 6 7 were no signal there, and all the Wawa had was that 8 right in and right out, would Wawa build that site? 9 I couldn't answer that. That's a question Α. 10 for Wawa. But based on my experience likely not. 11 0. The answer is no, isn't it? 12 Α. I think I answered the question. 13 Q. Okay. So access to that signalized 14 intersection is a substantial benefit, isn't it? 15 For this type of use, yes. Α. 16 What's the property zoned, do you know? Q. 17 Α. Off the top of my head I do not know. 18 Q. Do you know if it's zoned any differently 19 than my client's property? 20 I don't know. What I can tell you is that 21 there is a current pending land development 22 application that shows two potential uses of 23 property that would generate an amount of traffic 24 that would necessitate the access as proposed on 25 Exhibit A-4. Your client's property, 8A and 9,

currently show a vacant lot and a single family 1 2 And would likely be in the same position that 3 we are right now when they made application to the township and to PennDot for any land development 4 5 they would propose on those two lots. Not taking into account the fact that I also believe your 6 7 client also owns property that fronts along Main Street. 0. Okay. Again, that's not responsive to the

- question that I asked. But let's go back to your testimony. You said that the current use of the road is you say a burdensome, or a burden, is that -- I believe you testified that it was a burden or burdensome?
- Α. I don't remember the exact language, but I believe, yes, it was somewhere along that effect, yes.
- Then I believe that you talked about 0. stacking along Passer Road at the intersection?
- Α. Yes, sir.
- 21 Q. Is that correct?
 - Α. Yes.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

- 23 Q. And that has created the burden, or 24 contributed to the burden, is that correct?
 - So I believe the stacking, the fact that

1 traffic currently stacks up beyond Passer -- I'm 2 sorry, beyond Short Road onto Passer Road for a number of periods throughout the course of the day 3 4 does contribute to the fact that presents a problem, 5 and becomes burdensome to not only Passer Road, but 6 the intersection with 309. 7 And that's for all six cars that come out 0. 8 of there? 9 No, that's for the traffic that backs up on Α. 10 Passer Road that backs past Short Road. 11 Okay. What's the burden then relative to Q. 12 Short Road? The burden relative to Short Road is the 13 Α. 14 ability for vehicles on Short Road to enter and exit 15 the roadway while traffic is stacked up, and having 16 the fact that you are separated by 65 feet of 17 distance between Short Road and 309. 18 Q. Is that true for all six cars that go in 19 and out of there a day? 20 Α. That's true for one car. 21 That's true for one car. You talked about Ο. 22 the right ins and right outs condition that affects 23 other properties along 309. Do you remember that? 24 I did. Α. 25 Q. Can you identify one of those?

1 Sure, Royal Truck. Α. 2 Which one? Q. 3 Royal Truck property. Α. 4 Did Royal Truck when it was developed, do Q. 5 you know if it had access to a signalized 6 intersection? 7 I do not know. Α. 8 Q. Do you know if when Royal Truck was 9 developed whether it had to decide at that time 10 whether it wanted to develop with right in and right 11 out? 12 I do not know. 13 Do you know any other examples along 309? 14 Well, I believe the exhibits show a number Α. 15 of examples that we pointed out. There's the Royal Truck property, there's the Putt U. 16 17 Let's talk about Putt U. Do you know when 18 Putt U was developed, do you know if at the time it 19 was developed it had access to a signalized 20 intersection that was then subsequently taken away? 21 Α. I do not know. 22 Q. Isn't it possible that when Putt U was 23 developed they knew they had to develop a right in, 24 right out? 25 Α. I'm sure that's a possibility. I couldn't

1 answer. 2 0. You're involved with due diligence, are you 3 not? 4 Α. Yes. 5 As a traffic engineer they bring you in on 0. 6 their due diligence investigation? 7 Α. I believe I answered yes. 8 0. Okay. And you looked at feasibility of 9 various sites here, isn't that correct? 10 Α. That is correct. 11 And if Wawa wanted to purchase a site that 12 had a full access intersection, and they agree to 13 purchase that site they enter into that agreement, 14 would you feel that it was somehow -- that the value 15 of that property would be somehow affected if 16 subsequent to that purchase access to that 17 signalized intersection was then removed? 18 I have nothing to do with valuations or 19 whether a property increases in value or decreases 20 in value. I look strictly at the property and 21 determine what I think is reasonable, efficient and 22 safe access to the property, provide those opinions 23 to Wawa and the developer for them to make a 24 decision on whether or not they would like to

25

develop that site.

- Q. And let's go full circle, and I'll end with this. That particular site there which has a signalized intersection to the north and a right in, right out to the south, if I eliminated the signalized intersection, if I could just have Passer Road and just eliminate that site with the right in and right out to the south, would you advise Wawa as to whether or not that would be an advisable location?
 - A. So you're asking me if the site would only provide for a right in, right out off of Route 309?
 - Q. Right.
- A. And no other access?
- Q. Right.

A. Ultimately that would be a decision up to Wawa. It would depend upon how much traffic traveled along that section of 309. The liklihood of them developing that site with a right in, right out only on 309 would probably be very low.

However, we're not talking about a Wawa being developed on 8A or 9. We're talking about two existing properties that contain a vacant lot and single family home. If there was a plan that was proposed for development, significant development, these discussions, or the discussions that we have

1 had with PennDot to date would be the same 2 discussions that your client would be having with 3 PennDot. And it would be trying to figure out how 4 they could relocate Passer Road because PennDot has 5 a significant concern -- I'm sorry, relocating Short 6 Road, because PennDot has serious safety concerns of 7 the location of Short Road as it exists on Passer 8 Road. 9 This project requires a PennDot HOP, does it not? 10 11 Α. Yes, sir. 12 Do you know if there is currently a valid Q. 13 HOP for the intersection of Short Road and 309? 14 I do not. It exists. It's operating. Α. So 15 I don't know if there's a valid HOP, a likelihood of there being a valid HOP for that road is probably 16 17 low due to the fact that it's been around forever, 18 and if it's classified as a local road. It likely 19 did not need an HOP permit. 20 MR. PRESTON: No further questions. 21 MR. DIMMICH: Thank you. Any 22 redirect? 23 MR. SCHOCK: I do. 24 MR. DIMMICH: Okay. 25

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. SCHOCK:

- Q. Mr. Hammond, taking you back to the issues that the proximity of the Short Passer intersection to 309 create, okay, Mr. Preston said for one car. Is it true though that one car waiting to turn left and go south on Short could have problems for vehicles out onto 309?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Can you explain that?
- A. Sure. So obviously there's traffic on Passer Road that is stacked up waiting to turn or go straight through the intersection of 309, and it stacks up back past Short Road. If one vehicle was waiting to make a left in to Short Road any other vehicle that's trying to get through that area, you would have to try to sneak by, or if there's a vehicle traveling down southbound on 309 that makes a right onto Passer Road may not be able to react in time to see that vehicle making a left.

In regard to the other direction, one of the things that has been an issue on some of the sites that I've worked on in the past, the proximity of a driveway as it relates to another intersection, and the closer the driveway is to that intersection

the more likely that you'll be promoting rear end accidents. If someone currently was traveling on Passer Road going eastbound and wants to make a right turn, puts their right turn signal, and you have two intersections in very close proximity, you may believe that that person is turning right onto 309, but in fact they're turning right onto Short Road, thereby potentially creating safety concern and potential rear end accidents.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Obviously there is not a significant amount of traffic that currently travels on Short Road. But obviously we wouldn't be having this discussion and I don't believe there would be opposition if the plan wasn't to develop some parcels along Short Road in the future which would thereby increase traffic. So I don't know that I would necessarily just say there's seven vehicles on it today, and, therefore, for the six vehicles waiting to turn in there it's not a problem. obviously a land development application being proposed that's going to generate additional traffic, and then there's two lots that contain one single family home. So again, there's a number of issues that are resolved by relocating or eliminating Short Road at Passer Road.

1 MR. SCHOCK: That's all I have. 2 Recross? MR. DIMMICH: 3 MR. PRESTON: Yes. 4 5 RECROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. PRESTON: 6 7 Q. I just want to follow up on that. You're 8 saying that the land couldn't be developed to the 9 extent that you're proposing if Short Road were to 10 remain in its current location, is that correct? 11 Yes, that's what I'm saying. 12 Are you familiar with the prior petition to 13 vacate that was granted by this board and is 14 attached as an exhibit to the packet, are you 15 familiar with that? 16 Α. I am not. 17 Would you be surprised if I told you that 18 they conditionally agreed to vacate that road 19 provided all of the properties abutting Short Road 20 were held in common ownership? I don't know that that surprises me, nor do 21 22 I know how that would change my opinion on the 23 safety and operational aspects of Short Road and 24 Passer Road. 25 0. Well, PennDot will not give you the

1 necessary HOPs for your volumes if you leave Short 2 Road where it is, isn't that correct? 3 PennDot -- no, I don't know that that's correct. I know that PennDot would not allow the additional traffic -- they would not allow 5 6 additional traffic, not even one car is what I was told, to be added to Short Road in its current 7 8 location if development were to occur along Short 9 Road. 10 Q. Okay. Well, now, I just asked you this 11 Isn't it true that PennDot would not 12 allow you to develop the site as you proposed 13 without you eliminating that connection between Short Road and Passer, isn't that what you just 14 15 said, they're requiring it? 16 Yes. 17 So the answer to that question is they will 18 not allow you to develop it unless you eliminate 19 that condition, isn't that correct? 20 Correct. They specifically in initial 21 meetings discussed the fact that the intersection of 22 Short Road needs to be eliminated or relocated 23 further away from 309, hence the plan that is 24 proposed and has been submitted to the township and

PennDot, which shows Short Road being eliminated and

1	an access point being provided 300 away from the
2	intersection.
3	Q. And that's to the benefit of your client,
4	isn't that correct?
5	A. Well, the access point is for the benefit
6	of the client, but the elimination of Short Road
7	within 65 feet of 309 is for the benefit of the
8	motoring public, and the residents, and the
9	traveling the vehicles that travel the
10	intersection on a daily basis.
11	Q. Have you given any or your client given any
12	consideration to the joint development of those
13	properties, i.e., allowing access to the properties
14	and connect them as was originally intended in the
15	original petition to vacate?
16	A. I can't speak to that.
17	MR. PRESTON: No further questions.
18	MR. DIMMICH: Do you have anything
19	else?
20	MR. SCHOCK: No.
21	MR. DIMMICH: Before the board asks
22	questions, I just have a couple questions that I
23	want to like to get things on the record.
24	* * *
25	EXAMINATION

BY MR. DIMMICH:

- Q. Mr. Hammond, I thought I heard you say that you live and work in the area?
- A. So I'll clarify. I used to work in my office building on Saucon Valley Parkway, or Center Valley Parkway, excuse me. I did work on the lifestyle center, the Olympic camera facility. I no longer work out of that office on a regular basis, and we've actually relocated our office to downtown Allentown. But I was working out of the office for a number of years. So I'm very familiar with the area.
- Q. Okay. But you are familiar with the condition and the location of the Short Road section that is sought to be vacated, is that correct?
- A. That is correct.
- Q. You've gone out and looked at it?
- A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. Could you -- first of all, approximately how long of a distance are we talking about for vacation, just approximate?
 - A. So rather than be approximate, likely it's approximately 400 feet, maybe. Let me just measure this real quick so that --
 - Q. Sure. I would just like to get a few

1 things on the record that's concerning the road that 2 you're seeking to vacate. 3 Α. Sure. Approximately 450 feet. 4 0. Okay. And it's in a basically a north 5 south direction? 6 Α. Correct. 7 0. Could you describe it, is it a two lane 8 road, a one lane road, what is it? 9 Α. It's a -- it acts as a two line roadway. 10 It's in significant disrepair. There's sections of 11 it that are concrete, there's sections of it that 12 are asphalt. It would not meet any of the current 13 standards with respect to a two lane roadway. 14 However, that's what it operates as and has operated 15 as. 16 0. Do you know if it is part of the liquid 17 fuels payment for the township? 18 Α. I do not know. 19 0. Do you know if it appears on the township's 20 official map of roads? If you don't know --21 Α. I'm not a hundred percent sure, but I 22 believe it does. 23 Do you know if there are any utilities, 24 electric, sewage, whatever, that would run either

at, in, or along Short Road, the area that you seek

to vacate?

- A. I do not know off the top of my head. That would probably be a better question for Mr. Britz.
- Q. Okay. And the zoning, that's come up a couple times, so let's clarify this. The zoning for the property, both the property -- we'll call it the Wawa property to make it simple, the Wawa property and at the property of APS, do you believe that zoning to be identical?
- A. I believe it to be consistent, yes.
- Q. Okay. Do you know if the township currently maintains Short Road by plowing it in the winter and patching potholes, or whatever?
- A. Couldn't answer with any certainty.
- Q. Do you have any idea why a portion of -- I looked at your maps that you submitted. Why is the southern portion wider than the northern portion of Short Road?
- A. I don't know the answer to that. I do know just below our property line there is a culvert that runs -- the stream runs under. So it's likely there's a portion of it that's wider down there, not only because of that, but because of the turn, the radius, when you're traveling south to get to 309, the movement that needs to be made. But again I

couldn't answer that a hundred percent. That's just what I gleaned from being out there and seeing it.

- Q. Now, the reason -- I realize why the courts use the terms useless, inconvenient, burdensome in the disjunctive, so all you have to do is one of them. I understand that. But do you believe Short Road as it current exists is useless?
- A. I believe as it currently exists, your definition --
- Q. I didn't write the report.
- A. My definition of useless would be that the road is unnecessary and provides a burden on the general public in its current state, and that but for a number of the parcels that exist along Short Road, which are under the control of my client, that it's unnecessary to provide useless access. 8A provides direct frontage on Short Road, and the southern portion of Short Road and parcel 9 provides for frontage along 309. So they both have the ability, both of those properties have the ability to access 309. And again, as I mentioned, it's my understanding that 8A and 9 are under the same ownership as is parcel 10, which is the parcel to the west which has frontage on Main Street.

MR. DIMMICH: I don't want to get

involved in asking too many questions, but does the 1 2 Board have any questions of Mr. Hammond? 3 MR. WAGNER: I have a question 4 regarding Short Road. 5 6 EXAMINATION 7 BY MR. WAGNER: 8 The part that would be vacated, I'm not 9 clear on the exact beginning and end of the parcel 10 that would be vacated, and is any part -- is any 11 part of what's being vacated in front of the APS 12 property? 13 Well, it depends. As I mentioned, there is 14 two parcels. I'm referring to Exhibit A-4. is two parcels on the southern side of the subject 15 16 property that we've been referring to them as 8A and 17 9. 18 0. Right. 19 8A has direct frontage on Short Road, and Α. 20 the portion of Short Road that's being proposed to be vacated essentially extends from our southern 21 22 property line which is where the culvert exists. 23 Q. Your southern property line? 24 Α. Our southern property line. So if you're 25 familiar with Short Road there's a culvert.

Q. Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- If you took that line on the north side of Α. the culvert that's our southern property line, and it would be from that point all the way up north to Passer Road. The section where the culvert is down to 309 would remain. Now, lot 8A has frontage on Short Road. Lot 9 has frontage on 309. But your question was, do any of the -- is there any other parcels that have frontage. The only other parcel as I mentioned before is lot 10 which is in this area here, which is in the back corner, the southwest corner of the property there that is also owned by the same ownership.
- Q. Yeah. Okay. But my question is strictly about what part of Short Road would be vacated. So lot 10 isn't even relevant to that.
- A. Sure. So essentially where I'm putting my pen on A-4, right where the culvert is, north to Passer Road would be vacated. The portion from the culvert south would remain.
- Q. Okay. And now if you look at it currently, there's a -- between Short Road and 309 there's a teeny little strip that gets narrower and narrower until it disappears. Who currently owns that, or who is proposed to own that?

	A. I believe this portion of property is
	2 actually in the PennDot right of way.
	Q. Okay.
	A. It's 309 right of way essentially.
	Q. Okay. That's really irrelevant?
	A. Correct.
•	MR. WAGNER: Okay. That's all I was
8	wondering. Thank you.
9	MR. DIMMICH: Any other questions?
10	* * *
11	EXAMINATION
12	BY MS. STEHLIK:
13	Q. There was a comment with regard to the
14	easement that was offered. Can you speak to that at
15	all?
16	A. I cannot. I was not part of those
17	discussions.
18	MR. DIMMICH: We'll let you recall
19	MR. SCHOCK: Would you like me to
20	recall Mr. Cooley?
21	MR. DIMMICH: At the appropriate time.
22	I wanted to see if Ms. Stehlik has any more
23	questions.
24	MS. STEHLIK: No, I'm okay.
25	MR. DIMMICH: We'll give you an

1 opportunity after the board is done. 2 Anyone else have questions? I just 3 want to make sure everybody has all the facts so that we can make a reasonable decision. 4 5 Q. I have just one other that might still be 6 in your jurisdiction. The portion of Short Road or 7 Short Drive, I should say, that is still going to be 8 present, who is going to be responsible for the 9 maintenance or the plowing of -- excuse me, snow 10 plowing or anything of sorts. 11 Α. All I would say is that whoever is 12 currently maintaining Short Road or Short Drive 13 would continue to maintain that portion. I don't 14 There was a question that was posed earlier 15 about whether or not the township maintains Short 16 Road, I don't know the answer to that. But whoever 17 is maintaining it today would continue to maintain 18 that smaller portion of it. 19 MS. STEHLIK: Okay. 20 MR. WAGNER: Excuse me. Are you 21 finished? 22 MS. STEHLIK: I'm done. 23 MR. WAGNER: Is there absolute 24 evidence that Short Road was ever legally dedicated 25 right to 309, because there's a short stretch there

that looks like it's a hundred percent different, paved a hundred percent different, and it's sort of like somebody just took it over?

MR. DIMMICH: In answer to your specific question, we've looked. We cannot answer that. And the only thing we know is that Short Road was considered a public road because the portion of Short Road north of Passer was vacated by this board — when I say the board, not you people particularly, but the Board at one time before my even coming on board as your solicitor. So they believed it to be — Short Road to be a public road. And I can't really testify.

MR. WAGNER: You're talking about the whole lane. I'm talking about that little teeny piece. It could have been a dead end is what I'm thinking, and, you know, the barrier, the people just drove out.

MR. DIMMICH: There's no records. We have looked up at the Clerk of Courts. We've looked for an ordinance ordaining it, and cannot find it.

MR. WAGNER: So it's not known. Okay.

MR. DIMMICH: But it does -- but we do take -- I don't want to testify. We'll let someone else.

1 MR. WAGNER: It could have been there 2 before 309. 3 MR. DIMMICH: It could have been. MR. WAGNER: Or maybe it was 309. 5 don't know. MR. DIMMICH: Any other questions? 6 7 8 EXAMINATION 9 BY MR. SPAETH: 10 Just to follow up on the snowplowing. I 11 don't even know if the township actually plows this road, but if the township does plow this road, if 12 13 Short Road was vacated, then the plow I guess would have to come down 309, going south, and then make a 14 15 right turn to go up Short Road to plow that section. 16 And then exit back out. 17 How would the plow turn around to go back 0. 18 out on 309? 19 Well, there is a wider area. I don't know 20 the size of the plow. If it was a dump truck it would probably be a little bit more difficult. 21 22 there is a -- and I'm referring to Exhibit A-3 here. 23 There is a pretty wide -- here's Short Road. 24 here's a section in here that falls within that 25 easement that the plow would likely be able to turn

around. I couldn't say could just make a nice sweeping maneuver, it may have to make a couple maneuvers, but it would have the ability to get back out that way.

MR. SPAETH: Okay.

* * *

EXAMINATION

BY MR. FARRELL:

- Q. That piece to the left, that's part of an easement?
- A. This looks like this is the property line for 8A, and this looks like part of the Short Road easement.
- Q. Yes.
- A. It's pretty wide.
- Q. Okay. And my second question is, just kind of following up on Mr. Wagner's concern about access to 309, recognizing that the history of all this may be difficult to ascertain, is there anything that would prevent access to 309 once Short Road is vacated, could PennDot come back and say, hey, you know, there was never a highway occupancy permit, or access was never formally granted, so therefore we are going to not allow access to 309 from Short Road?

Α. So that's a good question. I can't answer that definitively because it would be some speculation on PennDot's part, but what I can tell you just based on my experience is that if the northern section of Short Road were to be vacated, and the remaining southern piece or the stub would remain to provide access to 8A and 9, they would continue to provide access to 8A and 9. believe PennDot would come back and all of a sudden decide they're going to eliminate an access that serves a vacant lot and a single family home. just my experience that they're not in the business of going out and looking for trouble, so to speak. There's no indication that they would

There's no indication that they would not allow that access to remain. Obviously if they were, and again, I hate to speak for PennDot, but in the instance they did come back and say, well, now that the northern section of Short Road is vacated we're going to eliminate and not allow any access to the southern portion, then PennDot essentially faults the property. They have essentially condemned it if they don't provide access to the property.

Again, as I mentioned earlier in my testimony, they have to provide a reasonable access.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

They have to allow reasonable access from their roadways to the property. So again, if they would eliminate access and the inability for those properties to access the state roadway, they in my opinion would have to compensate the property owners, essentially a condemnation.

- Q. I mean, couldn't PennDot argue that access to the south never existed, and therefore if Short Road is vacated to the north, that they don't have any responsibility, and therefore they wouldn't have to condemn the property?
- A. Well, again, that would be looking for PennDot to go pick a fight. I don't believe that they would require any changes to the existing southern section of Short Road as it currently exists today, serving the properties as they exist today. I don't know that they would go through the hassle. I mean, I can't be definitive because I'm not speaking for PennDot. But I don't know that they could be definitive -- I'm sorry, they would take it upon themself to look at the ownership of the roadway that exists today, and as it operates today. They would likely leave it remains as is.

However, if those properties were ever to be developed, then PennDot would then look at the

roadway and determine what would need to be done in 1 2 order for access to be provided. There is likely 3 that there would be some changes required, radii, maybe widening, cleaning it up somewhat, providing a 4 5 longer road. Those are things that might happen in 6 the future if those properties are ever developed. 7 But as it exists today, as the properties exists today, I do not see PennDot going through the effort 8 9 of basically saying that intersection doesn't exist, 10 we are not giving you access any more because, 11 again, that's a lot of work for them, and opens them 12 up to potential liability, and having to go through 13 a court proceeding, whether or not they're 14 successful or not at the end of the day. 15 MR. SPAETH: Okay. I have nothing 16 else. 17 MR. DIMMICH: Any other questions? 18 MR. FARRELL: No. 19 MR. BENNER: I have another one. 20 MR. BENNER: Mr. Preston, how large 21 are your client's collective properties? 22 MR. PRESTON: The acreage? 23 know the acreage? 24 1.7, Mr. Benner. 25 MR. WAGNER: Is that 8A? Which

1 property? 2 MR. BENNER: That's both of them? 3 MR. PRESTON: Yes. I think it's 4 listed right here. Yeah, that would be correct. 5 They have -- if you go to paragraph 8 of the 6 petition, the acreage is listed there, 1.06 and .6. 7 MR. BENNER: What I'm getting at here 8 is, you started with your presentation this evening 9 indicating that your client really doesn't object to 10 this development. 11 MR. PRESTON: That's correct. 12 MR. BENNER: What is it that you want? 13 MR. PRESTON: Access to our property 14 other than the right in, right out. If I could 15 speak now, what we're --16 MR. BENNER: So this about a cross 17 easement, is that what this is about? 18 MR. PRESTON: Pretty much so, yes. 19 MR. BENNER: I got it. 20 MR. PRESTON: We are not -- you know, 21 my client is a developer as well. And what we are 22 being told is the right in, right out access that 23 currently exists at the end of Short Road, that 24 PennDot will respect that as long as he maintains 25 what's there, which is that old house.

You may be

familiar with it. But the same could be said for his property. They're going to respect that road as long as they own the development.

The issue here is what happens when somebody wants to develop it. And they want to take Short Road and pull it onto their side of the sheet, which means it comes off of our side of the sheet, and we are left with a right in, sort of dilapidated right in, right out that PennDot will allow us to drive into our house. And he bought the property, it's zoned commercial, same as theirs for development, knowing it has access to Passer Road. So we just want to be able to get on to Passer Road, Mr. Benner, that's all we're looking for. That's all.

MR. WAGNER: I have a question. Is this the first time the subject came up between the parties?

MR. PRESTON: I'm going to let Mr. -I have come to this case relatively late. I didn't
realize that he even had a land development plan.

MR. WAGNER: We're here tonight, and we are past that point, and it isn't our business. That's how I personally -- that's how I see that.

MR. PRESTON: Understood. I believe

1 it has, and I believe the township has been involved 2 in some of those discussions. I believe the staff knows. With the Board's permission -- well, Kirpal 3 4 is here. 5 MR. DIMMICH: The township made 6 efforts to try to get this issue resolved as a 7 mediator, but that didn't go very far. 8 MR. WAGNER: Didn't work. 9 MR. PRESTON: We're not here at the 10 11th hour, Mr. Wagner. That's not what this is. 11 MR. WAGNER: I don't have any other 12 questions. 13 MR. DIMMICH: Okay. Now it's your 14 chance, since all these questions were asked, it's 15 your chance to recross based on that, if you wish. 16 MR. PRESTON: Well, then I'll just 17 make some statements, which are not evidence. 18 MR. DIMMICH: Well, I know this isn't 19 zoning. This is an important thing, but it's not 20 evidence, I understand. 21 MR. PRESTON: Okay. 22 23 24 RECROSS EXAMINATION 25 BY MR. PRESTON:

1 Q. Mr. Hammond? 2 Α. Yes, sir. 3 Okay. You testified that the right in, Q. right out lower end of Short Road may or may not 4 5 have a PennDot permit, is that right? 6 Α. That's correct. 7 Q. And in your experience PennDot would not 8 necessarily say it didn't have one, PennDot would 9 not necessarily require that to be blocked off as 10 long as it continued to service that lower property 11 with a house, is that correct? 12 Α. That is correct. 13 Q. But if anybody wanted to develop that 14 property PennDot would certainly get involved, 15 wouldn't they? 16 Α. Develop that property? By that property 17 you mean your client's property? 18 0. My client's property. 19 Α. Yes. 20 With commercial uses permitted in the Q. 21 township zoning ordinance, is that correct? 22 Α. That is correct. 23 Q. Okay. They wouldn't likely allow that 24 existing condition to continue, is that correct? 25 Α. Well, what do you mean by existing

condition? So the existing condition that I would refer to that they would allow is the movement of the right in, right out. The existing condition that they may require modifications to is how that right in, right out currently comes into 309. In my opinion they would likely require some modification to the existing right in, right out driveway. But it would continue to remain right in, right out.

Q. And that would be a consequence of the

- Q. And that would be a consequence of the owner's desire to develop the property, isn't that right?
- A. That's correct. But if we were -- we are speculating now that if lot 8A and 9 were developed, and Short Road was not vacated, then PennDot would be involved, not only in potential modifications of right in, right out, but also the modifications of Short Road and Passer Road.
- Q. Absolutely.
- A. Right.
- Q. And if your clients weren't intending to develop that site that it's intending to develop now PennDot wouldn't be raising a fuss about the connection between Short Road and Passer, would it, fair, it would probably just stay the way it is?

 A. If everything stayed the way it is, yes, my

:	opinion, yes.
2	Q. They wouldn't be shutting down Short Road,
3	would they?
4	A. Not a normal issue, no.
5	Q. Everything would stay the way it is?
6	A. That's correct.
7	Q. So it's only your intent, the client's
8	intent to develop its property that's triggering
9	those changes, isn't that correct?
10	A. Yes.
11	MR. PRESTON: Okay. Nothing further.
12	MR. DIMMICH: I don't want this to go
13	on forever. Do you have further questions on
14	redirect after all that?
15	MR. SCHOCK: No. I was going to have
16	Mr. Cooley answer Ms. Stehlik's question.
17	MR. DIMMICH: Why don't we then in
18	that case Mr. Hammond is temporarily dismissed, and
19	we'll have Mr. Cooley come back up.
20	MR. HAMMOND: Thank you.
21	MR. DIMMICH: Thank you, Mr. Hammond.
22	MR. COOLEY: Good evening.
23	* * *
24	MICHAEL COOLEY, having been previously
25	sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

1

EXAMINATION

2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

BY MS. STEHLIK:

- My question was, there was mention of an easement. So I was looking to see what that would speak to.
- So I guess a little background. The irony of this matter is knowing what I know now this would have been the first order of business in this project. We've been at this for almost two years, been through zoning hearings, and been through planning commissions, and awaiting to get preliminary and final land development approval. don't want to get into the amount of dollars we spent, the time, the effort. The staff has been wonderful to work with, and from day one it's obvious that in order to effectuate this project Short Road needed to be vacated. So this is not a new matter. Unfortunately, we're dealing with it completely out of sequence. So, you know, this is -- in a perfect world we would have started construction on this project by now. But we're still dealing with the possibility of it may or may not ever happening.

The answer to your question, once I

realized that we needed to go through this hearing, we had a staff meeting that was called to -- well, let me back up. Once we were aware that we were going to this hearing, the staff requested that we get the -- make sure that Mr. Saini was aware of this, and his -- I'll call it cooperating with the vacation of Short Road. So I reached out personally to Mr. Saini via a phone call, and I introduced myself and explained to him what we were trying to accomplish on the neighboring properties. And I offered to come introduce myself in person and show him the plans to discuss it with him. He requested that I e-mail him the plans. And I did so immediately.

I followed up with him, you know, a week or so later. And the plan that I sent him showed a connection between his properties, his existing properties, and my proposed development with a cross access or curb cut access so he can gain access to Passer Road via crossing through our development. And it was almost like it wasn't even -- it was a zero consideration. He wasn't even -- I don't even think he thought about it for more than two seconds when he looked at it. And he immediately said, this is not going to work, and he

1 pretty much demanded that, you know, we try to figure out how to basically give him full driveway 2 3 access across the -- my entire property dedicated to 4 his property. 5 And we then went and met with staff 6 together. And Mr. Saini was present, I was present, 7 the staff was present. And it was blatantly an 8 adversarial meeting. It's just like someone mentioned earlier, nothing was accomplished. 9 only thing that was offered by Mr. Saini was that he 10 11 participates in my project in ownership of my 12 project, and we become partners to develop this 13 entire project together. I never met this man in my 14 life, and he is making me a proposition to own my 15 property. And like I said, nothing was 16 accomplished. And that's the extent of my 17 conversations with Mr. Saini. 18 MS. STEHLIK: Thank you.

MR. DIMMICH: Any further questions of

Mr. Cooley?

(No response.)

MR. DIMMICH: Any other further cross-examination, just based and what he testified to?

> MR. PRESTON: I have no cross

25

19

20

21

22

23

examination.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MR. DIMMICH: Okay. Just so the record is clear, normally offers of compromise and settlement are not admissible in legal cases. it in only for the purpose of answering a question as to why -- what really is the issue in this case. And now I think the issue has become very circumscribed, and now you understand it. you're talking about cross easements, you could have an easement that maybe lets just cars from a residential property, or you could have a cross easement that is a road which would let any number So there's clearly a huge differential. of cars. And I'm not -- I was not privy to those things. just wanted to point that out to you. obviously is part of it. Okay. Thank you.

MS. STEHLIK: Is that still an option available at this point?

MR. DIMMICH: Pardon me?

MS. STEHLIK: Is that easement still an option that's available at this point?

MR. COOLEY: I believe potentially it could be.

MS. STEHLIK: Okay.

MR. PRESTON: Mr. Dimmich -- I'll

2425

ATTACHMENT 1 - PG 95 of 154

52	*
-	wait.
2	MR. DIMMICH: No, you're welcome to
3	MR. PRESTON: Till we get to our case.
4	I have one person. I'd like to have Mr. Saini speak
5	to the Board when it's time.
6	MR. DIMMICH: Okay. Any other
7	witnesses?
8	MR. SCHOCK: I have one last witness.
9	MR. DIMMICH: Certainly.
10	MR. SCHOCK: And this is a little
11	repetitive, so I'll keep it brief.
12	MR. DIMMICH: It takes awhile to
13	develop what the real issues are and what are the
14	real facts in these cases, no matter how you do it.
15	* * * *
16	CASEY A. MOORE, having been duly
17	sworn, was examined and testified as follows:
18	DIRECT EXAMINATION
19	BY MR. SCHOCK:
20	Q. I showed you actually I didn't show you
21	that, but A-6 are your qualifications. Can you just
22	briefly summarize for the board your background?
23	A. Absolutely. I've been a traffic engineer
24	with McMahon Associates.
25	Q. Introduce yourself.

1 Α. My name is Casey Moore. I'm an executive 2 vice-president with the firm of McMahon Associates, 3 I've been an employee there since 1991. 4 have 27 years of experience with McMahon. 5 traffic engineer. I am licensed in five states. Ι 6 hold a professional engineering license in those 7 I serve municipalities as a traffic 8 consultant. I've served PennDot as a consultant, 9 and I also serve developers as a consultant. 10 been before numerous boards, both the zoning, and 11 commissioners and supervisors, planning commissions, 12 et cetera. I've provided expert witness testimony 13 over my 27 year career. 14 MR. SCHOCK: Okay. I'd like to offer 15 Mr. Moore as an expert in traffic engineering. 16 MR. DIMMICH: Any questions? 17 MR. PRESTON: I have no questions. No objection. 18 19 MR. DIMMICH: He has been accepted. 20 And the significant thing you've just 21 mentioned in your qualifications, and why we sought 22 a second opinion was, you do do work for 23 municipalities and for PennDot, is that correct? 24 That is correct. Α. 25 MR. SCHOCK: Okay. So I'll hand this

1 out. I'll have Mr. Moore just summarize it for the 2 board. 3 0. You heard Mr. Hammond's lengthy testimony? 4 Α. I have. I've been here the whole time. 5 0. And you've prepared -- you have prepared a 6 report after speaking with him and learning the 7 Can you just explain to us your conclusion 8 particularly with respect to and from the 9 perspective of his comments regarding what PennDot 10 would require, for example? 11 Α. Certainly, yes. We got involved to do an 12 independent review of this. We have not been 13 involved nearly to the extent that Mr. Hammond has 14 with this project. But when the thought was brought 15 up that they wanted to vacate this portion of Short 16 Road, which we're calling Short Drive in our study, 17 in our letter, because that's what it's posted as 18 out there, Short Road, you know, we've looked at the 19 safety and operational aspects of that road as it is 20 today, and as it may be in the future. 21 So our evaluation looked at it from 22 the perspective of if we were looking at this 23 project independently as the township traffic 24 consultant, or as the PennDot reviewer, how would we

approach this if this project was proposed for

redevelopment, which it is.

From what we know, and Mr. Hammond testified to the location of Short Road is in very close proximity to Passer Drive where it comes out on Passer Drive to the signalized intersection. Any additional traffic to that road we feel -- and PennDot has concurred with this in Matt's testimony as well, that Short Road would need to be either moved, relocated, or removed altogether in this case.

There is problems with vehicles shooting past that intersection. There's problems then with the sight distance as you come out of Short Road, not being able to look toward 309 because you're looking through cars on that side of the road. I think Mr. Hammond testified to the fact that if cars are queued on Passer and a car was turning left onto Short Road coming off of 309 it could be blocking traffic that's coming behind it, potentially rear end that vehicle.

So in our evaluation of this we felt as to what PennDot was asking for was the same thing that we would ask for. We serve PennDot also in the capacity as an extension of their traffic unit reviewing highway occupancy permit plans as a

2

1

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

consultant reviewer since 1994. So having been in that position, PennDot really wants safety and operational efficiency, and with the redevelopment this property, the roadway being further away from the signalized intersection is prudent to do from a safety and operational perspective.

We were also asked to look at what happens to the south side of Short Road at 309. would agree with what Mr. Hammond said, that portion of road would remain as a right in, right out. permits those movements today. I do not know as well if that has ever been permitted like with an official highway occupancy permit. If it predates 1982, which I believe it does, I believe just the configuration of it from looking at the maps that might have been the alignment of where 309 used to be before the bypass was built, that PennDot is not going to seek out a property as a single family home and a vacant lot to reconfigure that, and permit that driveway today. It would be upon redevelopment of those properties that it would require a new permit to be provided, and the design then to meet and conform with PennDot regulations and today's standards.

Q. And one other item that you mentioned which

we would like your opinion on is, would any development along Short Road, be it these properties or the adjoining property that remains along Short Road to the south, require relocation of Short Road or removal of Short Road, like Mr. Hammond opined? Α. Yes. I believe in my memorandum we looked at the township ordinances. So let's say that these properties that are subject to this hearing tonight weren't being redeveloped, and that lots 8A and 9 were coming in for redevelopment, and they proposed to use Short Road in its current configuration. Ву township ordinance, I believe it's Section 305, a traffic impact study would be required. Being that the access then would fall onto a state road either to 309 or to Passer Road, the traffic impact study would reveal that any increase in traffic for redevelopment of those properties would require much of the same that we talked about tonight in testimony from others. That intersection would need be to relocated further back from 309, or eliminated.

I can say that besides this area of 309 where there's a median in the road and it restricts some properties to right in, right out, there's properties as far south as Montgomerville

2425

21

22

1 where they put up a median, and there's a lot of 2 properties in that location that don't have access 3 to signals, and those properties function as right 4 in, right out as well. 5 MR. SCHOCK: Okay. That's all the questions I have for this witness. 6 7 MR. DIMMICH: Cross. 8 9 CROSS EXAMINATION 10 BY MR. PRESTON: 11 Q. Mr. Moore, good evening. 12 Α. Good evening. 13 Q. I think you stated that any development of 14 the properties, any of the properties really along 15 Short Road, if they're to be developed for 16 commercial uses it's likely PennDot is going to 17 require Short Road be relocated? 18 Α. Yes, unless you're redeveloping a house for 19 a house. 20 Q. Swapping out a house for a house? 21 Exactly. Anything that's going to add --Α. 22 That's why I said commercial property. Q. 23 Α. Yes. 24 Q. So regardless of what property is 25 developed, any of the properties that are developed

	commercially PennDot is going to require them to				
	realign or replace or removal of that?				
:	A. They would carefully look at Short Road at				
4	Passer Road, yes.				
ĩ	Q. And Short Road is, or Short Drive is				
6	relatively short, isn't it?				
7	A. Relatively short.				
8	Q. There's only how many lots along that road,				
9	if you know?				
10	A. I don't know for sure, but I'm going to say				
11	four to six lots.				
12	Q. That's fair. I'm not going to hold you to				
13	it. Are you familiar with the prior ordinance that				
14	conditionally vacated Short Road?				
15	A. I am not. I did not get that deeply				
16	involved with the project.				
17	MR. PRESTON: Then no further				
18	questions.				
19	MR. DIMMICH: Does the board have				
20	any any redirect?				
21	MR. SCHOCK: No.				
22	MR. DIMMICH: Does the Board have any				
23	questions?				
24	(No response.)				
25	MR. DIMMICH: Before we get done, or				

1	move on, I have an issue on the exhibits. The two O
2	exhibits, Mr. Preston, which one is O-1?
3	MR. PRESTON: They were
4	collectively marked.
5	MR. DIMMICH: There's two photos in
6	this one, and two photos in this one, and I have 0-1
7	and 0-2.
8	MR. PRESTON: No, there should be
9	MR. SCHOCK: There should have been
10	just one packet with two photos. That's all you
11	gave me.
12	MR. PRESTON: That's all.
13	MR. DIMMICH: So theirs is no 0-2,
14	just 0-1.
15	MR. PRESTON: O-1 is two pages, two
16	photographs. One would be an aerial and one would
17	be a picture of an intersection.
18	MR. DIMMICH: And the only other
19	exhibit that I wasn't quite sure of, 2, 3 and 4 are
20	plans.
21	MR. SCHOCK: We'll take them off.
22	MR. DIMMICH: I need to have something
23	done. I have A-5, I have A-1, 6, 7 I show seven
24	A exhibits. Is that correct?
25	MR. SCHOCK: A-7 was my last one.

1	MR. DIMMICH: And we have three maps.
2	MR. SCHOCK: We'll take these off at
3	the end of the hearing and hand them in. Just in
4	case one of us refers to them.
5	MR. DIMMICH: I just want to keep the
6	record clean.
7	MR. SCHOCK: And I'm not sure I asked
8	for admission of all of those.
9	MR. DIMMICH: Any objection to the
10	admission of any of these?
11	MR. PRESTON: No objection.
12	MR. DIMMICH: All exhibits are
13	admitted that have just been moved and submitted,
14	and have been offered.
15	I believe I should ask you this.
16	There are no utilities on this road, on Short Road,
17	is there?
18	MR. SCHOCK: Mr. Britz should answer
19	that one.
20	MR. DIMMICH: Who has to answer it?
21	MR. SCHOCK: The civil engineer
22	probably should answer that.
23	MR. DIMMICH: Well, with your
24	permission I'd like to ask him.
25	MR. SCHOCK: I'll recall him.

1	MR. DIMMICH: Swap them out here.					
2	MR. SCHOCK: Sure.					
3	* * * *					
4	ERIC BRITZ, having been previously					
5	sworn, was examined and testified as follows:					
6	EXAMINATION					
7	BY MR. DIMMICH:					
8	Q. It's Britz, is it not?					
9	A. Britz, BRITZ.					
10	Q. Mr. Britz, are you first of all, are you					
11	familiar with Short Road as it currently exists?					
12	A. I am.					
13	Q. Are there any utilities that the Board					
14	needs to worry about along that road?					
15	A. There is none that I'm aware of.					
16	Q. Have you looked at the official township					
17	map to see if this road exists on the map?					
18	A. I have not.					
19	Q. Do you know if it is covered by liquid					
20	fuels?					
21	A. I do not.					
22	Q. And as far as, do you you know who has been					
23	doing the maintenance? I mean, obviously somebody					
24	has done it because there's macadam and all sorts of					
25	stuff there, but					

1	A. I'm not aware of who is doing the					
2	maintenance.					
3	Q. Okay. You did not inquire?					
4	A. No.					
5	Q. And as far as the zoning is concerned, I					
6	assume this will come forward on Mr. Preston's side					
7	of the case, but maybe you know, is the APS property					
8	zoned the same as the Provco property?					
9	A. It is.					
10	MR. DIMMICH: Thank you.					
11	Does the Board have any questions					
12	2 based on my questions?					
13	(No response.)					
14	MR. DIMMICH: Anybody else have					
15	questions?					
16	MR. SCHOCK: No.					
17	MR. DIMMICH: Is there anybody in the					
18	audience that wants to ask any questions of any of					
19	the witnesses at this point?					
20	MR. SCHWENK: I'd like to just make a					
21	statement pertaining to use of the property.					
22	MR. DIMMICH: Could you please come					
23	forward first, please, sir. Because as I said, this					
24	is a hybrid between a formal trial and public input.					
25	So first of all you're going to have to identify					

yourself and then you'll have to be sworn in.

MR. SCHWENK: Jerry Schwenk, Junior.

* * * *

XXXJERRY SCHWENK, JR., having been duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

* * * *

MR. SCHWENK: I was just going to say that the original use of the property as I understand it was a car dealership. And the road that was questioned about the original use of that road, whether that was part of 309 or not, it's my understanding it was the original 309. And it was a car dealership, sitting right on 309, which is the glass windows in the front of my store.

came in, that road, I believe that was a stop sign that you could enter on 309 going south. So as far as can somebody use it going forward and can PennDot take it away, it's been that way since probably the '40s, I guess. I'm getting old, maybe '30s. I've been around that property since late '70s or '80s, and that had always been used as it is now. There's a stop sign, and I think a street sign on it. And also I'm pretty sure the township maintains it, because there was what amounted to a speed bump that

because the concrete was that bad, and it was that way for years, and then they took it out and put that macadam portion of it in. And it's been in its current form. There's potholes in it like that. I drive a big truck, I got to go around it. So it's barely usable.

And as far as the safety of it, when you're coming off 309 turning left onto it now, I think they said 60 some feet, in reality there's room for one car to turn left, and that road is always blocked. So that's always stacked. So somebody is hanging out the tail of their car out on 309. So it's not that safe.

That being said, I've been operating it as a business, and the car count is not specific. I've had an antique business there, an antique mall and vendors there. So depending on their hours there's significantly more traffic than that. In case this deal doesn't happen I want to maintain what I have.

And prior to that when I bought it from my father we had an auction business there. So we had significant traffic then. However, it was always a disaster, and never should have been there.

MR. DIMMICH: Can you spell your name,

please?

6.

MR. SCHWENK: S C H W E N K. But it was always been the same even when I was a kid there. We rented that property as an auction property before we bought it. And so it was always traffic, and always an issue, people waiting to turn left there stacking. So certainly it didn't get any better the way things are now. So is it usable or burdensome, or whatever, I would have to say probably not that usable, and very burdensome.

However, it is already being used as I'm currently using it now, and I don't have a problem being there in the future myself in case it doesn't happen.

But it was a car dealership, and that was the old 309. And so I think that has been able to access 309 going south for literally decades, whenever -- whenever the new highway came in, and they changed it.

MR. DIMMICH: I realize you're not a party, but you have added testimentary evidence here, so --

MR. SCHWENK: Well, I was going on the public comment section.

MR. DIMMICH: Well, we will give them

-	a chance to ask any questions if they have any.						
2	Do you have any questions.						
3	MR. PRESTON: Just real quick.						
4	* * *						
5	CROSS EXAMINATION						
6	BY MR. PRESTON:						
7	Q. You said you own a property, yours is the						
8	property						
9	A. The antique business.						
10	Q. You own that?						
11	A. Yes.						
12	Q. And they're going to purchase it from you						
13	if they're successful?						
14	A. Yes.						
15	MR. PRESTON: Okay. No further						
16	questions.						
17	MR. SCHOCK: Nothing.						
18	MR. DIMMICH: Does the Board have any						
19	questions?						
20	(No response.)						
21	MR. DIMMICH: Okay. Thank you. I						
22	don't want to make it onerous for anybody to come up						
23	and fear they're going to get grilled here, but I						
24	want it fair for everyone.						
25	Do you have any further testimony on						
	II II						

1 your side? 2 MR. SCHOCK: No. 3 MR. DIMMICH: And you've already moved your items into evidence. So you will rest. And 4 we'll then turn to the defendant. 5 6 MR. SCHOCK: Do you want the table? 7 MR. PRESTON: No, this is going to be 8 very short. It's getting late. What I'd like to do 9 with the Board's permission is Mr. Kipor Saini is 10 here. He is the principal, the owner of the 11 property. He's the corporate entity that owns the 12 property. If you can give him a minute or two to 13 address the Board I think we're done. 14 With the Board's permission. 15 MR. DIMMICH: Okay. Certainly. 16 17 KIRPAL SAINI, having been duly sworn, 18 was examined and testified as follows: 19 20 MR. DIMMICH: Give your name and 21 address. 22 MR. SAINI: Kirpal Saini, K F R P A L, 23 S A I N I, 15 Murray Drive, Easton, Pennsylvania, 24 18042. 25 MR. DIMMICH: Just so it's clear,

you're the owner of APS?

MR. SAIARINI: APS.

MR. DIMMICH: Those properties, the two tracks, 8A and 9 I think is what they have been identified as?

MR. SAINI: Absolutely, yes.

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$$ DIMMICH: Okay. Please tell us what you wish the Board to know.

MR. SAINI: The reason I am here, first of all, the traffic engineers, they're only giving you their side of this because they want to please the people who is paying their bill to the Wawa, and the other one. But the reality is entirely different. They are not revealing the dark side of what they are proposing. It's going to create an unsafe condition for the public. It's going to be very burdensome. It will be very inconvenient.

If you will allow me, if they will allow me I would like to use their exhibit, if you don't mind.

MR. DIMMICH: You may use the exhibit. But please, whichever you use please identify it on the record what you're using.

MR. SAINI: Yes. I only want to

1 identify the Short Road --2 MR. DIMMICH: What exhibit are you 3 looking at, sir? 4 MR. SAINI: This is Exhibit A-4. 5 MR. DIMMICH: A-4. Thank you. 6 MR. SAINI: And coming on 309 south, 7 going south, there is no way anybody can make 180 8 degree turn. That's what it's like. If you are 9 going 70, you go and come back right away. A lot of 10 people will get killed. 11 These properties, they're commercial properties, 8A and 9, and that was done 15 years 12 13 back if I'm not mistaken. 14 MR. DIMMICH: Are you saying they're 15 zoned commercial? 16 MR. SAINI: Yes, sir. They're not 17 homes. And that's not a vacant lot. The lot on 8A 18 is a parking lot for lot number 9. For all the years when Gibson property was there, and even his 19 20 predecessor was there, that used to be used in 21 conjunction with each other. If you look on the history at the filings with the county board, you 22 23 will always see one lot has gone with the other always across the board. Everyone knows why. 2.4

This road was created prior to 1937,

All

the Short Road, by the developer at that time so he 1 2 can sell these lots along with the other ones so he 3 can develop it. So by doing this, what my friends 4 are proposing, there are only four cars, one car, or 5 two cars is totally untrue. You have to take what 6 was the traffic count when the antique place is in 7 full swing and Gibson Trophy was in full swing. these years, the 80 plus years, the path of the 8 9 Short Road was always used. I'm not talking for one

The last record I could get was 1937.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

When you come in off the back, because, again, it is so sharp, people coming from north, they will wipe you off. You will not be I don't know where the public safety is they're promoting. And where they are promoting the left -- the right turn, that is also death. single car will flip. I will request each one of you, please drive yourself, make a right turn. you come out alive I will give you a medal, because you cannot make 180 degrees, no way in this world.

year or two year or 20 years, it's 80 plus years.

We are going to develop those lots. have been talking to the township. For one reason or the other we did not develop it because we are struggling with other properties on the same road,

on Fairmont Street, and all of that. But it is in the plan. But I have discussed with the township manager and the other people in the past.

Once you come out of that, unless you're lucky, you go in, you make 180, and you come out, because this is going to be a commercial. This is going to be customers. It's not a residential the way my other side has been proposing, and it has been giving you the wrong information. That is totally untrue.

There is no way you can go to Fairmont Street, and there's no U turn. You come back. If you are coming any other direction except coming from south to -- so north to south, that's where you can stop, look around, and if you have a vibrant business tomorrow there, which we will.

I've been in this town since 2001, 18 years. I've been paying all of my taxes across the board, starting with Fairmont Street up to lot 8A.

I've been paying my taxes for the swamp. I've been a faithful citizen, or a businessman. But the point of the reality, there is no way you can get into this lot when you come and make a left. No way.

Even PennDot will not give you, even if you put a million dollars. Are they going to put a million

dollar? No.

Same thing if you are coming from south, you're coming north, there is no way you can make a left turn. You come to the traffic light. There is no way you can do anything. They want to take the benefits for Wawa, but it is burdensome to us. I'm using the same three words. It's unsafe for the public, and it's very inconvenient.

Please put yourself in my shoes.

MR. DIMMICH: Let me just ask --

MR. SAINI: Yes, sir.

MR. DIMMICH: I want to make sure I understood what you were saying. You're claiming when you're going south on 309 it would be very difficult and/or inconvenient for you to make a right turn into your property 8A, is that correct?

MR. SAINI: According to what they are portraying, that you have to come to the traffic light, make a U turn, and go there. Now you enter the property --

MR. DIMMICH: All right. Let's say you're going south on 309?

MR. SAINI: Yes, sir.

MR. DIMMICH: You know where the end of Short Road is. Can you make a right turn into

your property there?

MR. SAINI: Physically?

MR. DIMMICH: Yes.

MR. SAINI: I cannot. I cannot turn 180 degrees. I will be looking for somebody with an ambulance.

MR. DIMMICH: With this configuration you cannot do it?

MR. SAINI: Yes, sir. Then I have to call the ambulance and have them follow me if I'm making a 180 degree turn at 45, 50, 60 miles an hour, because the cars are behind, and it's very hard for people to, you know, have to brake within the 10, 15, 20 feet. So their proposal is very, very bad.

When I spoke to Michael in front of the township attorney, and engineer, economic development people, and there are three, four other people in the room. And Eric Schock, the attorney, who used to be my attorney before, he has done a lot of work for me. I proposed, I am not against your development. You want to improve something, you want to develop, it's a business, do it. But I have an easement, or I have a right at this point to get onto Passer Road, to get from Short Road to go to

Passer Road. I can go left, I can go east, west, north, south. By doing this you are eliminating me that I can only make a right, and I can only make a right out, if I can make it. And that will not happen, sir.

He asked me, what do you want? I said, give me easement anywhere. You can move this road, the road, 20 feet, 30 feet, 40 feet, whatever fits for your development, move this road, which it does not belong to him, unless township hand over to him. It is from one investor, from one businessman, hand over to the other businessman. And that's not right. Everybody should be treated equal.

All I'm asking him to do is give me access to Passer Road at his convenience, wherever he wants. He wants 65 feet, 80 feet, no problem. I don't have a problem accessing there once I develop this property, so the people who are going to want to visit us, they can use it.

And I was reading that any property which you develop, you have to have a second egress. I will not have a second egress. All of you can understand. I can go in or out. I cannot develop it as a second egress. This was used all the time only as an exit in emergency. That's the right

word, emergency exit. You can see the --

MR. DIMMICH: Now pointing at A-4.

MR. SAINI: This cement road goes all the way in front of the structure, which my friend calls it a house, which is no longer. I think he had not done his homework looking at the zoning of the property, what he is trying to put in the gutter. He have to know that what he's presenting. So after that, there was not any road. It just stopped over there. That used to be a dirt road, and which was later on in the last 10, 15 years, people stopped putting some asphalt. We put some asphalt because it used to be dirt.

So please get us an easement to Passer Road. That is all we want. We don't want to block their development.

MR. DIMMICH: I understand your argument. One of the difficulties with narrative testimony is it's very difficult to break in, and it's much better when there's a question and answer. But I will let you -- you have a right to do narrative testimony. It just becomes very cumbersome.

So, Mr. Preston, do you have any further questions, direct questions you would like

1 to ask Mr. Saini? 2 MR. PRESTON: I do not. 3 Okay. Any cross? MR. DIMMICH: 4 5 CROSS EXAMINATION 6 BY MR. SCHOCK: Mr. Saini, what is the use that is 7 Q. 8 occurring on lot 9? You keep saying being Mr. 9 Hammond incorrectly referred to it as a house. 10 What's going on there today? 11 That's a vacant property, because it did Α. 12 not comply -- let me answer you, sir. 13 0. I didn't cut you off. 14 Α. It did not comply with the fire department, 15 and also the zoning, because you have to put ramps, 16 and you have to put the bells and buzzers. And we 17 had a national client company, we had came in front 18 of the township, and that offer at that time was 19 very prohibitive. It was about \$20,000. 20 Then I did approach the township, and 21 I was going to demolish it, because Mr. Tom Beil, he 22 gave me very good suggestion, he said don't do that 23 right now because you have a certain use to it on 24 these two lots. You can always use it. Don't do 25 that. So that's -- and I've been paying taxes on

1	this property, both properties. So that's that
2	is a commercial structure. It's not a house, sir.
3	MR. DIMMICH: Okay. That was the
4	question that was asked.
5	MR. SCHOCK: Okay.
6	MR. DIMMICH: Did that answer your
7	question, at least that question?
8	MR. SCHOCK: That it's vacant, yes,
9	right:
10	MR. DIMMICH: Okay. Next question.
11	Q. The structure that is there though, it
12	could be construed as a residential looking
13	structure, correct, when you look at it?
14	A. There are a lot of properties though which
15	have upper and lower, two floors. They both have
16	used as commercial, and they are done in compliance
17	with the zoning and the fire department.
18	Q. But the answer is, it looks like a house?
19	A. It looks two story to me.
20	Q. Okay.
21	A. Because I don't do residential. I only do
22	commercial.
23	Q. So when you mentioned that people from 9
24	park on the lot on 8A, there are no people on 9
25	right now parking on 8A because it's vacant, is that

20						
1	right?					
2	A. The lot 9 is vacant, yes.					
3	Q. Okay. So you heard the witnesses testify					
4	about any proposed development of your property.					
5	You're experienced in real estate development, is					
6	that correct?					
7	A. Yes.					
8	Q. You've done it before, right?					
9	A. What you're trying to do, or your associate					
10	on the right-hand side is trying to do is create a					
11	million dollar					
12	Q. There is no question about that.					
13	A. Let me finish.					
14	Q. No, you have to answer my question. You					
15	have to answer my question.					
16	MR. DIMMICH: Hold on. I think he's					
17	objecting.					
18	The way it goes, he'll ask you the					
19	question, you can answer, and I will then let you					
20	explain. But please answer the question first.					
21	Okay.					
22	MR. SAINI: Thank you. Sir.					
23	Q. Okay. So the question was, you're					
24	experienced in performing in doing real estate					
25	development, you've indicated that, correct?					

1 Α. Unconformity of what? If the zoning is 2 right, how you do it, you have old structures, you 3 demolish old structure, it's being done day in day Too old, on the old properties you demolish 5 the structure. Before you demolish you get your 6 permits. You go through that, and then that's my 7 That's what I want to do. That's exactly how plan. 8 I " m --9 MR. SCHOCK: I object. I don't think 10 he's answering my question. 11 MR. DIMMICH: The objection is 12 sustained. 13 Mr. Saini, please answer whatever the 14 question is, if you can, and then I'll let you 15 explain it. But you have to answer the question 16 first. 17 Q. Have you ever taken a raw piece of land and 18 developed it for a real estate project? 19 Α. Yes. 20 Okay. So you've indicated that that would 21 be what you propose to eventually do, develop 8A and 22 9, is that correct? 23 I will develop it, yes. 24 Q. Okay. Have you investigated with a traffic 25 engineer what would happen to access if you propose

1 to develop those lots? 2 If Short Road was there, the expense is not 3 And I am aware of that, or I was made aware that the township has been collecting money 4 for that intersection. I don't know if the money is 5 6 there. 7 8 just asked a question --9 10 Mr. Saini.

MR. SCHOCK: I'm going to object.

MR. DIMMICH: Okay. Hold on, That objection is sustained. testimony is stricken.

I believe the simple question before you was, did you -- have you contacted a traffic engineer to do a study on your properties 8 and 9? Α. No, I have not.

- Okay. So you have no ability to refute the traffic engineer's conclusions that any development of 8A and 9 would not be able to utilize Short Road going north?
- Because that is his aim, that's what he's hired to do that, that he is only concentrating on his client's project. He is not looking towards the rest of the people of how burdensome and how unsafe it is, and how inconvenient it is if they are going to do just the property.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1 So then tomorrow you have this 2 property. St. Luke's just did a beautiful wonderful 3 How many years that lot was vacant? 4 cannot assume that the lot owner is not going to do 5 that. 6 MR. DIMMICH: That is his response and 7 his answer. 8 MR. SCHOCK: Close, yeah. 9 Q. Did you speak with PennDot at all 10 concerning the development of your properties? 11 I was not there yet. 12 Q. Okay. So you don't know what access 13 PennDot might or might not approve for your 14 property? 15 From my experience with PennDot they are 16 not going to let me get off that property, or get 17 into that property unless there is the actual peak. 18 And once you go into actual peak, I am struggling 19 with some other township, and I have an 20 intersection, and they are brutal. And they are --21 if you think that they are going to let me hope to 22 develop that south phase --23 MR. SCHOCK: I'm going to object. 24 It's not the question. 25 MR. DIMMICH: The objection is

sustained. The last portion of his statement is 1 2 stricken. 3 So it is going to be very impossible. 4 Q. Did you review any of the PennDot response 5 letters on my client's project? 6 Α. I was never provided. 7 Okay. Did you review any of the township Q. 8 letters with respect to my client's project? 9 I was not provided anything. I came here, 10 and I look on that, and I asked about that. 11 the response is from your experts, and what you are 12 presenting is because you want to take it --13 MR. SCHOCK: I'm going to object 14 again. 15 Α. -- and not give anything. Just give me an 16 easement. 17 MR. DIMMICH: Hold on a second. 18 think I understand. Mr. Saini is -- Mr. Saini as a 19 property owner has a right to express an opinion 20 concerning his property and its use. I believe that 21 that is the evidentiary law. I think that that's 22 what he's doing. He's just going a little bit 23 beyond. 24 MR. SCHOCK: No problem. 25 MR. DIMMICH: So as far as his

	opinion, that's fine, and I won't sustain that					
	objection.					
	Anything else?					
4	MR. SCHOCK: No, that's all the					
į						
(MR. DIMMICH: Mr. Preston, anything					
-						
8	MR. PRESTON: Nothing further.					
9	MR. DIMMICH: Okay. And your Exhibit					
10						
11	MR. PRESTON: Move for the admission.					
12	MR. DIMMICH: It's in. Anything else					
13	you wish to present?					
14	MR. PRESTON: No, nothing further.					
15	MR. DIMMICH: Okay. Thank you, Mr.					
16	Saini.					
17	MR. SAINI: Thank you very much.					
18	Thank you all. Please put yourself in my shoes.					
19	MR. DIMMICH: Do you have any					
20	rebuttal?					
21	MR. SCHOCK: I don't believe so.					
22	MR. DIMMICH: Okay. Is there any					
23	members of the public that have something they want					
24	to add after the laborious testimony that we have					
25	just gone through?					

Any member of the public?
(No response.)
MR. DIMMICH: And I do not mean that
critically. It is just difficult testimony, very
difficult. Somewhat emotional even.
Does the board have any questions
before we close the hearing?
MR. SPAETH: I have one question.
MR. DIMMICH: Who is it directed to?
MR. SPAETH: APS Associates.
MR. DIMMICH: Okay. Do you want to
direct it to their counsel or direct it to
Mr. Saini?
MR. SPAETH: Whoever can answer the
question.
MR. DIMMICH: Let's start with
Mr. Preston. See if he can get there.
MR. SPAETH: This is a simple
question. On your exhibit by the stop sign, there's
a it looks like a for sale sign. Is either lot
8A or 9 for sale right now?
MR. SAINI: No.
MR. PRESTON: The answer is no.
MR. SAINI: That should be for rent or
lease. The realty, if they put the wrong sign,

that's their mistake, and I will take care of that 1 2 right away. 3 MR. SPAETH: Okay. That's fine. 4 MR. DIMMICH: Any other questions from 5 the board? 6 (No response.) 7 MR. DIMMICH: All right. At this 8 point, Mr. Chairman, I believe the hearing on the 9 road vacation is concluded. And I turn it back over 10 to you as chairman to continue on with your meeting. 11 MR. BENNER: All right. That leads us 12 to, I guess, what we're going to do here this evening. We heard a lot of testimony going both 13 14 ways, and these things are always difficult to 15 decide what the best approach is, but we're charged 16 with that obligation. 17 So is there a motion to adopt Proposed 18 Ordinance Number 163 vacating a portion of Short 19 Road, located between Passer Road and 309? 20 MR. DIMMICH: And note that that's a 21 conditional vacation. 22 MR. FARRELL: So moved. 23 MR. WAGNER: Second. 24 MR. BENNER: Any further discussion? 25 (No response.)

```
1
                          MR. BENNER: Any further discussion
  2
          from the public?
  3
                          (No response.)
  4
                          MR. BENNER: All in favor.
  5
                          (The motion passed unanimously.)
  6
                         MR. BENNER: The motion carries.
  7
                          (Hearing concluded.)
  8
  9
 10
 11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

	15.
**	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	I hereby certify that the evidence and
11	proceedings are contained fully and accurately in
12	the notes taken by me of the within Board of
13	Supervisors hearing, and that this is a correct
14	transcript of the same.
15	
16	
17	
18	James P. Gallagher III Registered Diplomate Reporter
19	Notary Public
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

A	26:24 28:14,15	11:23	27:20 28:1	91:16 92:25
A-1 3:22 14:25	32:6 36:10,11	Acquisitions	64:13	105:18,20,22
104:23	36:12,20 39:14	-	agreements 3:22	
A-2 3:22 31:22	39:18 40:2,4,9		14:9,13,21	122:6,18
37:20,21	45:16 46:8,11	85:23 86:6	ahead 13:14	123:14,15,19
A-3 3:23 34:3,5	50:8,14,18	act 7:4	38:24	123:20 124:13
81:22	51:2,5,17,21	acts 73:9	aim 125:20	124:15 126:7
A-4 3:23 36:3	52:4,9,17,24	actual 9:8 13:1	alignment	129:14,23
42:24 45:21	53:6,11 54:12	35:1 126:17,18		answered 60:12
60:1,25 76:14	55:2,11 56:14	add 102:21	alive 115:20	64:7
77:18 114:4,5	57:1 58:1,9,17	128:24	Allegiance 4:5	answering 95:5
120:2	58:18,23,23	added 56:6,25	Allentown 1:24	124:10
A-5 3:24 41:14	59:16,18 60:13	,	72:10	antique 109:16
41:18 104:23	60:24 63:5,19	addition 47:13	alleviate 46:18	109:16 111:9
A-6 3:24 96:21	64:12,16,22	additional 56:5	47:9	115:6
A-73:25 104:25	65:13 71:1,5	56:9 68:21	allow 28:15 33:7	anybody 5:16
abbreviated	71:13 75:16,21	D.	50:17 70:4,5	89:13 107:14
5:22	82:17,20,23,24	address 30:11	70:12,18 82:24	1
ability 24:15	83:7,8,10,15	112:13,21	83:15,19 84:1	114:7
50:21 51:3,6,9	83:19,22,25	adjacent 7:22	87:9 89:23	apartment
51:21 52:9	84:1,3,4,7 85:2	13:5 14:13	90:2 113:19,20	48:25
53:18 54:3,6	85:10 86:13,22	adjoining 101:3	allowed 5:12 8:7	apartments
55:1 58:6	87:12 93:19,19	adjourn 4:7	8:18 19:6	32:22,23
62:14 75:20,20	93:20 94:3	adjustments	allowing 71:13	apologize 41:2
82:3 125:16	101:14 102:2	47:14	allows 49:16	appeal 5:14 7:6
able 5:18 12:10	110:17 119:15	admissible 95:4	alternative 11:4	7:10 8:1
22:23,23 25:12	124:25 126:12	admission 105:8	alternatives	appear 22:25
28:21 30:4	accessibility	105:10 128:11	28:14	APPEARAN
39:14,18,23	58:14	admitted 13:11	altogether 32:4	2:1
50:5,18 67:19	accessing 51:1	15:16 105:13	99:9	appears 73:19
81:25 87:13	119:17	admitting 21:19	ambulance	applicant 15:3,4
99:14 110:16	accidentally	adopt 130:17	118:6,10	48:10
125:18	40:21	adopted 12:3	amount 44:10	application 8:10
absolute 79:23	accidents 68:2,9	adversarial 94:8	49:25 60:23	43:14 60:22
absolutely 16:20	accommodate	advisable 65:8	68:11 92:14	61:3 68:20
49:1,10,10	14:15	advise 65:7	amounted	apply 10:14,21
56:21 59:12	accomplish	aerial 3:23 20:8	108:25	appoint 8:12
90:18 96:23	93:10	20:21 34:1	analysis 49:8	appoints 7:7
113:6	accomplished	37:1 104:16	52:4,5 55:17	approach 43:5
abuts 40:16	94:9,16	affect 9:23 10:11	analyze 46:12	46:25 47:1,1
abutting 69:19	account 61:6	afield 25:23	analyzed 44:21	51:6 98:25
accepted 35:23	accurately 37:1	afternoon 44:14	analyzes 43:18	121:20 130:15
42:15 97:19	132:11	agenda 4:7	and/or 117:15	approaches
access 17:21	achieved 50:8	ago 45:1 48:6	answer 21:21	46:23
18:3 20:2	acquire 12:8	agree 64:12	22:12 29:1,6	approaching
21:24 22:1,2,8	14:9,14	100:9	60:9,11 64:1	22:5 43:10
22:13,21,23,25	acquired 31:16	agreed 8:15	70:17 74:14,19	47:3
23:3,5 25:16	acquiring 33:16	69:18		appropriate
25:17 26:18,21	Acquisition 2:5	agreement	80:4,5 83:1	5:17 59:4
E-si-sawasi-te-si-	l l	l.	<u></u>	

Page 134

				rage 13.
78:21	assist 16:7	background	127:20 128:21	80:11 96:5,22
approval 92:13	Assistant 1:20	5:20 41:22	130:8	98:2 103:19,22
approve 7:9,24	associate 123:9	92:7 96:22	believed 80:12	106:13 107:11
126:13	associated 44:21	backs 47:7	bells 121:16	111:18 112:13
approximate	Associates 2:9	49:13 62:9,10	belong 119:10	113:8 114:22
72:21,22	5:5,5 12:21	bad 109:1	benefit 47:16	114:24 116:19
approximately	17:9 96:24	118:15	55:20,22,23	129:6 130:5
14:12 18:18	97:2 129:10	balance 58:18	60:14 71:3,5,7	132:12
43:6 44:11	assume 60:5	bar 22:9 45:14	benefits 8:13	Board's 20:10
45:12,22,23	107:6 126:4	49:15	117:6	88:3 112:9,14
48:3 72:19,23	assumed 28:10	barely 109:6	Benner 1:16 4:1	boards 35:15
73:3	assumption	barrier 80:17	4:6,10 85:19	97:10
APS 2:9 5:4,5	37:12	based 34:10	85:20,24 86:2	bodies 42:6
17:8 33:22	attached 20:5	44:7,17 49:7	86:7,12,16,19	Bohler 12:16
37:1 74:8	24:3 69:14	55:17 60:10	87:14 130:11	31:14 35:5
76:11 107:7	attention 24:5	83:4 88:15	130:24 131:1,4	
113:1,2 129:10	attorney 9:1	94:23 107:12	130:24 131:1,4	
area 12:19 20:22	11:18 13:8	bases 13:13	best 30:12	bottom 9:25
24:8 26:10	118:17,19,20	basically 6:12	130:15	23:17 50:3
43:3 47:23	auction 109:22	54:9 73:4 85:9	Bethlehem 2:8	54:12 55:19
67:16 72:3,12	110:4	94:2	better 6:3 74:3	bought 87:10
73:25 77:11	audience 107:18	basis 46:14	110:8 120:20	109:21 110:5
81:19 101:22	available 95:18	71:10 72:8		boundaries
areas 36:17	95:21	Bassler 32:6	beyond 62:1,2 127:23	29:23 33:11
argue 84:7	awaiting 92:12	bear 47:18	big 109:5	boundary 33:14
argument	aware 7:12	beast 51:18		bounds 3:19
120:18	17:15 19:5,7	beautiful 126:2	biggest 44:23 47:25	12:18
arises 9:1	19:17 25:20	beginning 35:3	bill 113:12	brake 118:13
ascertain 82:19	27:20 29:12,13	49:4 76:9	bit 39:7 45:5	brand 36:18
asked 22:2	29:14 30:10	Beil 1:19 121:21	81:21 127:22	break 120:19
23:23 38:10	47:6 93:3,5	believe 10:6,14	blatantly 94:7	BRIAN 1:16
61:10 70:10	106:15 107:1	10:19 14:13		brief 4:17 5:20
88:14 100:7	125:3,4	18:4 19:2,2	block 32:11,18 32:19 33:20	17:11 96:11
105:7 119:6	awhile 96:12	,		briefly 41:20
122:4 125:8	awiffle 90.12	20:1 22:7,12 22:16 25:2	37:23 120:15	42:23 96:22
127:10	В	27:9 30:7	blocked 49:25	bring 48:14 64:5
asking 27:11	B 12:17 31:12	44:13 46:1	89:9 109:11 blocking 49:17	Britz 3:4 31:6,12
29:9 65:10	38:7 106:9	49:8,10 50:22	99:19	39:5 42:22
76:1 99:22	back 45:14 47:7	61:6,13,16,18	board 1:1,15 4:2	74:3 105:18
119:14	51:11,12 53:13	61:25 63:14	7:7,20 8:10,12	106:4,8,9,10
asks 8:24 71:21	54:5,7 61:10	64:7 68:6,13	10:23 12:3	broader 26:1 BROUGHAL
aspect 47:10	67:3,14 77:11	73:22 74:8,10		2:6
aspects 69:23	81:16,17 82:3	75:6,8 78:1	17:14,24 29:21 30:2 34:9 36:1	
98:19	82:21 83:9,17	83:9 84:13		brought 31:20
asphalt 73:12	91:19 93:3	87:25 88:1,2	36:4 38:17 39:22 40:3	48:4 98:14
120:12,13	101:20 114:9	95:22 100:14	41:21 52:2	brutal 126:20
assembled 27:18	114:13 115:12	100:14 101:6	59:24 69:13	Bubba 2:2 4:25
27:19	116:12 130:9	101:12 105:15	71:21 76:2	build 16:15 60:8
	backed 49:22	108:16 125:12	79:1 80:9,9,10	building 1:9
		100.10 123.12	12.1 00.2,3,10	72:5
	I		Į.	1

				rage 133
built 100:17	115:18	circle 65:1	alagast 50.4	1
bump 108:25	care 130:1	circumscribed	closest 50:4	commissions
burden 61:12,13	1	95:8	code 6:19 10:4	92:12 97:11
61:23,24 62:11		circumstances	10:16 36:16 58:22	common 7:6
62:13 75:12	carries 48:2	11:6		8:10 69:20
burdensome	131:6	citizen 116:21	collecting 125:4 collective 85:21	commonplace 55:15
9:19 10:9 11:2	cars 22:10 49:16		1	1
49:7,8 61:12	49:22 62:7,18	35:8,18 38:15	collectively 104:4	company 33:15 121:17
61:14 62:5	95:10,13 99:15	•	come 5:15 9:19	
75:4 110:9,10	99:17 115:4,5	claiming 117:13	50:18 51:4,7	comparable 55:13
113:17 117:6	118:12	clarification	54:7 56:23	
125:23	case 8:16 9:3	38:4	62:7 74:4	compare 53:14 comparison
business 42:4	11:19 17:13	clarify 23:2	81:14 82:21	53:9 54:13,14
83:12 87:23	20:25 87:20	32:13 72:4	83:9,17 87:20	compensate
92:9 109:15,16	I.	74:5	91:19 93:11	84:5
109:22 111:9	96:3 99:10	Class 10:4,15	99:13 107:6,22	
116:16 118:23	105:4 107:7	classified 66:18	111:22 114:9	12:2
businesses 54:24		classify 58:15	115:12,20	completed 46:12
56:11	cases 9:20 10:20	clean 105:6	116:4,5,12,23	completely 6:15
businessman	95:4 96:14	cleaning 85:4	117:4,18	92:20
116:21 119:11	Casey 96:16	clear 21:9 30:25	comes 45:23	compliance
119:12	97:1	76:9 95:3	87:7 90:5 99:4	122:16
buzzers 121:16	cement 120:3	112:25	comfortable	comply 121:12
bypass 100:17	center 1:10,23	clearer 22:1	55:18	121:14
	2:4 9:6 54:5	clearly 33:10	coming 23:15	compromise
C	72:5,7	95:13	27:7 34:18	95:3
C 1:17 3:12 14:1	certain 12:8	Clerk 1:21	46:25 54:4,4	concentrating
110:2	121:23	12:12 80:20	80:11 99:18,19	125:21
call 8:1 12:24	certainly 17:13	client 24:25	101:10 109:8	concern 66:5
13:2 30:18,19	89:14 96:9	26:16 27:24	114:6 115:13	68:8 82:17
33:22 52:4	98:11 110:7	28:8,16 39:9	116:13,13	concerned 107:5
74:6 93:6,8	112:15	39:14,18 40:2	117:2,3	concerning 4:12
118:10	certainty 74:14	58:1 61:7 66:2	commencing	11:6 73:1
called 20:1	certify 132:10	71:3,6,11	1:11	126:10 127:20
32:24 40:21	cetera 97:12	75:15 86:9,21	comment 40:21	concerns 46:18
41:1 43:17	chairman 1:16	121:17	78:13 110:24	66:6
53:20 93:2	4:9,15 7:14	client's 19:20	comments 11:11	concluded 130:9
calling 14:3	130:8,10	24:18 28:14	98:9	131:7
98:16	chance 9:11	33:11 50:3	commercial	conclusion 98:7
calls 120:5	33:5 88:14,15	57:18,25 60:19	48:24 51:16	conclusions
camera 72:7	111:1	60:25 85:21	54:23,24 87:11	125:17
Camp 1:9	change 58:13,16	89:17,18 91:7	89:20 102:16	concrete 73:11
capacity 99:24	58:16,17 59:16	125:22 127:5,8	102:22 114:11	109:1
car 54:23 62:20	69:22	clients 33:23	114:15 116:6	concurred 99:7
62:21 67:5,6	changed 110:19	90:20	122:2,16,22	condemn 84:11
70:6 99:17	changes 84:14	close 45:18 56:5	commercially	condemnation
108:9,13 109:10,12,15	85:3 91:9	68:5 99:4	103:1	10:21 84:6
110:15 115:4	charged 130:15	126:8 129:7	commissioners	condemned
110.15 115.4	CHARLES 1:20	closer 67:25	97:11	83:22
		J		

				Page I.
condition 8:23	92:22	112:11	court 8:8,8,9 9:	44:18 46:5
12:7,8 49:9	construed 10:18		9:12 85:13	49:13 50:1,23
62:22 70:19	122:12	5:8 10:6,6	Court's 9:6	56:17 57:25
72:14 89:24	consultant 97:8	14:17,18,22,23		61:1 62:1
90:1,1,3	97:8,9 98:24	15:24,25 16:8	30:25	66:12 68:2,11
113:16	100:1	16:9,11,20	courts 6:22	74:12 75:8
conditional 8:20		18:15,16 19:12		77:21,24 79:1
130:21	125:13	21:14 23:19	80:20	84:15 86:23
conditionally	contain 65:22	24:16,20 25:1	covered 13:13	90:5 106:11
12:4 69:18	68:22	25:9,18 26:20	106:19	110:12
103:14	contained	27:21,25 28:4	create 67:5	
conditioned	132:11	28:5,6,11,12	113:16 123:10	custody 3:21
8:21	continue 47:20	33:23,24 34:19		customarily 32:24
conditions 39:8	56:7 79:13,17	34:20,20 36:23		
conduct 43:17	83:8 89:24	36:24 37:3,4		customers 116:
conducted 43:24		,	creating 68:8	cut 20:2 21:25
44:2	continued 89:10	37:15 38:1,2	creek 33:17	22:22 29:8
configuration		39:12,16,20,24	1	34:22,24 40:5
36:19 42:21	contradicting 23:22	40:1,16 50:6	critically 129:4	93:19 121:13
45:5 47:2		51:25 52:1	cross 17:1,3 39:3	cutting 17:21
	contribute 62:4	57:20,21 58:3	57:14 86:16	CV 3:24,24
100:15 101:11	contributed	58:4,7,8,11	93:19 94:25	41:15 59:6
118:7	61:24	60:3,4 61:21	95:9,11 102:7	
conform 100:23	control 12:21	61:24 64:9,10	102:9 111:5	D 20 7 11 12
congestion	75:15	69:10 70:2,4	121:3,5	D 38:7 41:12
47:10	controlled 25:5	70:19,20 71:4	cross-examina	daily 71:10
conjunction	25:7 43:16	72:15,16 73:6	38:20 57:8	damage 24:7
114:21	convenience	78:6 86:4,11	94:23	26:10
connect 28:21	9:23,24 10:10	89:6,11,12,21	cross-examine	damages 8:13
71:14	10:12 11:3,4	89:22,24 90:12	9:9,12	8:17 10:19
connection 14:2	16:3 36:9	91:6,9 97:23	crossing 93:20	dark 113:14
16:16 44:22	119:15	97:24 104:24	culvert 74:20	date 7:5,23 66:1
45:8 70:13	conversations	117:16 122:13	76:22,25 77:3	dated 12:16,20
90:23 93:17	94:17	123:6,25	77:5,18,20	day 48:3 50:1
consequence	Cooley 3:2	124:22 132:13	cumbersome	62:3,19 85:14
90:9	13:18,20,25	cost 47:10	120:23	92:16 124:3,3
conservative	17:5 18:1	counsel 129:12	curb 20:2 21:25	days 7:4,21 8:1
54:1	19:24 33:15	count 109:15	34:22,24 40:4	de 7:8
consider 58:13	78:20 91:16,19	115:6	93:19	dead 80:16
consideration	91:22,24 94:20	counting 33:3	current 42:20	deal 7:15 109:19
71:12 93:22	95:22	counts 43:24	43:4 49:3,9	dealership 108:9
considered 80:7	cooperating	44:2,7,8	50:4 60:21	108:13 110:15
onsidering	93:6	county 8:12	61:11 69:10	dealing 92:19,23
55:20	copies 15:1	114:22	70:7 73:12	deals 10:18
onsistent 74:10	copy 11:25 12:2	couple 14:16	75:7,13 101:11	death 115:17
onsists 20:18	12:22 13:1,3	54:23,23 71:22	109:4	Deborah 37:11
43:6 51:24	24:2 31:20	74:5 82:2	currently 19:16	decade 48:5
onsolidate	corner 15:20,20	coupling 53:3	21:11 23:25	56:4
15:22	77:11,12	course 50:1	24:10 39:14	decades 110:17
onstruction	corporate	57:19 62:3	41:24 43:8	deceleration
		V (112 / UM ()	1 1 1 T J 1 U	

Page 137

				1490 19
47:14	43:12	86:10 87:3,12	66:21,24 69:2	disappears
decide 63:9	determine 64:21		71:18,21 72:1	77:24
83:10 130:15	85:1	93:18,21 101:2		disaster 109:24
decision 9:6	determined	102:13 118:18		discuss 93:12
64:24 65:15	16:17	118:22 119:9	80:19,23 81:3	discussed 28:13
79:4	detriment 50:23	120:16 123:4,5		70:21 116:2
decisions 6:24	56:15	123:25 125:17	88:5,13,18	discussion 68:13
decreases 64:19	develeopment	126:10	91:12,17,21	130:24 131:1
dedicated 43:9	48:8	developments	94:19,22 95:2	discussions 7:16
79:24 94:3	develop 9:4	35:9,12	95:19,25 96:2	58:25 65:25,25
deeply 103:15	48:17 63:10,23	DEVITO 2:6	96:6,9,12	66:2 78:17
defeat 17:17	64:25 68:14	different 12:6	97:16,19 102:7	
defend 8:25	70:12,18 87:5	25:11 26:7	103:19,22,25	
defendant 112:5	89:13,16 90:10	45:5,6 80:1,2	1 ' '	disjunctive 75:5
definition 59:19	90:21,21 91:8	113:14	104:5,13,18,22	1
75:9,11	94:12 96:13	II.	105:1,5,9,12	disposition 8:9
definitive 84:18		differential	105:20,23	disrepair 73:10
84:20	115:3,22,24	95:13	106:1,7 107:10	distance 45:9,11
	118:23 119:17	differently	107:14,17,22	49:12,14 53:21
definitively 83:2	119:21,23	60:18	109:25 110:20	53:25 62:17
degree 114:8	124:21,23	difficult 81:21	110:25 111:18	72:20 99:13
118:11	125:1 126:22	82:19 117:15	111:21 112:3	distances 53:14
degrees 115:21	developed 48:20	120:19 129:4,5	112:15,20,25	54:15 55:12
118:5	48:23 51:15	130:14	113:3,7,22	divided 22:18,20
Delaware 59:8	63:4,9,18,19	difficulties	114:2,5,14	23:1,11 51:16
demanded 94:1	63:23 65:21	120:18	117:10,12,21	51:20 52:8
demolish 121:21	69:8 84:25	digitalized 32:1	117:24 118:3,7	56:13
124:3,4,5	85:6 90:13	dilapidated 87:8	120:2,17 121:3	divider 56:19
DENNIS 1:16	102:15,25,25	diligence 18:5	122:3,6,10	doing 18:5 30:25
department	124:18	28:2,3 64:2,6	123:16 124:11	106:23 107:1
121:14 122:17	developer 47:11	Dimmich 1:19	125:9 126:6,25	115:3 119:2
depend 65:16	56:23 64:23	3:6,9 4:8,15,21	127:17,25	123:24 127:22
depending	86:21 115:1	5:1,6,9,24 6:2	128:6,9,12,15	dollar 117:1
109:17	developers 97:9	6:6,14 11:9,13	128:19,22	123:11
depends 45:13	developing	11:16 15:2,5,8	129:3,9,11,16	dollars 92:14
59:19 76:13	65:18	15:11,14,16	130:4,7,20	116:25
depict 37:2	development	17:1,13 20:13	Diplomate 1:13	domain 10:14
describe 42:20	3:23 14:6	20:16,18,24	132:18	downtown 72:9
73:7	15:23 16:13	21:5,9,18 23:2	direct 13:22	drafted 8:20
described 36:8	27:17 28:7	23:12,20 25:25	25:23 31:8	drawn 29:24
52:17	32:5 34:14	29:18,20 30:16	41:9 75:17	drive 1:23 22:8
description 3:16	36:1,7,18 39:9	30:21,24 31:25	76:19 96:18	22:13 79:7,12
3:19 12:19	39:11 42:3	32:13,17,19,23	120:25 129:12	87:10 98:16
design 35:9	43:20,23 45:3	33:4 34:3,22	129:12	99:4,5 103:5
36:22 41:25	45:17,25 46:13	35:20,23 37:6	directed 129:9	109:5 112:23
100:22	46:16 47:22	37:9 38:5,9,12	direction 43:1,7	115:19
desire 90:10	48:6,24,25	38:16,19,24	54:17 67:21	driveway 19:21
desiring 55:7	60:21 61:4	40:20,24 41:2	73:5 116:13	22:9,24 23:1,9
detail 16:6 50:11	65:24,24 68:20	41:4,15 42:11	directions 43:9	27:6 29:8,10
determination	70:8 71:12	42:14 57:8	dirt 120:10,13	45:19,25 46:3
	, 0.0 , 1.12	12.11.07.0	um t 120.10,1J	73.17,43 40.3
		- 1	l.	

Page 138

46:6,7,8 67:24	electric 73:24	equal 119:13	71:25 76:6	59:2 65:22
67:25 90:7	eliminate 65:6	equipment	78:11 81:8	84:14 89:24,2
94:2 100:20	70:18 83:10,19	1 ~ ^	82:7 88:24	90:1,3,7 93:18
drove 80:18	84:3	Eric 4:24 31:6	92:2 95:1	exists 29:9 40:1
due 18:5 28:2,3	eliminated 65:4	31:12 33:9,13	96:18 102:9	40:14,15 45:14
46:4 49:10	70:22,25	34:9 106:4	106:6 111:5	45:19 46:5
56:10 64:2,6	101:21	118:19	121:5	50:1,24,25
66:17	eliminating	Erich 2:3 11:18	examined 13:21	55:24 57:4
duly 13:20 31:6	68:25 70:13	13:8	31:7 41:8	66:7,14 75:7,8
41:7 96:16	119:2	Eschock@fbll	91:25 96:17	76:22 84:16,22
108:5 112:17	elimination 71:6		106:5 108:5	85:7,7 86:23
dump 81:20	emergency	ESQ 1:19 2:3,7	112:18	106:11,17
·	119:25 120:1	essentially 32:3	example 98:10	exit 21:12 23:17
E	eminent 10:14	45:4 53:19	examples 63:13	27:4 50:20
E 3:4 12:17 14:1	emotional 129:5	57:2,3 76:21	63:15	54:3 62:14
38:7 110:2	employee 97:3	77:17 78:4	exceptions 8:2,7	81:16 119:25
e-mail 93:13	engineer 1:20	83:20,21 84:6	8:9	120:1
earlier 36:8	21:4 29:2 30:2	estate 123:5,24	excuse 31:23	exited 44:14,15
79:14 83:24	30:8,10,11,13	124:18	72:6 79:9,20	exiting 44:10
94:9	30:20 35:8	et 97:12	executive 7:13	54:3
easement 28:20	38:15 42:1,17	evaluation 98:21	41:24 97:1	expectations
78:14 81:25	58:12 64:5	99:21	exerpts 3:22	46:14
82:10,13 86:17	96:23 97:5	evening 16:6	14:20 15:7	expected 56:8,8
92:5 95:10,12	105:21 118:17	17:5,6 28:5	exhibit 3:16	expense 125:2
95:20 118:24	124:25 125:14	39:5,6 57:16	24:1 42:24	experience
119:7 120:14	engineer's	57:17 86:8	45:21 51:25	41:23 56:18
127:16	125:17	91:22 102:11	53:15 54:10,13	58:19 60:10
easements 95:9	engineering	102:12 130:13	57:10 59:24	83:4,12 89:7
east 32:7,12,15	12:17 16:7	eventually	60:1,25 69:14	97:4 126:15
32:16 33:3	31:14 35:5,18	124:21	76:14 81:22	experienced
43:2 119:1	41:22 42:6,7	everybody 79:3	104:19 113:20	123:5,24
eastbound 46:23	42:10 97:6,15	119:13	113:22 114:2,4	expert 35:18,24
47:1 68:3	engineers 16:5	evidence 8:22	128:9 129:19	42:7,10 58:12
Easton 112:23	16:17 25:24	9:16 11:1 21:1	exhibits 3:15,21	97:12,15
economic	27:9 113:10	79:24 88:17,20	11:21 13:11	experts 127:11
118:17	enter 14:9 21:13	110:21 112:4	28:24 52:13,14	expiration 8:6
effect 61:16	22:5 51:7	132:10	52:15 63:14	explain 30:13
effectuate 92:17	62:14 64:13	evidentiary	104:1,2,24	31:17 36:4
efficiency 100:3	108:17 117:19	127:21	105:12	50:8 52:4
efficient 64:21	entered 14:12	exact 61:15 76:9	exist 44:18	67:10 98:7
effort 85:8 92:15	44:13,15	exactly 23:15	46:19 49:12	123:20 124:15
efforts 88:6	entering 44:10	27:13,15 53:21	55:16 56:7	explained 93:9
gress 119:21,22	entire 18:8,9	53:24 58:21	75:14 84:16	explanation
119:24	94:3,13	102:21 124:7	85:9	4:17
ight 32:3	entirely 113:14	examination	existed 27:21	express 127:19
ither 29:14	entirety 20:22	13:22 17:3	84:8	extends 76:21
54:4 56:23	entity 12:8 14:4	26:1 31:8 39:3	existing 39:8	extension 99:24
73:24 99:8	112:11	41:9 57:14	40:4 43:19	extensive 11:11
101:14 129:20	entrance 21:8	67:1 69:5	53:11 56:15,16	extent 69:9

94:16 98:13	fashion 29:24	92:9 106:10	77:6,7,9	gentleman 36:2
	fast 16:4 36:10	107:23,25	fronts 34:17	getting 25:22
F	36:14	113:10 123:20	37:22 61:7	86:7 108:20
F 2:7	father 109:22	124:16	fruit 47:19	112:8
facilities 54:24	fault 11:21	fit 36:3	fueling 16:3	Gibson 114:19
facility 36:14	faults 83:21	fits 119:9	fuels 73:17	115:7
53:5 54:17	favor 131:4	Fitzpatrick 2:2	106:20	gist 21:10
55:5,7 72:7	fear 111:23	4:25	full 22:23,25	give 5:20 7:21
facing 45:8	feasibility 64:8	five 44:13 49:22	23:3,5 36:11	10:6 16:6 33:4
fact 17:18 24:24	February 12:20	97:5	39:15,19,25	51:10 69:25
46:4 47:25	feel 5:16 10:15	flag 4:4	41:11 46:8	78:25 94:2
49:11 55:6,18	59:3 64:14	flip 115:18	59:18 64:12	110:25 112:12
56:10 57:4	99:6	floors 122:15	65:1 94:2	112:20 115:20
61:6,25 62:4	fees 9:1	follow 21:2 69:7	115:7,7	116:24 119:7
62:16 66:17	feet 45:15,22,24	81:10 118:10	fully 132:11	119:14 127:15
68:7 70:21	45:25 46:1,2	followed 93:15	function 102:3	127:15
99:16	48:2 49:14	following 3:21	furniture 24:19	given 28:24
facts 30:22 79:3	62:16 71:7	82:17	further 36:11	71:11,11
96:14 98:7	72:23 73:3	follows 13:21	40:18 48:18	gives 5:13
fair 26:2 90:24	109:9 118:14	31:7 41:8	52:25 54:18	giving 85:10
103:12 111:24	119:8,8,8,16	91:25 96:17	66:20 70:23	113:11 116:9
fairly 42:5	119:16	106:5 108:5	71:17 91:11,13	glass 108:14
Fairmont 51:10	felt 99:21	112:18	94:19,22 100:4	gleaned 75:2
54:7,8 116:1	fight 84:13	food 16:4 36:10	101:20 103:17	Glenlivet 1:23
116:11,19	figure 28:19	36:14 59:7	111:15,25	go 4:9,11 8:23
faithful 116:21	52:14 54:9,20	forever 66:17	120:25 128:8	13:14 16:14
fall 101:14	55:4 66:3 94:2	91:13	128:14 130:24	17:17 19:6
falls 44:3,3	file 7:24 8:1,3	forget 33:7	131:1	21:13 23:14,17
81:24	filed 5:21 6:6,10	forgotten 38:12	Furthermore	24:15,21 25:13
familiar 18:1	16:21	form 109:4	56:10	28:3 30:3
23:14 56:2	filing 8:7	formal 107:24	fuss 90:22	38:24 40:10
59:10,11,13	filings 114:22	formally 82:23	future 43:21	50:5,10 52:3
69:12,15 72:11	filled 6:8	forth 8:2	46:20 51:3	53:13 54:5
72:13 76:25	final 92:13	forward 107:6	68:15 85:6	55:10 61:10
87:1 103:13	finally 55:4	107:23 108:18	98:20 110:13	62:18 65:1
106:11	find 8:22 80:21	found 9:3 44:9	70.20 110.15	67:7,12 81:15
amily 48:20	finding 9:21	four 44:15 48:19	G	81:17 84:13,17
50:25 56:16	10:8	49:22 103:11	gain 93:20	85:12 86:5
61:1 65:23	fine 19:5 128:1	115:4 118:18	Gallagher 1:12	88:7 91:12
68:23 83:11	130:3	friend 120:4	1:22 132:17	93:1 109:5
100:18	finish 123:13	friends 115:3	Gallagherrep	114:9 116:5,11
ar 25:23 39:25	finished 79:21	front 18:2,20,22	1:25	117:19 118:25
88:7 101:25	fire 121:14	18:24,25 24:11	gas 36:9	117.19 116.23
106:22 107:5	122:17	76:11 108:14	GELB 1:20	124:6 126:18
108:17 109:7	firm 16:7 51:25	118:16 120:4	general 6:21	
127:25	97:2	121:17	75:13	goes 18:14 29:8 46:10 120:3
arrell 1:16	first 4:16 6:9	frontage 32:12	Generally 15:17	123:18
3:11 82:8	13:18,25 28:25	47:15 75:17,19	generate 60:23	going 4:7,11,14
5:11 0Z:0	1.7.10.47.40.47	T1.1J /J.1/.17	5	20M24:/.11.14
85:18 130:22	72:19 87:17	75:24 76:19	68:21	5:20,22 7:19

				Page 14
9:7,14 10:24	130:12	heard 49:4 50:2	38:7	32:4 42:1
10:25 17:22	guidance 10:7	58:25 72:2	Horner 37:24	inconvenience
21:11,16 22:4		98:3 123:3	hour 88:10	24:8 26:10,16
25:22 29:2	guys 17:25	130:13	118:12	inconvenient
30:16,19 36:3	8-7-17-12-	hearing 3:19,20	hours 43:25	9:18 10:9 11:1
41:13 56:4	H	4:9,11 5:11	44:11 109:17	49:7 75:4
68:3,21 79:7,8	H 12:17 38:7,7	6:22 7:8,23 9:8		
81:14 82:24	41:12 110:2	9:13 11:22	87:10 89:11	117:15 125:24
83:10,13,19	half 125:3	13:14 93:1,4	102:18,19,20	incorrectly
85:8 87:2,19	Hammond 3:6	101:8 105:3	102:20 120:5	121:9
91:15 93:4,25	3:24 6:4 41:7	129:7 130:8	121:9 122:2,18	
100:18 102:16	41:12 52:3	131:7 132:13	huge 95:13	101:16
102:21 103:1	57:16 67:3	hearings 57:19	hundred 73:21	increases 64:19
103:10,12	72:2 76:2 89:1	92:11	75:1 80:1,2	indemnify 8:25
107:25 108:7	91:18,20,21	held 1:8 69:20	hybrid 5:11	independent
108:17,18	98:13 99:2,16	help 46:18 47:5	107:24	98:12
110:17,23	100:9 101:5	47:9	107.21	independently
111:12,23	121:9	hey 82:21	I	98:23
112:7 113:15	Hammond's	highway 7:20	i.e 71:13	INDEX 3:1,15
113:17 114:7,9	98:3	22:18,20 23:1	idea 17:19 74:15	indicate 50:2
115:22 116:6,7		23:4,11 51:17	identical 74:9	indicated 36:25
116:25 117:14	20:12 41:13	52:8 82:22	identified 39:10	123:25 124:20
117:22 119:18	97:25 105:3	99:25 100:13	56:3 113:5	indicates 22:16
121:10,21	119:10,12	110:18	identify 30:8	22:17
125:7,19,24	handle 56:8	highways 51:20	37:9 62:25	indicating 86:9
126:4,16,21,23	handled 36:21	hired 125:21	107:25 113:23	indication 83:14
127:3,13,22	56:8	history 82:18	114:1	industry-wide
130:12,13	hanging 109:12	114:22	III 1:12 132:17	44:8
golf 55:5	happen 14:22	hold 8:25 11:20	immediate	information
good 17:5,6,18	85:5 109:19	33:4 97:6	52:11	116:9
18:11 23:24	110:14 119:5	103:12 123:16	immediately	initial 70:20
29:5 35:21	124:25	125:9 127:17	7:24 17:20	input 5:18
39:5,6 55:1	happened 5:19	home 50:25	52:21 93:14,25	107:24
57:16,17 83:1	40:23	54:24 56:16	impact 43:18,22	inquire 107:3
91:22 102:11	happening	61:2 65:23	46:12 48:11	ins 62:22
102:12 121:22	92:24	68:23 83:11	101:13,15	instance 83:17
goof 49:6	happens 25:3	100:18	important 30:21	intended 71:14
governed 6:18	87:4 100:8	homes 48:20	88:19	intending 90:20
granted 8:22	happy 30:8	114:17	impossible	90:21
58:5 69:13	hard 118:13	homework	127:3	intent 91:7,8
82:23	harm 11:3	120:6	improve 118:22	interest 4:16
grants 39:22	harmless 8:25	honor 6:23	improvement	interested 8:11
40:3	hassle 84:18	HOP 29:7,7 66:9	43:22 46:21	13:6
green 49:23	hate 83:16	66:13,15,16,19	improvements	interestingly 8:5
grilled 111:23	he'll 123:18	hope 126:21	36:20 46:17	interrupt 23:21
ground 14:14	head 27:16	HOPs 70:1	47:8,12,13,18	38:10
guess 4:7 6:21	60:17 74:2	Hopson 31:23	inability 84:3	intersection
18:2 81:13	heading 22:17	33:2 37:11,11	inbound 54:14	14:10 15:21
92:7 108:20	hear 9:7 11:5		including 14:6	20:9 25:5,7,17
		,	-	
		Market and Control		

				rage 14
26:19 43:7,10	35:25 100:25	49:20 53:5	73:7,8,13	liability 85:12
44:25 45:9	items 26:11	55:8,8 57:22	80:15	license 97:6
46:17 47:4,17	112:4	58:15 60:16,17	lanes 43:8,10	licensed 97:5
48:1,18 49:24	-	60:18,20 63:5	46:22 47:4	life 94:14
50:4 51:6,9,23		63:7,8,12,13	53:2,2	lifestyle 72:7
55:20,24 58:2	J 1:16 2:3 3:13	63:17,18,21	language 61:15	light 25:8 49:23
58:10 59:18	James 1:12 2:7	66:12,15 68:16		117:4,19
60:6,14 61:19	5:6,7 132:17	69:21,22 70:3	late 87:20	lighting 36:17
62:6 63:6,20	Jamespreston	70:4 73:16,18	108:21 112:8	likelihood 66:15
64:12,17 65:3	2:8	73:19,20,23	law 6:21 9:20	liklihood 65:17
65:5 66:13	JEFFREY 1:19	74:2,11,19,19	10:14 127:21	limitation 44:23
67:4,13,24,25	Jerry 108:2	79:14,16 80:6	lay 7:1	limitations
70:21 71:2,10	Jersey 59:8	80:17 81:5,11	leads 130:11	44:20
85:9 99:5,12	Jim 17:7 30:12	81:19 82:22	learning 10:5	limited 59:17
100:5 101:19	job 126:3	84:17,19 85:23	98:6	line 9:25 30:5
104:17 125:5	joint 71:12	86:20 88:18	lease 129:25	33:22 34:13
126:20	JOSEPH 1:20	92:8,20 93:15	leave 21:15 22:4	37:18 73:9
intersections	JR 108:4	94:1 98:18	70:1 84:23	74:20 76:22,23
43:25 68:5	July 12:16,20	99:2 100:11	leaving 48:21	76:24 77:2,3
introduce 93:11	jump 35:3	103:9,10	led 27:23	82:11
96:25	Junior 108:2	106:19,22	left 23:7,7,7,8,8	lines 30:14
introduced 93:8	jurisdiction 79:6	107:7 113:8	37:14 43:10	31:19
investigated		115:15 117:24	46:9,9,24	liquid 73:16
124:24	K	118:13 120:8	50:21,22 51:10	106:19
investigation	K 3:14 110:2	125:5 126:12	53:22,22 54:5	list 26:11
64:6	112:22	knowing 87:12	54:12 57:1,1	listed 86:4,6
investments	keep 12:13 33:6	92:8	67:6,15,20	listen 9:10
14:5	96:11 105:5	known 33:19	82:9 87:8	listening 10:25
investor 119:11	121:8	80:22	99:18 109:8,10	10:25 11:5
invite 24:5	key 52:15	knows 56:2 88:3	110:7 115:17	literally 110:17
involved 32:5	kid 110:3	114:24	116:23 117:4	little 22:1 25:23
45:1 64:2 76:1	killed 114:10		119:1	29:7 39:7 45:5
88:1 89:14	KIMBERLY	L	legal 3:21 7:14	45:5 50:10
90:15 98:11,13	1:18	L 12:17 14:1	7:15 13:7 95:4	77:23 80:15
103:16	kind 30:5,11	112:22	legally 22:12	81:21 92:7
irony 92:7	46:13 52:14	laborious	79:24	96:10 127:22
irrelevant 78:5	82:16	128:24	legislature 6:23	live 23:13 37:12
isolated 17:23	Kipor 112:9	land 13:5 14:5	length 18:8,9	72:3
issue 45:7 47:25	Kirpal 88:3	16:13 27:17	39:15,19,25	LLC 1:22 2:5,9
48:4,14,22	112:17,22	28:7 33:15	lengthy 98:3	4:19 5:5 11:23
50:3 67:22	knew 27:23	35:9,11 39:8	Lentz 2:2 4:25	13:9 17:9
87:4 88:6 91:4	63:23	60:21 61:4	let's 4:4,16 30:6	local 66:18
95:6,7 104:1	know 4:22 5:2	68:20 69:8	59:21,21 60:5	located 6:7
110:6	10:13 11:10	87:21 92:13	61:10 63:17	15:18,19 32:8
issues 5:14 7:15	18:17,20,23	124:17	65:1 74:5	33:17 45:20,22
45:7 46:19,20	20:24 23:15	Landscaping	101:7 117:21	46:1 130:19
67:3 68:24	25:21 27:11,12	36:16	129:16	location 43:4
96:13	27:12,13,14,15	lane 2:3 6:12	letter 98:17	47:20 49:3
item 4:6 10:16	29:1,6 32:20	40 / 40 0 14	letters 127:5,8	59:2 65:9 66:7
	33:12 45:11			05.2 05.5 00.7

69:10 70:8	65:22 77:6,7	manage 14:5	meeting 1:8,10	moment 8:23
72:14 99:3	77:10,16 83:11		4:2,14 93:2	Monday 4:2
102:2	85:11 90:13	36:17	94:8 130:10	money 125:4,5
locations 59:7	100:19 102:1	manager 1:19	meetings 59:1	Montgomerville
long 18:17 28:10	1		70:21	101:25
72:20 86:24	114:18,23	maneuver 82:2	member 129:1	Moore 3:12,24
87:3 89:10	116:19,23	maneuvers 82:3	members 128:23	
longer 39:23	118:20 121:8	map 19:3 31:24	memorandum	97:1,15 98:1
58:6 72:8 85:5	122:14,24	37:16 73:20	3:21 13:7	102:11
120:5	123:2 126:3,4	106:17,17	51:24 101:6	morning 12:24
look 15:6,8 19:3	129:20 130:13	maps 20:18	mention 92:4	13:2 44:14
19:19 21:4	lots 50:13 51:2	54:25 74:16	mentioned 9:5	Morris 15:5
27:18 31:24	61:5 68:22	100:15 105:1	25:24 46:7,11	21:10
52:7 58:17	101:9 103:8,11	mark 15:2 20:11	46:15 47:25	motion 21:1
64:20 77:21	115:2,22	31:22 34:4	48:5 49:5 52:6	130:17 131:5,6
84:21,25 99:14	121:24 125:1	36:3	55:5 75:21	motoring 71:8
100:7 103:3	loudly 42:24	marked 24:1	76:13 77:10	move 104:1
114:21 116:15	low 65:19 66:17	41:14 104:4	83:24 94:9	119:7,9 128:11
122:13 127:10	lower 89:4,10	Market 2:7	97:21 100:25	moved 99:9
looked 52:15,20	122:15	markets 59:7	122:23	105:13 112:3
53:4 64:8	lucky 116:5	marking 14:24	mess 17:24	130:22
72:17 74:16	Luke's 126:2	material 11:6	met 13:14 94:5	movement 74:25
80:5,20,20		Matt 41:16	94:13	90:2
93:24 98:18,21	M	Matt's 99:7	metes 3:19 12:18	1
101:6 106:16	M 3:6 41:12,12	matter 1:9 5:21	Michael 13:20	22:24 23:6
looking 9:15	Ma'am 37:9	7:8 92:8,19	13:25 91:24	100:11
34:13 44:9	macadam 37:3	96:14	118:16	moving 45:24
45:18 59:6	106:24 109:3	matters 11:6	MICHELLE	48:17
83:13 84:12	mailed 13:4	Matthew 41:7	1:21	multiple 14:10
87:14 92:5	main 13:12 32:6	41:12	midday 44:5	15:1 36:17
98:22 99:15	37:23 47:8	McMahon 96:24	Mike 13:18	48:25
100:15 114:3	51:11,22 61:7	97:2,4	miles 53:20 55:9	municipal 1:9
118:5 120:6	75:24	mean 19:10	55:10 118:11	42:6
122:12 125:22	maintain 79:13	23:20,22 24:12	Mill 1:23	municipalities
looks 37:2 43:20	79:17 109:19	30:21 84:7,18	million 116:25	97:7,23
54:20 80:1	maintaining	89:17,25	116:25 123:11	Murray 112:23
82:11,12	79:12,17	106:23 129:3	mind 113:21	
122:18,19	maintains 74:12	meaning 23:6	miniature 55:5	N
129:20	79:15 86:24	means 23:5 87:7	minimal 51:1	N 38:8 41:12
lose 50:21 58:9	108:24	measure 45:13	minor 44:16	110:2 112:23
lot 10:5 30:14	maintenance	72:23	minute 20:22	name 4:22 5:4
32:8,8,8,9,9,10	79:9 106:23	medal 115:20	28:24 112:12	13:24,25,25
32:11,18,19	107:2	median 46:5	mistake 130:1	17:7 31:10,11
33:19,20 34:16	making 6:23	55:6 56:25	mistaken 114:13	38:6 40:22
34:16 37:23	53:22,22 54:5	101:23 102:1	modification	41:11 97:1
50:3,12,13,24	67:20 94:14	mediate 43:22	56:6 90:6	109:25 112:20
50:24,25 53:10	118:11	mediator 88:7	modifications	narrative
00010,17	mall 109:16	meet 73:12	56:24 90:4,15	120:18,22
56:17 61:1	man 94:13	100:22	90:16	narrower 77:23
	THE RESERVE OF THE PARTY OF THE	- 1.26/Wing		

				Page 1
77:23	normally 20:25	object 25:22	14:2,8 16:16	ones 32:15 47:
narrowly 10:18		86:9 124:9	16:24 18:1	47:16 115:2
national 121:17		125:7 126:23	19:2,22 20:24	
nature 23:11	25:13 27:7	127:13	22:11 23:20	openings 6:20
49:1 51:18	33:17 42:25	objecting 123:17		opens 85:11
near 14:10	50:5,19,19	objection 13:12	28:17 30:15	operate 43:21
nearly 98:13	51:4 53:13	15:14,15 20:12		operated 73:14
necessarily	54:6 55:10	20:16 35:19,20		operates 57:5
68:17 89:8,9	65:3 73:4 77:2			73:14 84:22
necessary 9:22	77:4,18 80:8	124:11 125:10	37:5,19 38:3	
10:10 11:2	84:9 115:14	126:25 128:2	38:23 39:1	operating 32:21
14:14 16:15	116:14 117:3	objections 42:13		66:14 109:14
70:1	119:2 125:19	objections 42.13	· ·	operation 51:15
necessitate	northbound	9:11 20:13	41:3,13,20	52:23
60:24	43:9 53:2,12	obligation	42:9,20 43:11	operational
necessity 9:5	53:17 54:17,21	0	52:2 60:2,5,13	
need 7:13 14:19	northeast 15:20	1	61:9 62:11	100:3,6
16:18 21:2	northern 17:22	obtained 52:15	64:8 66:24	operations
31:16 47:21	52:18 53:9	obvious 44:17	67:5 70:10	59:11
49:2 66:19	55:14 74:17	44:24 92:17	72:13 73:4	opined 101:5
85:1 99:8	1	obviously 7:9	74:4,11 77:1	opinion 22:7,16
101:19 104:22	83:5,18 Notes 122:19	11:5 27:20	77:14,21 78:3	26:14,17 47:24
needed 38:3	Notary 132:18	43:18 45:18	78:5,7,24	48:7,16 50:22
	note 130:20	47:24 58:16	79:19 80:22	56:15 69:22
46:13 92:18 93:1	notes 132:12	67:11 68:10,12	82:5,16 85:15	84:5 90:6 91:1
	notice 3:19,20	68:20 83:15	88:13,21 89:3	97:22 101:1
needs 70:22	3:20 7:21	95:16 106:23	89:23 91:11	127:19 128:1
74:25 106:14	12:22 13:1,3	occasioned 8:14	95:2,16,24	opinions 64:22
negative 9:24	notify 7:14	occasions 28:18	96:6 97:14,25	opportunity 9:9
negatively 10:11	novo 7:8	occupancy	102:5 107:3	52:7 79:1
neighboring	number 4:12	82:22 99:25	111:15,21	oppose 17:16
93:10	12:3 45:1 53:1	100:13	112:15 113:7	opposed 59:17
network 27:1	55:1,25 56:11	occur 70:8	121:3 122:3,5	opposite 10:11
43:19,20	56:22 58:20	occurring 121:8	122:10,20	31:24
never 9:5 12:9	59:25 62:3	occurs 52:11	123:3,21,23	opposition
82:22,23 84:8	63:14 68:23	October 1:11	124:20,24	68:14
94:13 109:24	72:11 75:14	4:3	125:9,16	option 54:13
127:6	95:12 114:18	offer 14:20,25	126:12 127:7	95:17,21
new 36:18 59:7	130:18	42:9 97:14	128:9,15,22	options 54:14
92:19 100:21	numerous 97:10	121:18	129:11 130:3	ordaining 80:21
108:15 110:18		offered 15:12	old 9:20 24:18	order 8:8,8
Newman 45:3	0	28:20 78:14	24:19 86:25	16:17 33:6
46:16 48:6	O 12:17 14:1,1	93:11 94:10	108:20 110:16	37:7 85:2 92:9
iewspaper	38:7,7,7 41:12	105:14	124:2,3,4,4	92:17
12:24	104:1	offers 95:3	Olympic 72:7	ordinance 1:4
ice 82:1	O-1 20:11 104:2	office 1:23 49:18	once 30:7 82:20	3:17,18 4:12
on-vacated	104:6,14,15	72:5,8,9,10	92:25 93:3	7:3,18,25 8:20
21:11	128:10	official 73:20	116:4 119:17	8:20 12:1,2,23
ormal 30:25	O-2 21:19 104:7	100:13 106:16	126:18	13:4 80:21
91:4	104:13	1	onerous 111:22	89:21 101:12
l l	l l	~-mm; v + X + X / 1 1 + /	UMPERUMO III.AA	07.41 101:17 1

Page 144
9,10,11 83:9,16,20 permitted 89:20
36:11 84:7,13,19,25 100:12
23 43:2 85:8 86:24 person 7:25 8:11
5 44:1 87:9 89:5,7,8 68:6 93:11
15,18,25 89:14 90:14,22 96:4
0,15,20 97:8,23 98:9 personally 87:24
,21 47:5 98:24 99:7,22 93:7
0 48:8 99:23 100:2,17 perspective
49:15,23 100:23 102:16 49:14 98:9,22
51:6,12 103:1 108:18 100:6
54:8 116:24 126:9 pertaining
24 58:1 126:13,15 107:21
9:3 60:5 127:4 pertinent 6:25
52:1,2,5 PennDot's 46:14 petition 3:17
55:5 58:22 83:3 5:21 6:6,8,9
67:4,12 Pennsylvania 7:4 8:3,10
58:3,25 1:10 42:2 7.4 8.5,10 11:23 13:8
70:14 112:23 16:22 23:25
9 80:8 people 10:1 80:9 39:22 58:5
3 90:17 80:17 110:6 69:12 71:15
3:20 113:12 114:10 86:6
Posteronol 1110
310,13 13.10
119:1 119:18 120:12 phase 126:22 120:14 122:23,24 PHILIP 1:17
1111
74:13 Peppercorn phone 93:8 photograph
- LBN
8 23:14 24:19 19:25 20:4,7 59:13 32:20,21,24 20:21 21:25
2 33:3 20:21 21:25
1
3:12 percent 73:21 photographs 20:20 104:16
P
_ / / /
9 11-3
_ injuredity 110.2
Freezenitz
Parameter,
P. 2011 02.5
0510 121117
1110 011,2 1019
· II
Pitti 5,10,22,25
7 7 7
19192
21 100:11 124:6 31:17,21 33:13

				rage 14
33:21 35:25	12:4 13:5,10	12:21 51:25	94:1 108:24	49:20 66:9
36:4,7,10,21	16:18 20:2,9	98:5,5	prevent 82:20	92:10,17,22
38:1 42:25	21:12 22:19	present 11:18	previous 45:2	94:11,12,13
43:1 65:23	24:7 26:9	46:20 79:8	previously 46:15	
68:14 70:23	33:19 40:7	94:6,6,7	91:24 106:4	103:16 124:18
87:21 93:16	50:16,17 51:1	128:13	principal 14:4	125:22 127:5,8
116:2 124:7	52:18 53:9	presentation	112:10	projects 14:6
planning 41:25	55:14 74:15,17		printed 3:20	56:22 58:20
92:12 97:11	74:17,22 75:18	I .	13:2	promoting 68:1
plans 16:8,10,12		presenting	prior 28:5 39:8	115:16,16
93:12,13 99:25		120:8 127:12	69:12 103:13	properties 12:9
104:20	79:18 80:7	presents 62:4	109:21 114:25	14:14 15:17,19
Pleas 7:6 8:10	83:20 98:15	president 41:24	privy 95:14	18:2,20,24
please 7:14	100:9 109:3	Preston 2:7 3:3	probably 47:16	7 7
21:22 24:6	127:1 130:18	3:5,7,8,13 5:2	48:21 65:19	24:11,24 31:16
26:2 31:24	portraying	5:4,6,7,7 6:12	66:16 74:3	31:17 32:3 33:2 45:6
33:6 38:6	117:18	11:13,15 15:10	81:21 90:24	I I
107:22,23	posed 79:14	15:15 17:4,7,7	105:22 108:19	48:23 51:14
110:1 113:7,12	position 17:23	17:14 20:10,15	110:10	53:1,3 54:21
113:23,23	61:2 100:2	20:20 21:6,14	problem 17:19	55:1,16 62:23
115:19 117:9	positive 9:25	21:20,22 23:24	31:2 38:11	65:22 69:19
120:14 123:20	positive 9.23	26:4 28:23		71:13,13 75:20
124:13 128:18	63:25 92:23	29:5,16 30:7	40:24 56:1,2	84:4,16,24
pledge 4:4,5	possible 48:16	30:15 35:19	62:4 68:19	85:6,7,21
plow 81:12,13	63:22	38:19,22 39:1	110:13 119:16 119:17 127:24	93:10,17,18
81:15,17,20,25	posted 98:17	39:4 40:18,23		100:21 101:2,8
plowing 74:12	potential 8:17	40:25 41:3	problems 67:7	101:17,24,25
79:9,10	8:19 28:20	42:13 50:2	99:11,12	102:2,3,14,14
plows 81:11	60:22 68:9	57:9,10,15	procedurally 13:13	102:25 113:3
plus 115:8,10	85:12 90:15	66:20 67:5	U I	114:11,12
PM 44:3,4,6,12	potentially 14:9	69:3,6 71:17	proceed 16:17 26:3 35:21	115:25 122:1
point 5:17 12:21	68:8 95:22	85:20,22 86:3		122:14 124:4
18:11 25:22	99:20	86:11,13,18,20	proceeding 8:14 85:13	125:14 126:10
34:24 36:11,12	potholes 74:13	87:19,25 88:9	proceedings	property 7:22
37:7 46:11	109:4	88:16,21,25	132:11	17:9,19,21
48:15 56:4	power 7:1	91:11 94:25		19:21 22:20,22
71:1,5 77:4	practice 6:19	95:25 96:3	process 16:14 professional	24:8,18,25
87:23 95:15,18	predates 100:13	97:17 102:10	• 1	25:12,12 26:5
95:21 107:19	predecessor	103:17 104:2,3	35:6 41:21 42:1 97:6	26:10,22,22,25
116:21 118:24	114:20	103:17 104:2,3		27:3,8 28:14
130:8	preeminent	104:8,12,13	prohibition 51:8	28:21 29:23
pointed 63:15	10:17	111:15 112:7	prohibitive 121:19	31:18 32:6,7
pointing 43:2,4	preliminary	120:24 121:2		33:11,19,22
120:2	16:13 92:13		prohibits 56:25	34:11,13,15,15
points 36:11,20	preparation	128:6,8,11,14 129:17,23	project 6:16	36:16 37:1,13
45:16	16:7	Preston's 33:11	14:8,15 16:15	37:18,22,22
popular 53:6	prepare 13:7	33:23 107:6	16:16 17:16,16	39:10,15,18
portion 1:5 4:13	48:11		17:17 37:14	40:3,8,10,12
6:7 7:17 11:24	prepared 12:16	pretty 81:23 82:15 86:18	42:18,21 43:11 44:22 45:2,7	40:16 45:2
V. / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / /	prepareu 12.10	02.13 00.10	+4.22 43.2,/	48:19 50:9,23
		#\$4 \$50 to		

				rage 14
52:16,18,21	130:17	75:13 80:7,12	79:14 80:5	raising 90:22
53:19 54:10	proposes 36:10	107:24 110:24		ramps 121:15
55:2 57:18,25	36:13	113:16 115:15	1	range 47:12
58:17,19,24	proposing 15:22		92:4,25 95:5	raw 124:17
59:16 60:16,19		129:1 131:2	120:20 122:4,3	
60:23,25 61:7	54:19 55:13	132:18	120:20 122:4,	reached 93:7
63:3,16 64:15	69:9 113:15	publication	123:12,14,15	reaches 40:8
64:19,20,22	115:4 116:8	12:24	123:12,14,13	react 67:19
74:6,6,7,7,8,20		pull 87:6	124:10,14,15	read 11:21 49:5
76:12,16,22,23	1 1	purchase 64:11	125:8,12	reading 119:20
76:24 77:3,12	protect 9:3	64:13,16	126:24 129:8	ready 38:25
78:1 82:11	prototypical	111:12	129:15,19	real 72:24 96:13
83:21,23 84:2	16:2	purchased 37:13		96:14 111:3
84:5,11 86:1	Provco 2:5 4:18	purpose 13:12	108:10	123:5,24
86:13 87:2,10	5:22,23,24 6:4	30:19 95:5	questions 7:13	123.3,24
89:10,14,16,16		put 7:5 16:1,2	7:15 9:10	realign 103:2
89:17,18 90:10		20:25 21:1	10:24 11:7,10	reality 109:9
91:8 94:3,4,15	107:8	27:19 28:1,9	11:14,15 16:25	
95:11 100:4,18	prove 9:16	30:1 33:25	29:16,20 30:12	realize 56:4 75:3
101:3 102:22	provide 47:16	35:25 49:13	38:14,16,21	87:21 110:20
102:24 107:7,8	50:18 56:14	52:12 56:19	40:19 42:11	realized 93:1
107:21 108:8	64:22 65:11	102:1 109:2	57:6 66:20	really 8:2 33:18
108:21 110:4,5	75:16 83:7,8	116:24,25	71:17,22,22	56:23 59:19
111:7,8 112:11	83:22,25	117:9 120:7,12	76:1,2 78:9,23	78:5 80:13
112:12 114:19	provided 50:14	121:15,16	79:2 81:6	86:9 95:6
117:16,20	52:17 53:11	128:18 129:25	85:17 88:12,14	100:2 102:14
118:1 119:18	58:19,24 69:19	puts 68:4	91:13 94:19	realty 129:25
119:20 120:7	71:1 85:2	Putt 53:4 55:5	97:16,17 102:6	rear 68:1,9
121:11 122:1	97:12 100:22	63:16,17,18,22	103:18,23	99:20
123:4 125:25	127:6,9	putting 30:17	107:11,12,15	reason 16:21
126:2,14,16,17	provides 53:1	77:17 120:12	107:18 111:1,2	75:3 113:9
127:19.20	75:12,17,18	77.17 120.12	111:16,19	115:23
property's 58:14	providing 85:4	Q	120:25,25	reasonable
proposal 36:2	provision 8:6	quadrant 20:8	128:5 129:6	58:18,23 64:21
118:14	46:22	qualifications	130:4	79:4 83:25
propose 61:5	proximity 44:25	35:7 41:18,21	queue 47:9	84:1
124:21,25	67:4,23 68:5	42:12 96:21	queued 99:17	reasons 44:17
proposed 1:4	99:4	97:21	queues 49:12	55:25
3:17 4:11	prudent 100:5	qualified 35:18	quick 40:20	rebuttal 128:20
11:25 12:23	PS 7:1,17	question 18:23	72:24 111:3	recall 46:6 78:18
13:4,6 36:1,8	Pub 23:15 24:19	19:17 21:10,21	quite 37:15	78:20 105:25
39:8,11 45:4	32:20,21,25	26:6 29:22	55:15 104:19	receive 16:14
45:16,20 46:9	33:3	31:15 34:16		recognize 19:24
47:22 60:24	public 1:8 3:20	35:3 36:25	R	20:4
65:24 68:21	4:11 7:16 9:22	38:10,22 59:5	R 1:19 3:2 12:17	recognized 5:3
70:12,24 76:20	9:23 10:10,12	59:6,15 60:9	31:12 38:7	5:13
77:25 93:18	10:20 11:3,3	60:12 61:10	106:9 112:22	recognizing
98:25 101:10	12:22 13:3	70:11,17 74:3	radii 85:3	82:18
118:21 123:4	27:1 49:9 71:8	76:3 77:8,14	radius 74:24	reconfigure
				8
BEFORE WILLIAM				NAME OF THE OWNER OW

				rage 14
100:19	62:11,13	requested 93:4	98:12 127:4,7	122:9,25 123:1
record 4:22	relatively 44:16		reviewed 48:12	123:8 124:2
12:10 17:8	87:20 103:6,7	requesting 6:11	reviewer 98:24	127:19 129:21
37:10 71:23	relevant 77:16	require 48:10,11		130:2,7,11
73:1 95:3	relief 16:15	56:6 84:14	reviewing 99:25	right-hand
105:6 113:24	relocate 66:4	89:9 90:4,6	revised 12:20	23:10,10 27:2
115:11	relocated 49:2	98:10 100:21	right 4:10 5:15	27:5 123:10
recorded 12:13	70:22 72:9	101:4,17	6:17 7:6 9:19	rights 5:14
records 12:13	99:9 101:20	102:17 103:1	11:16 13:17	road 1:5,10 3:18
80:19	102:17	required 31:18	18:13 19:17	4:13 6:7,11,14
recross 69:2,5	relocating 66:5	36:15 85:3	23:7,8,8 24:25	6:20,20,21
88:15,24	68:24	101:13	26:12 34:20	7:20,22 8:21
red 25:8	relocation 101:4		35:1 36:12,12	9:2,17,20,22
redeveloped	remain 40:7	66:9	37:25 41:4	10:3 11:24
101:9	55:19 69:10	requiring 70:15	42:14,25 46:4	12:5,5,11,15
redeveloping	77:6,20 83:7	reside 56:17	46:4,8,8,24	12:16,19 13:5
102:18	83:15 90:8	residences 56:12	49:18 50:19	13:10 14:7,11
redevelopment	100:10	residential	51:8,17,17	14:16,22 15:21
99:1 100:3,20	remaining 19:1	51:15 54:22	52:10,10,23,23	16:18 17:20
101:10,17	83:6	95:11 116:7	53:6,6,11,11	18:3,6,10,12
redirect 29:18	remains 84:23	122:12,21	54:7,11,11	
66:22 67:1	101:3	residents 71:8	55:2,2,18,18	18:17,21,22,25 18:25 19:1,6,7
91:14 103:20	remember 10:8	resolved 68:24	56:14,14 57:4	19:9,10,11,11
reduce 47:9	61:15 62:23	88:6	57:4 58:1	19:12,13,20
refer 37:20 45:3	removal 55:23	respect 36:19	59:17,17 60:3	20:3,9,23 21:8
90:2	101:5 103:2	42:6 73:13	60:3,8,8 61:3	21:12,15,24
reference 52:2	removed 25:15	86:24 87:2	62:22,22 63:10	22:4,5,8,13
referred 57:11	47:22 64:17	98:8 127:8	63:10,23,24	23:16,17 24:7
121:9	99:9	response 11:8	65:3,4,6,7,11	24:12,13,14,14
referring 45:21	removing 55:20	38:18 94:21	65:11,12,14,18	24:16,22,22
50:12 76:14,16	rent 129:24	103:24 107:13	65:18 67:19	25:4,13,14,16
81:22	rented 110:4	111:20 126:6	68:4,4,6,7	25:19 26:9,15
refers 105:4	reorient 34:9	127:4,11 129:2	76:18 77:18	26:23 27:1,21
refute 125:16	repair 54:23	130:6,25 131:3	78:2,4 79:25	28:10,15,22
regard 14:8	repeat 26:6	responsibility	81:15 86:4,14	29:8 32:4,11
67:21 78:13	repetitive 96:11	84:10	86:14,22,22	32:12 34:17,18
regarding 49:20	replace 103:2	responsible 79:8	87:8,9,9 89:3,4	34:21 35:1
76:4 98:9	report 3:25	responsive 59:5	89:5 90:3,3,5,5	36:12 37:12
regardless	75:10 98:6	61:9	90:7,7,8,8,11	39:11,16,19,25
102:24	reported 1:12	rest 112:4	90:16,16,19	40:7,16 42:20
region 56:13	Reporter 1:13	125:23	100:10,10	43:2,5,6,12,15
registered 1:13	132:18	restaurant 16:4	101:24,24	44:1,1,11,16
41:25 132:18	REPORTING	36:10	102:3,4 108:13	44:18,19,21,24
regular 4:2 72:8	1:22	restricts 101:24	114:9 115:17	44:25 45:8,9
regulations	represent 5:5	results 57:2	115:19 117:16	45:10,15,15,19
100:23	17:8	retained 16:6	117:21,25	45:20,23 46:3
relate 46:21	representing	reveal 101:16	118:24 119:3,4	46:7,21 47:5,7
relates 67:24	4:23	revealing 113:14	119:13,25	47:19,20,21
relative 41:22	request 115:18	review 8:4 28:10	120:21 121:23	48:2,8,9,13,17
	•			
-	Office and the state of the sta			

					Page 148	Ø
	49:8,15,16,17	115:25 117:25	112:9,17,22,22	2 105:2,7,18,21	sell 115:2	
	49:23,24 50:4	118:25,25	113:6,9,25	105:25 106:2	sense 31:4 36:2	
	50:14,15,15,16		114:4,6,16	107:16 111:17		
	51:2,6 52:16	120:3,9,10,15	117:11,17,23	112:2,6 118:19	1	
	52:18 53:9,22	125:2,18 130:9	118:2,4,9	121:6 122:5,8		
	53:23 55:14,19		120:3 121:1,7	124:9 125:7	separate 14:13 16:4 46:23,24	
	55:24 56:6,19	roads 6:18 7:2	123:22 124:13	126:8,23	46:24	
	56:19 58:1,9	10:3,20 73:20	125:10 127:18	127:13,24		
	59:2,3 60:5	roadway 43:19	127:18 128:16	128:4,21	separated 62:16 separation	
	61:12,19 62:2	44:17 47:12	128:17 129:13	School 2:3	49:11	
	62:2,5,10,10	48:1,1 52:10	129:22,24	Schwenk 3:13	September 6:10	1
	62:12,13,14,17		sale 3:22 14:21	107:20 108:2,2		
	65:6 66:4,6,7,8	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	129:20,21	108:4,7 110:2	sequence 92:20	1
	66:13,16,18	84:4,22 85:1	sales 52:22	110:23	series 26:11	1
	67:12,14,15,19		Saturday 44:5,6	second 5:21 6:9	serious 66:6	1
	68:3,8,12,15	roadways 43:17	Saucon 1:1 4:1	7:17 10:4,15	serve 41:24 97:7	1
	68:25,25 69:9	48:14 56:12	6:8 49:19 72:5	21:7 40:10,15	97:9 99:23	
Ì	69:18,19,23,24	84:2	saying 8:18,19	82:16 97:22	served 97:8	
	70:2,7,9,14,22	room 109:10	69:8,11 85:9	119:21,22,24	serves 83:11	1
1	70:25 71:6	118:19	114:14 117:13	127:17 130:23	service 52:23	l
	72:14 73:1,8,8	route 12:5 19:12	121:8	seconds 93:24	89:10	I
ı	73:25 74:12,18	27:15 36:13	says 7:18 8:6	section 6:11 8:5	services 36:9	ľ
I	75:7,12,15,17	43:16 51:19	24:6 26:8	10:1,15 12:6	serving 45:25	ı
I	75:18 76:4,19	52:24,25 65:11	Schock 2:3 3:3,5	17:22 24:11,12	84:16	ı
I	76:20,25 77:5	Royal 52:20	3:7,8,12,14	24:12,14 51:16	session 4:3,8	Kilb
l	77:7,15,19,22	54:10,16 63:1	4:20,24,24	54:25 65:17	7:13	T. Asia
l	79:6,12,16,24	63:3,4,8,15	5:23 6:1,5,13	72:14 77:5	set 7:23 8:2	SEPAN NA
ı	80:6,7,8,12,12	rules 21:2	11:9,12,18	81:15,24 83:5	settlement 95:4	ı
l	81:12,12,13,15	run 1:23 41:20	13:8,17,23	83:18 84:15	seven 42:1 44:11	Î
l	81:23 82:12,20	73:24	14:24 15:4,7	101:12 110:24	44:12 68:17	1
	82:25 83:5,18	running 42:25	15:11 16:24	sections 6:25	104:23	Ė
	84:9,15 85:5	runs 43:2 74:21	20:17 21:3	7:11 73:10,11	sewage 73:24	ŀ
	86:23 87:2,6	74:21	24:3 25:21	see 20:21 24:6	sharp 115:13	F
	87:12,13 89:4		29:4,19 30:1,6	24:10 32:1	sheet 87:6,7	ľ
	90:14,17,17,23	<u>S</u>	30:9,18,23	37:16 43:5	SHOCK 15:13	ŀ
	91:2 92:18	s 33:15 38:7	31:2,9,22 33:8	53:15 54:11,12	shoes 117:9	
	93:7,20 95:12	110:2 112:23	34:4,8 35:17	54:13,15,25	128:18	
	98:16,18,19	safe 64:22	35:22 37:5,8	55:12 57:10	shooting 99:12	
	99:3,6,8,14,16	109:13	37:19 38:11,14	59:6 67:20	shopping 54:5	
	99:18 100:8,10	safety 55:23	38:21,23 41:10	78:22 85:8	short 1:5 4:13	
	101:2,4,4,5,11	58:18 66:6	41:13,16 42:9	87:24 92:5	6:7,11 11:24	
	101:14,15,23	68:8 69:23	42:16 57:6,12	106:17 114:23	12:5,15,19	
	102:15,17	98:19 100:2,6	66:23 67:2	120:1 129:17	13:5,10 14:16	
	103:3,4,5,8,14	109:7 115:15	69:1 71:20	seeing 75:2	14:22 16:18	
	105:16,16	SAIARINI	78:19 91:15	seek 73:25	17:20 18:3,6	
	106:11,14,17	113:2 Saini 2:14 02:5	96:8,10,19	100:18	18:21,22 19:10	
	108:9,11,15,16	Saini 3:14 93:5	97:14,25 102:5	seeking 73:2	19:11,11,12,13	
	109:10 114:1	93:8 94:6,10	103:21 104:9	seen 9:24 49:21	19:20 20:2,9	
	114:25 115:1,9	94:17 96:4	104:21,25	seldomly 10:1	20:22 21:8,12	
1	THE RESERVE OF THE PARTY OF THE	Secretary and the second	the second section is a second section of		And the second second second second	

					Page 14
	21:15,24 22:4	101:2,3,4,5,11	48:24 49:25	87:5 106:23	84:15
	22:5,8,13	102:15,17	55:22,23 65:24		
	23:16,17 24:7		66:5 68:10	118:5	
	24:11,21 25:13			somewhat 54:1	33:14 50:13
	25:14,19 26:9	106:11 112:8	109:23	85:4 129:5	southwest 77:12
	26:15 27:21	114:1 115:1,9	significantly	sorry 6:14 11:20	spacing 56:5
	28:10 29:8	117:25 118:25		19:10 26:6	1
	32:4,11,12	125:2,18	simple 74:7	32:7 38:9	3:11 81:9 82:5
	34:17,18,21	130:18	125:12 129:18	39:17 44:19	85:15 129:8,10
	35:1 39:11,16	shortcut 7:19	simply 5:16 9:2	46:25 48:9	129:14,18 130:3
	39:19,25 40:7	show 13:12	single 15:23	62:2 66:5	
	40:16 43:5,12	19:22,23 21:17		84:20	speak 5:10,12,18
	44:1,19,21	33:10 53:8	50:25 56:16	sort 5:11 6:19	27:10 42:23
	45:8,15,19,23	61:1 63:14	61:1 65:23	36:21 43:22	71:16 78:14
	47:19,21 48:9	93:11 96:20	68:23 83:11		83:13,16 86:15
	48:17,21,23	104:23	100:18 115:18	80:2 87:8	92:6 96:4
	49:1,8,11,15	showed 28:20	sir 23:3 30:7	sorts 79:10	126:9
	49:17,24 50:4	93:17 96:20	57:24 59:14	106:24	speaking 84:19
	50:14,15,16	showing 20:9	61:20 66:11	sought 72:15 97:21	98:6
1	51:2 52:16,18	shows 22:9	72:18 89:2	1	speaks 10:16
ı	53:9,23 55:14	31:18 36:1		south 6:15 17:10	special 5:13
1	55:19,21,24	54:9 55:4	107:23 114:3	17:20 18:10,11	specialize 42:2
I	56:6 59:2 62:2	60:22 70:25	114:16 117:11	18:12 21:11	specific 80:5
I	62:10,12,13,14	shutting 91:2	117:23 118:9	22:4 23:15	109:15
I	62:17 66:5,7	side 18:10 32:12	119:5 121:12 122:2 123:22	33:22 34:14	specifically
l	66:13 67:4,7	32:15,16 42:4		39:11 43:1	10:16 70:20
l	67:14,15 68:7	42:25 76:15	site 35:9 51:5	46:2 50:20	speculating
l	68:11,15,25	77:2 87:6,7	52:12 55:8,11	51:4 52:21	90:13
l	69:9,19,23	99:15 100:8	60:8 64:11,13	55:9 65:4,7	speculation 83:3
l	70:1,7,8,14,22	107:6 112:1	64:25 65:2,6	67:7 73:5	speed 108:25
	70:1,7,8,14,22	113:11,15	65:10,18 70:12 90:21	74:24 77:20	spell 13:24
l	70:23 71:0	116:8 123:10	sites 64:9 67:23	81:14 84:8	31:10 38:5
	74:12,18 75:6	sight 99:13	sits 39:9	100:8 101:4,25	41:11 109:25
	75:14,17,18	sign 22:9,14,15		108:17 110:17	spent 92:15
	76:4,19,20,25	22:15 108:16	sitting 108:13 six 14:13 49:22	114:6,7 116:14	splits 53:1 54:22
	77:7,15,22	108:23,23		116:14 117:3	spoke 28:16
	79:6,7,12,12	129:19,20,25	62:7,18 68:18	117:14,22	118:16
	79:15,24,25	signal 47:14	103:11 size 81:20	119:2 126:22	St 126:2
	80:6,8,12	54:8 60:7 68:4	size 81:20 small 34:10	southbound	stack 49:16
	81:13,15,23	signalized 25:8	small 34:10 smaller 79:18	21:13 22:17	stacked 62:15
	82:12,20,24	25:17 26:19	smaner /9:18 sneak 67:17	23:18 43:9	67:12 109:11
	83:5,18 84:8	43:7 58:2,10	sneak 67:17 snow 79:9	53:2 54:4	stacking 61:19
	84:15 86:23	59:18 60:13		67:18	61:25 110:7
	87:6 89:4	63:5,19 64:17	snowplowing 81:10	southeast 15:20	stacks 62:1
	90:14,17,23	65:3,5 99:5		southern 21:15	67:14
	91:2 92:18	100:5	solicitor 1:19		staff 88:2 92:15
	93:7 98:15,16	11	4:14 14:25	34:25 50:17	93:2,4 94:5,7
	1 1	signals 102:3	49:4 80:11		stages 48:5
	99:14,18 100:8	significant 43:13 46:17	solution 28:19		stalls 36:13,15
	73.17,10 100.0	43:13 40:1/	somebody 80:3	77:3 83:6,20	stand 4:4
-		l.			
				manufacture "married	9690909

				rage 13
standard 9:14	stream 74:21	85:14 111:13	106:5 108:1,5	term 8:7 57:22
9:15 44:8 49:5		sudden 83:9	112:17	terminates
standards 73:13		sufficient 8:3,22		- 19:20
100:24	51:11,11,22	suggestion	T	terms 75:4
standing 14:20	54:7,8 61:8	121:22	T 31:12 106:9	testifi8ed 35:15
start 11:17	75:24 108:23	Suite 1:23	T-1 3:17 11:22	testified 13:21
129:16	116:1,12,19	summarize	24:1	31:7 41:8 42:5
started 86:8	stretch 51:13	41:17 96:22	T-2 3:17 11:25	61:13 89:3
92:21	79:25	98:1	T-3 3:18 12:2	91:25 94:23
starting 116:19	stricken 125:11	summary 41:18	T-43:18 12:15	96:17 99:3,16
starts 9:8	127:2	Supervisor 1:16		106:5 108:5
state 9:20 31:10	strictly 21:2	1:17,17,18	T-5 3:19 12:18	112:18
41:11 58:23	64:20 77:14	supervisors 1:1	T-6 3:19 12:22	
75:13 84:4	strip 77:23	1:15 4:2 7:20	T-7 3:20 13:1	testifies 30:8
101:14	structure 120:4	8:11 12:3	T-8 3:20 13:3	testify 29:3
stated 102:13	122:2,11,13	23:13 30:22	T-9 3:21 13:7	30:11 36:22
statement 17:11	124:3,5	97:11 132:13	51:24 52:2	80:13,24 123:3
24:10 50:6	structures 124:2		57:12	testimentary
107:21 127:1	struggling	support 13:8 sure 10:5,21	table 112:6	110:21
statements	115:25 126:18	14:19 19:19	tail 109:12	testimony 23:16
88:17	stub 83:6	30:23 34:12	take 21:6 23:9	23:18,22 25:23
states 42:1 97:5	study 43:18,18	1	27:2,5 45:14	26:15 61:11
97:7	43:24 46:12	41:12,23 42:22	80:24 84:21	83:25 97:12
station 16:3	1	47:6 50:7,12	87:5 104:21	98:3 99:7
status 5:14	48:11 98:16	52:6 57:12	105:2 108:19	101:19 111:25
status 5.14 stay 4:8 90:24	101:13,15	59:23 63:1,25	115:5 117:6	120:19,22
91:5	125:14	67:11 72:25	1	125:11 128:24
	stuff 106:25	73:3,21 77:17	127:12 130:1	129:4 130:13
stayed 90:25 Stehlik 1:18 3:4	subdivision 3:22	79:3 93:5	taken 63:20	Thank 4:15
3:10 78:12,22	31:21	103:10 104:19	124:17 132:12 takes 58:2 96:12	21:18 23:24
	subdivisions	105:7 106:2		26:4 29:17
78:24 79:19,22 92:3 94:18	35:9,12	108:24 117:12	talk 39:7 63:17	38:4,9 39:1
	subject 20:22	surety 8:3	talked 54:2	42:16 66:21
95:17,20,24	24:7 26:1,9	surprised 69:17	61:18 62:21	78:8 91:20,21
Stehlik's 91:16	34:14 43:25	surprises 69:21	101:18	94:18 95:16
stenographica	50:16 52:22	surrounding	talking 24:13	107:10 111:21
1:12	76:15 87:17	36:16 43:19	33:12 57:23	114:5 123:22
STEPHEN 1:17	101:8	sustain 128:1	65:20,21 72:20	128:15,17,18
stop 22:9,9,10	submitted 12:23	sustained	80:14,15 95:9	Thanks 13:17
22:15 45:14	13:9 16:10,12	124:12 125:10	115:9,23	theirs 87:11
49:15 108:16	28:9 70:24	127:1	tax 54:25	104:13
108:23 116:15	74:16 105:13	swamp 116:20	taxes 116:18,20	themself 84:21
129:19	subsequent	Swap 106:1	121:25	thing 10:18 25:1
topped 120:10	64:16	Swapping	teeny 77:23	30:12 57:3
120:12	subsequently	102:20	80:15	80:6 88:19
tore 16:3 24:19	63:20	sweeping 82:2	tell 19:3 40:25	94:10 97:20
	substantial 9:16	swing 115:7,7	58:21 60:20	99:22 117:2
torm 36:17	9:17 58:13,16	sworn 13:21	83:3 113:7	things 6:22 31:3
	#0 1 C 0 0 C 0 C 1	21 6 41 0	tompowarily	_
tory 122:19	59:16,20 60:14	31:6 41:8	temporarily	35:12 36:20
•	59:16,20 60:14 successful 56:11	91:25 96:17	91:18	35:12 36:20 41:1 46:10

48:25 67:22	tired 6:22	track 12:13	trouble 83:13	93:24 104:1,5	
71:23 73:1	today 40:1,14,1:		truck 52:20,22	104:6,10,15,1	
85:5 95:14	45:23 46:19	tract 15:23 16:4	54:10,16 63:1	112:12 113:4	
110:8 130:14	47:3 48:21	tracts 14:21	63:3,4,8,16	115:5,10	
think 4:13 17:18		1	81:20 109:5	121:24 122:1:	
17:24 25:22	55:16,25 57:4	30:11,19 36:20			
26:1,2 31:3	57:5 68:18	36:21 41:22,25	1	type 5:11 12:7	
32:22 37:17	79:17 84:16,17	,	62:21 67:6	44:9 45:4 47:2	
39:10 42:22	84:22,23 85:7	43:17,23,25	70:11	54:10 60:15	
44:23,24 50:9	85:8 98:20	44:10 46:11	try 9:2 26:7	typical 14:20	
52:16 55:25	100:11,20	47:7,13 48:11	67:17 88:6	44:4,8	
56:1,22 60:2	121:10	49:13 51:1	94:1	typically 44:6	
60:12 64:21	today's 100:23	56:5,9 58:12	trying 17:17	typically 44.0	
86:3 93:23	told 59:1 69:17	58:12 59:13	28:18 33:5	U	
95:7 99:16	70:7 86:22	60:23 62:1,9	53:25 66:3	U 51:7,8,22 53:4	
102:13 108:23	Tom 121:21	62:15 64:5	67:16 93:9	53:18,24 55:5	
109:9 110:16	tomorrow	65:16 67:11	· It		
112:13 113:4	116:16 126:1	68:11,16,22	120:7 123:9,10 turn 4:14 7:5	116:12 117:19	
120:5 123:16	tonight 9:7	1 ' '			
124:9 126:21	14:17 30:17	70:5,6 96:23	13:15 22:10	ultimately 27:23 65:15	
127:18,21	40:22 87:22	97:5,7,15	23:10,10 27:2	UNANGST 1:20	
thinking 80:17	D .	98:23 99:6,19	27:5 43:10		
THOMAS 1:19	101:8,18	99:24 101:13	46:22 49:22,23	unanimously	
	top 27:15 43:1	101:15,16	51:7,8,10,22	131:5	
thought 72:2 93:23 98:14	60:17 74:2	109:18,23	53:18,24 58:2	uncertain 29:23	
	total 36:15	110:6 113:10	67:6,12 68:4,4	uncommon	
threat 8:17,17 8:19	totally 115:5	115:6 117:4,18	68:19 74:23	51:13 56:18	
	116:10	124:24 125:13	81:15,17,25	Unconformity	
three 8:12 19:3	touched 42:22	125:17	109:10 110:6	124:1	
44:15 47:4	50:10	transcript	112:5 114:8	understand	
48:19 49:16	town 116:17	132:14	115:17,19	17:15 18:23	
105:1 117:7	township 1:1,9	transportation	116:12 117:4	23:12,21 26:2	
118:18	1:19,20,21	42:3	117:16,19,25	29:2 30:22	
Fill 96:3	3:21 4:1 6:8,19	travel 39:15	118:4,11 130:9	75:6 88:20	
ime 4:13 6:20	7:1 10:4,16	50:20 51:11	turning 11:17	95:8 108:9	
7:22 12:7 21:6	16:11,13 24:1	54:18 55:8	22:24 23:6	119:23 120:17	
28:19,25 33:14	28:9 48:12	71:9	68:6,7 99:18	127:18	
35:12 44:2,5	61:4 70:24	traveled 54:15	109:8	understanding	
49:17,21,25	73:17 74:11	65:17	turns 51:8	21:23 75:22	
63:9,18 67:20	79:15 81:11,12	traveling 19:6,9	TV 32:1	108:12	
78:21 80:10	88:1,5 89:21	19:10 39:19	two 6:25 7:11	understood	
87:17 92:15	98:23 101:7,12	43:8 46:2 51:4	14:21 20:18,19	87:25 117:13	
96:5 98:4	106:16 108:24	53:12,17 67:18	20:20 28:18	unfamiliar 10:2	
115:1 119:24	115:23 116:2	68:2 71:9	36:10 43:8	unfortunately	
121:18	118:17 119:10	74:24	44:14 48:19	32:2 42:8	
mes 31:25 42:8	121:18,20	travels 68:11	50:13 51:2	92:19	
47:7 49:19	125:4 126:19	treated 119:13	60:22 61:5	unit 99:24	
74:5	127:7	trial 107:24	65:21 68:5,22	units 48:25	
74.5			· · - ;		
ming 47:14	township's	triggering 91:8	73:7,9,13	unnecessary	

unsafe 113:16	73:2 74:1	99:11	117:5,12	91:19 104:21	
117:7 125:23	98:15	vendors 109:17	118:22,23	105:2 112:5	
untrue 115:5	vacated 6:16	vibrant 116:15	119:6,18	we're 14:3,17	
116:10	9:18 10:3	vice 41:24	120:15,15	17:15,16,22,24	
unusual 6:19	12:11,14,19	vice-president	124:7 127:12	17:25 21:18	
51:19	13:6 16:19	97:2	128:23 129:11	30:24 31:23	
upper 1:1 4:1	25:14 26:23	vicinity 42:21	wanted 10:13	33:5,12 37:13	
6:7 122:15	28:11 47:21	52:11	23:21 51:5	45:7 46:2	
usable 109:6	49:2 52:19	VIDEO 1:22	53:8,13,14	65:20,21 83:19	
110:8,10	53:10 55:14	view 7:7 20:8	63:10 64:11	86:15 87:14,22	
usage 44:16	72:15 76:8,10	viewers 7:7 8:12	78:22 89:13	88:9 92:19,22	
use 5:22,25	76:11,21 77:15	8:13	95:15 98:15	98:16 112:13	
17:20 18:3	77:19 80:8	virtue 55:6	wants 54:16	130:12,15	
25:12 37:3	81:13 82:21	vis-a-vis 36:2	68:3 87:5	we've 59:1 72:9	
43:13 57:22	83:5,18 84:9	visit 119:19	100:2 107:18	76:16 80:5,20	
60:15 61:11	90:14 92:18	VOLK 1:21	119:16,16	92:10 98:18	
75:4 101:11	103:14	volumes 70:1	wasn't 60:5	Wednesday 1:11	
107:21 108:8	vacating 4:12		68:14 93:21,22		
108:10,18	12:4 50:16	W	104:19	weekday 44:4	
113:20,22,23	130:18	W 2:7 110:2	waste 15:1	welcome 17:18	
119:19 121:7	vacation 1:5	Wagner 1:17	water 36:17	96:2	
121:23,24	3:18 6:20 8:25	3:9,10 29:22	Wawa 6:16 16:2	went 94:5	
127:20	9:2,22 10:2,17	30:4 76:3,7	34:14 36:8,14	weren't 90:20	
useless 9:18 10:9	10:20 11:2	78:7 79:20,23	45:17 59:6,10	101:9	
11:1 49:6 75:4	12:15 18:21	80:14,22 81:1	60:7,8,10	west 32:7 36:11	
75:7,11,16	19:1 24:6	81:4 85:25	64:11,23 65:7	43:3 45:22	
uses 44:9,18	25:11,13 26:8	87:16,22 88:8	65:16,20 74:7	75:24 119:1	
45:4 60:22	26:15 40:3	88:10,11	74:7 113:13	westbound	
89:20 102:16	54:19 72:21	130:23	117:6	46:22,25 47:1	
usually 43:21,21	93:7 130:9,21	Wagner's 82:17	way 9:19 10:11	whichever	
utilities 73:23	Vacations 6:18	wait 96:1	21:16 22:14,15	113:23	
105:16 106:13	valid 66:12,15	waiting 49:22,23	22:17,21 25:11	wide 81:23	
utilize 54:8	66:16	67:6,12,15	25:20 29:14	82:15	
125:18	Valley 1:10 2:4	68:19 110:6 walk 36:5	31:1 34:20,23	widen 7:2	
utilized 45:6	49:19 72:5,6	wank 50:5 want 5:16 11:21	35:1 59:21,22	widening 85:4	
V	valuations 64:18	13:24 15:2	77:4 78:2,4	wider 74:17,22	
vacant 50:24	value 64:14,19	17:14,23 19:19	82:4 90:24,25	81:19	
56:16 61:1	64:20 various 31:18	20:13 25:4	91:5 108:19	windows 108:14	
65:22 83:11		34:24 39:7	109:2 110:8	winter 74:13	
100:19 114:17	48:4 54:20	53:16 55:10	114:7 115:21	wipe 115:14	
121:11 122:8	55:15 59:7 64:9	69:7 71:23	116:8,11,22,23	wish 15:5,8	
122:25 123:2		75:25 79:3	117:3,5 120:4	88:15 113:8	
126:3	vehicle 67:14,16	80:24 86:12	123:18	128:13	
vacate 6:7 7:2	67:18,20 99:20 vehicles 22:2	87:5,13 91:12	ways 130:14	wishes 5:3	
7:19 8:21	23:9 44:12,12	92:14 105:5	we'll 4:8 8:1	withdrawn 6:10	
11:23 13:10	48:3 54:2	109:19 111:22	10:19 11:17	witness 3:1	
39:23 58:5	62:14 67:8	111:24 112:6	31:2 33:4 34:4 45:24 74:6	13:18 16:25	
69:13,18 71:15	68:17,18 71:9	113:11,25	78:18,25 80:24	21:20,23 34:6	
,	00.17,10 /1.7		70.10,23 00:24	37:17 38:2	

41:5 57:7 96:8	92:10 97:4	120:11	14:11 15:21	310 46:2	
97:12 102:6	109:2 114:12	163 4:12 12:1,23	1	34 3:23	
witnesses 3:1	114:19 115:8,8		19:14,21 20:3	· ·	
50:9 96:7	115:10,10	173:3	20:23 21:8,12	1 /	
107:19 123:3	116:18 120:11	18 116:17	21:13,16,24	366-2980 1:24	
wonderful 92:16	126:3	180 114:7	22:3,5,6,13,17		
126:2		115:21 116:5	22:18,19 23:18		
wondering 78:8	Z	118:5,11	25:4 26:24		
word 5:25 120:1	Z 31:12 106:9	18018 2:8	27:3,6,7 29:8	4	
words 9:19	zero 93:22	18034 2:4	33:3 34:18	4 32:9 33:20	
117:7	zoned 60:16,18	18042 112:24	35:1 36:13	37:23 44:4	
work 31:13 35:4	87:11 107:8	18106 1:24	40:5,6,8,9	54:20 55:9	
72:3,4,6,8	114:15	19 35:10	42:25 43:7,16	104:19	
85:11 88:8	zoning 16:14,15	1937 114:25	44:25 45:10,22	40 119:8	
92:16 93:25	74:4,5,9 88:19	115:11	45:24 46:1,3,5	400 72:23	
97:22 118:21	89:21 92:11	1982 100:14	46:25 47:15	4001 2:3	
worked 42:3	97:10 107:5	1991 97:3	48:13,18,18	40s 108:20	
46:15 67:23	120:6 121:15	1994 100:1	49:12,13,15,15	41 3:7,24	
working 35:8	122:17 124:1		49:24 50:5,15	42 36:14	
43:11 58:20		2	50:18,19,20	439-0504 1:24	
72:10	0	2 32:14 37:23	51:7,14,16,18	45 118:11	
world 92:21	4	53:15,20	51:19 52:8,24	450 73:3	
115:21	1	104:19	52:25 53:1,7		
worry 106:14	1 15:3,4 20:14	20 6:10 115:10	53:12,17 54:6	5	
wouldn't 22:23	32:8,11,14,18	118:14 119:8	54:17,21 55:3	5 32:9,11,18,19	
25:16 48:22	32:19 44:6	20,000 121:19	55:6,7,9,9,15	55:4	
68:12 84:10	52:14	2001 116:17	56:12 60:2	50 118:11	
89:15,23 90:22	1.06 86:6	2005 12:4,6	62:6,17,23	53 6:25 7:17	
91:2	1.7 85:24	2018 1:11 4:3	63:13 65:11,17	550 1:9	
write 75:10	10 7:21 75:23	6:10 12:16,20	65:19 66:13	57 3:7	
written 7:21	77:10,16	12:20,25 13:2	67:5,8,13,18		
9:24	118:14 120:11	132:7	68:7 70:23	6	
wrong 40:22	100 1:23	2018-163 1:4	71:7 74:24	6 32:10 44:4	
116:9 129:25	102 3:13	21 12:20	75:19,21 77:6	86:6 104:23	
	1063:6	23 41:23 42:4	77:7,22 78:4	6:30 1:11	
X	108 3:13	235 45:24	79:25 81:2,4	60 7:4 109:9	
XXXJERRY	11 3:17,17 24:6	24 12:4	81:14,18 82:18	118:11	
108:4	25:10 26:8	25 12:16	82:20,24 90:5	610 1:24	
	44:6	27 12:20,25 13:2	99:14,18 100:8	65 45:15,24 48:2	
	112 3:14	97:4,13	100:16 101:15	49:14 62:16	
	116 36:15		101:20,23	71:7 119:16	
**************************************	11th 88:10	3	101.20,23	67 3:8 7:1,17	
	12 3:18,18,19,19	3 32:8 54:9	108:15,17	69 3:8	
	121 3:14	104:19	108.13,17		
1	1275 1:23	30 7:25 119:8	110:16,17	7	
	13 3:3,20,20,21	300 45:22 46:1	110:16,17	7 44:3 104:23	
	133 3:18 12:3	71:1	117:22 130:19	70 114:9	
~~~,	l l	1 4 1 4			
ears 35:10	143:22	304 7:1	I	<b>70s</b> 108:21	
ears 35:10	14 3:22		30s 108:20 31 3:5,22	<b>70s</b> 108:21 <b>72</b> 3:9 <b>75</b> 36:13	

		Page 154
763:9		
78 3:10		
8	-	
8 1:11 4:3 32:9		
33:20 86:5		
125:14		
80 3:10 115:8,10		
119:16		- 1
800 1:24		
80s 108:21		
81 3:11		
<b>82</b> 3:11		ľ
<b>850</b> 47:12		
<b>8A</b> 33:23 34:16		
50:12,24 51:21		1
53:10,16,24		
56:17 60:25		
65:21 75:16,22		Į.
76:16,19 77:6		li li
82:12 83:7,8		8
85:25 90:13		
101:9 113:4		1
114:12,17		
116:19 117:16		ŀ
122:24,25		
124:21 125:18 129:21		1
129.21		## ## ##
9		
9 6:8 44:3 50:13		
50:25 51:21		li.
53:10,16,24		K.
55:10 56:17		i i
60:25 65:21		
75:18,22 76:17		1
77:7 83:7,8		
90:13 101:9		R
113:4 114:12		
114:18 121:8		4
122:23,24 123:2 124:22		Sign
125:14,18		
129:21		100
013:4		1
<b>50,000</b> 47:12		
06 3:12,24		1
8 3:25		1
		4
		7
	I I	